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#### Abstract

Let $C$ be a bounded closed convex subset of a uniformly convex Banach space $X$ and let $T$ be an asymptotically nonexpansive in the intermediate mapping from $C$ into itself. In this paper, we first provide a ergodic retraction theorem and a mean ergodic convergence theorem. Using this result, we show that the set $F(T)$ of fixed points of $T$ is a sunny, nonexpansive retract of $C$ if the norm of $X$ is uniformly Gâteaux differentiable. Moreover, we discuss the strong convergence of the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ defined by $x_{n}=a_{n} x+\left(1-a_{n}\right) T(\mu) x_{n}$ for $n=0,1,2, \ldots$, where $x \in C, \mu$ is a Banach limit on $l^{\infty}$ and $a_{n}$ is a real sequence in ( 0,1 ].


## 1. Introduction

Let $C$ be a nonempty subset of a Banach space $X$. a mapping $T: C \mapsto C$ is said to be
(a) nonexpansive if $\|T x-T y\| \leq\|x-y\|$ for $x, y \in C$.
(b) asymptotically nonexpansive [19] if there exists a sequence $\left\{k_{n}\right\}$ such that $\limsup k_{n} \leq 1$ and $\left\|T^{n} x-T^{n} y\right\| \leq k_{n}\|x-y\|$ for $x, y \in C$ and $n \in \mathcal{N}$.
(c) asymptotically nonexpansive in the intermediate if

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\sup _{x, y \in C}\left[\left\|T^{n} x-T^{n} y\right\|-\|x-y\|\right] \leq 0 .\right.
$$

(d) asymptotically nonexpansive type [19] if for each $x$ in $C$,

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{y \in C}\left[\left\|T^{n} x-T^{n} y\right\|-\|x-y\|\right] \leq 0 .
$$
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It is easily seen that $(a) \Rightarrow(b) \Rightarrow(c) \Rightarrow(d)$ and that both the inclusions are proper (cf. [19, p. 112] ). We denote $F(T)$ by the set of fixed points of $T$.

Let $C$ be a bounded closed convex subset of a Banach space $X$. Let $T$ be a nonexpansive mapping from $C$ into itself and let $x$ be an element of $C$ and for each $t$ with $0<t<1$, let $x_{t}$ be the unique point of $C$ which satisfies $x_{t}=t x+(1-t) x_{t}$. Browder [5] showed that $\left\{x_{t}\right\}$ converges trongly to the element of $F(T)$ which is nearest to $x$ in $F(T)$ as $t \downarrow 0$ in the case when $X$ is a Hilbert space. Reich [ 30 ] extended Browder's result to the case when $X$ is a uniformly smooth Banach space and he showed that $F(T)$ is a sunny, nonexpansive retract of $C$, i.e., there is a nonexpansive retraction $P$ from $C$ onto $F(T)$ such that $P(P x+t(x-P x))=P x$ for each $x \in C$ and $t \geq 0$ with $P x+t(x-P x) \in C$. Recently, using an idea of Browder [5], Shimizu and Takahashi [ 32 ] studied the convergence of another approximating sequence for an asymptotically nonexpansive mapping in a Hilbert space. This result was extended to a Banach space by Shioji and Takahashi [ 33 ].

On the other hand, Baillon [1] proved the first nonlinear mean ergodic theorem for nonexpansive mappings in a Hilbert space: Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of a Hilbert space $H$ and let $T$ be a nonexpansive mapping of C into itself. If the set $F(T)$ of fixed points of $T$ is nonempty, then the Cesáro means

$$
S_{n}(x)=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} T^{k} x
$$

converge weakly as $n \rightarrow \infty$ to a fixed point $y$ of $T$ for each $x \in C$. In this case, putting $y=P x$ for each $x \in C, P$ is a nonexpansive retraction of $C$ onto $F(T)$.

In recent years much effort has devoted to studying nonlinear ergodic theory for (asymptotically) nonexpansive mappings and semigroups. See [ 1-3, 15-18, 20-29, 34 ]. Most of the work was carried out in a uniformly convex Banach space $X$ whose norm is either Frechet differentiable or satisfies Opial's condition. In this paper, we first prove an ergodic retraction theorem and an mean ergodic convergence theorems for non-lipschitzian mapping in a uniformly convex Banach space without using the Frechet differentiable norm, which includes many known results as special cases. Using this result, we show that the set $F(T)$ is a sunny, nonexpansive retract of $C$ if the norm of $X$ is uniformly Gâteaux differentiable. Moreover, we discuss the strong convergence of the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ defined by $x_{n}=a_{n}+\left(1-a_{n}\right) T(\mu) x_{n}$ for $n=0,1,2, \ldots$, where $x \in C, \mu$ is a Banach limit on $l^{\infty}$ and $a_{n}$ is a real sequence in $(0,1]$.

## 2. Preliminaries and Notations

Let $X$ be a Banach space. We recall that the modulus of convexity of $X$ is the
function $\delta_{X}$ defined on $[0,2]$ by

$$
\delta_{X}(\epsilon)=\inf \left\{1-\frac{1}{2}\|x+y\|:\|x\| \leq 1,\|y\| \leq 1, \text { and }\|x-y\| \geq \epsilon\right\}
$$

A Banach space $X$ is said to be uniformly convex if $\delta_{X}(\epsilon)>0$ for all $0<\epsilon \leq 2$. We need the following characterization of uniform convexity for a Banach space.

Proposition 1 ( cf. [ 36 ]). Let $p>1$ and $r>0$ be two real numbers. Then a Banach space $X$ is uniformly convex if and only if there exists a continuous, strictly increasing, and convex function $g:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$, depending on $p$ and $r, g(0)=0$, such that

$$
\|\lambda x+(1-\lambda) y\|^{p} \leq \lambda\|x\|^{p}+(1-\lambda)\|y\|^{p}-W_{p}(\lambda) g(\|x-y\|)
$$

for all $x, y \in B_{r}$ and $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$, where $W_{p}(\lambda)=\lambda(1-\lambda)^{p}+\lambda^{p}(1-\lambda)$ and $B_{r}$ is the closed ball centered at the origin and with radius $r$.

Throughout this paper $X$ denotes a uniformly convex real Banach space, $C$ a nonempty bounded closed convex subset of $X$, and $T$ an asymptotically nonexpansive in the intermediate sense. Put

$$
c_{n}=\sup _{x, y \in C}\left(\left\|T^{n} x-T^{y}\right\|-\|x-y\|\right) \vee 0,
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} c_{n}=0 . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We denote by $\triangle^{n}$ the set $\left\{\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right): \lambda_{i} \geq 0, \sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j}=1\right\}$ for $n \in \mathcal{N}$, the set of all nonnegative integers. For a subset $D$ of $X$, we denote by $c o D$ and $\overline{c o} D$, the convex hull and convex closed hull of $D$ respectively.

Let $\mu$ be a continuous linear functional on $l^{\infty}$ and let $a=\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots\right) \in l^{\infty}$, we write $\mu(n)\left(a_{n}\right)$ instead of $\mu(a)$. For $n \in \mathcal{N}$, we can define a point evaluation $\delta_{n}$ by $\delta_{n}(a)=a_{n}$ for each $a \in l^{\infty}$. A convex combination of point evaluations is called a finite mean on $\mathcal{N}$. Let $X^{*}$ be the dual space of $X$. The value of $y \in X^{*}$ at $x \in X$ will be denoted by $\langle x, y\rangle$. Since $X$ is reflexive, for any continuous linear functional $\mu$ and $x \in C$ there exists a unique element $T(\mu) x$ in $X$ such that

$$
\left\langle T(\mu) x, x^{*}\right\rangle=\mu(n)\left\langle T^{n} x, x^{*}\right\rangle
$$

for all $x^{*} \in X^{*}$. We write $T(\mu) x$ by $\mu(n)\left\langle T^{n} x\right\rangle$. Also, if $\mu$ is a finite mean on $\mathcal{N}$, say

$$
\mu=\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} \delta_{n_{i}}\left(t_{i} \in \mathcal{N}, a_{i} \geq 0, i=1,2, \cdots, n, \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}=1\right),
$$

then

$$
T(\mu) x=\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} T^{n_{i}} x
$$

Now, for each $m \in \mathcal{N}$, we can defined bounded linear operator $r_{m}$ in $l^{\infty}$ by $\left(r_{m}\right)\left(a_{n}\right)=\left(a_{n+m}\right)$. We call $\mu$ a Banach limit if $\mu$ satisfies $\|\mu\|=\mu(1)=1$ and $\mu=r_{n}^{*} \mu$ for each $n \in \mathcal{N}$, where $r_{n}^{*}$ is the conjugate operator of $r_{n}$. For a Banach limit, we know that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n} \leq \mu(n)\left(a_{n}\right) \leq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n} \text { for all }\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots\right) \in l^{\infty} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The duality mapping $J$ from $X$ into $X^{*}$ will be defined by

$$
J(x)=\left\{y \in X^{*}:\langle x, y\rangle=\|x\|^{2}=\|y\|^{2}\right\}
$$

for each $x \in X . X$ is said to be smooth if for each $x, y \in B_{1}$, the limit

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|x+t y\|-\|x\|}{t} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

exists. The norm of $X$ is said to be uniformly Gâteaux differentiable if for each $y \in B_{1}$, the limit (2.3) exists uniformly for $x \in B_{1}$. The norm of $X$ is said to be uniformly Fréchet differentiable if for each $x \in B_{1}$, the limit (2.3) exists uniformly for $y \in B_{1} . X$ is said to be uniformly smooth if (2.3) exists uniformly for $x, y \in B_{1}$. It is well known that if $X$ is smooth then the duality mapping is single-valued and norm to weak star continuous. In the case when the norm of $X$ is uniformly Gâteaux differentiable, we know the following [ 35, Lemma 1 ]:
Proposition 2. Let $C$ be a convex subset of a Banach space $X$ whose norm is uniformly Gâteaux differentiable. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be a bounded subset of $X$, let $z$ be a point of $C$ and let $\mu$ be a Banach limit. Then

$$
\mu(n)\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|^{2}=\min _{y \in C} \mu(n)\left\|x_{n}-y\right\|^{2}
$$

if and only if

$$
\mu(n)\left\langle y-z, J\left(x_{n}-z\right)\right\rangle \leq 0 \text { for all } y \in C
$$

Let $C$ be a convex subset of $X$, let $K$ be a nonempty subset of $C$ and let $P$ be a retraction from $C$ onto $K$, i.e., $P x=x$ for each $x \in K$. A retraction $P$ is said to be sunny if $P(P x+t(x-P x))=P x$ for each $x \in C$ and $t \geq 0$ with $P x+t(x-P x) \in C$. If the sunny retraction $P$ is also nonexpansive, then $K$ is said to be a sunny, nonexpansive retract of $C$. Concerning sunny, nonexpansive retractions, we know the following [ 9, 29 ]:

Proposition 3. Let $C$ be a convex subset of a smooth space, let $K$ be a nonempty subset of $C$ and let $P$ be a retraction from $C$ onto $K$. Then $P$ is sunny and nonexpansive if and only if

$$
\langle x-P x, J(y-P x)\rangle \leq 0 \quad \text { for all } x \in C \quad \text { and } y \in K
$$

Hence there is at most one sunny, nonexpansive retraction from $C$ onto $K$.

## 3. Main Theorems

In this section, we will state our main Theorems and some remarks. The proof of Theorems will be given in the next section.
Theorem 1. Let $C$ be a nonempty bounded closed convex subset of a uniformly convex Banach space $X$, and let $T$ be an asymptotically nonexpansive in the intermediate sense mapping from $C$ to itself. Then, for any Banach limit $\mu$, the mapping $P$ defined by $P x=T(\mu) x$ is a retraction from $C$ onto $F(T)$ satisfying the following properties:
(i) $P$ is nonexpansive;
(ii) $P T=T P=P$;
(iii) $P x \in \cap_{m} \overline{c o}\left\{T^{n} x: n \geq m\right\}$ for all $x \in C$.

From Theorem 1, if there exists a unique retraction from $C$ onto $F(T)$ having properties $(i)-(i i i)$ of Theorem 1. Then $T(\mu)=T(\nu)$ for any Banach limits $\mu$ and $\nu$. By the proof of Theorem 2 of [16], we have following corollary.
Corollary 1. Let $X, C$ and $T$ be as in Theorem 1. Let $Q=\left\{q_{n, m}\right\}_{n, m \in \mathcal{N}}$ is a strongly regular matrix. Suppose that there exists a unique retraction from $C$ onto $F(T)$ having properties $(i)-(i i i)$ of Theorem 1. Then for every $x \in C$,

$$
w-\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} q_{n, m} T^{m+k} x=y \in F(T) \quad \text { uniformly in } m \in \mathcal{N} .
$$

Now, using Theorem 1, we shall give a new approximating sequence for an nonlipschitzian mapping.

Let $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ be a real sequence such that

$$
0<a_{n} \leq 1, \quad \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n}=0
$$

Let $x$ be an element of $C$ and let $\mu$ be a Banach limit, and let $x_{n}$ be the unique point of $C$ which satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n}=a_{n} x+\left(1-a_{n}\right) T(\mu) x_{n} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We remark that (3.1) is well defined since the mapping $T_{n}$ from $C$ into itself defined by $T_{n} u=a_{n} x+\left(1-a_{n}\right) T(\mu) u$ satisfies $\left\|T_{n} u-T_{n} v\right\| \leq\left(1-a_{n}\right)\|u-v\|$ for each $u, v \in C$.

Theorem 2. Let $C$ be a bounded convex subset of a uniformly convex Banach space whose norm is uniformly Gâteaux differentiable, let $T$ be an asymptotically nonexpansive in the intermediate sense mapping from $C$ into itself. Then $F(T)$ is a sunny, nonexpansive retract of $C$.

Theorem 3. Let $C$ be a bounded convex subset of a uniformly convex Banach space whose norm is uniformly Gâteaux differentiable, let $T$ be an asymptotically nonexpansive in the intermediate sense mapping from $C$ into itself and let $P$ be the sunny, nonexpansive retract from $C$ onto $F(T)$. Let $x$ be an element of $C$ and let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be sequence of $C$ which satisfies (3.1). Then $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $P x$.

## 4.Proof of Theorems

To simplify, in the following, for each $\varepsilon \in(0,1]$, we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(\varepsilon)=\frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{10 R} \delta_{X}\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{R}\right) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon}=\left\{n_{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{N}: c_{n+n_{\varepsilon}}<a(\varepsilon) \text { for each } n \in \mathcal{N}\right\} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta_{X}$ is the modulus of convexity of the norm, $d=2 \sup \{\|x\|: x \in C\}$, and $R=4 d+1$. Noting that from (2.1), $\mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon}$ is nonempty for each $\epsilon>0$, and if $n_{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon}$, then $n+n_{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon}$ for each $n \in \mathcal{N}$.

The following lemma shall play a crucial role in the proof of our main theorems.
Lemma 4.1. Let $x$ be a element of $C$ and let $\lambda$ be a finite mean on $\mathcal{N}$ and let $\varepsilon_{i} \in(0,1](i=1,2)$ be positive numbers. Then there exists $n_{\varepsilon_{2}} \in \mathcal{N}$, where $n_{\varepsilon_{2}}$ is independent of $\varepsilon_{1}$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|T^{l} T(\lambda) T^{n} x-T(\lambda) T^{l+n} x\right\|<\varepsilon_{1}+\varepsilon_{2} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $n \geq n_{\varepsilon_{2}}$ and $l \in \mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon_{1}}$.
Proof. We shall prove the Lemma by mathematical induction.
If $\lambda=\delta_{m_{1}}, m_{1} \in \mathcal{N}$, then the assertion is clear. Now suppose that the assertion holds for such $\lambda=\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} a_{i} \delta_{m_{i}}\left(m_{i} \in \mathcal{N},\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots, a_{k-1}\right) \in \triangle^{k-1}\right)$. Let

$$
\lambda=\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i} \delta_{m_{i}} \quad\left(m_{i} \in \mathcal{N},\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots, a_{k}\right) \in \triangle^{k}\right)
$$

Defining

$$
\mu=\frac{1}{1-a_{k}} \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} a_{i} \delta_{m_{i}}
$$

we claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|T(\mu) T^{n} x-T^{n+m_{k}} x\right\| \text { exists. } \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\varepsilon>0$, from assumption of induction there exists $n_{1} \in \mathcal{N}$ such that

$$
c_{n}<\frac{1}{3} \varepsilon
$$

and

$$
\left\|T(l) T(\mu) T^{n} x-T(\mu) T^{n+l} x\right\|<\frac{1}{3} \varepsilon
$$

for all $n \geq n_{1}$ and $l \geq n_{1}$. It follows that, for all $n \geq n_{1}$ and $l \geq n_{1}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|T(\mu) T^{n+l} x-T^{n+l+m_{k}} x\right\| \leq & \left\|T(\mu) T^{n+l} x-T^{l} T(\mu) T^{n} x\right\| \\
& +\left\|T^{l} T(\mu) T^{n} x-T^{n+l+m_{k}} x\right\| \\
\leq & \left\|T(\mu) T^{n} x-T^{n+m_{k}} x\right\|+\varepsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

For fixed $n \geq n_{1}$, taking $l \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$
\limsup _{l \rightarrow \infty}\left\|T(\mu) T^{l} x-T^{l+m_{k}} x\right\| \leq\left\|T(\mu) T^{n} x-T^{n+m_{k}} x\right\|+\varepsilon
$$

and hence

$$
\limsup _{l \rightarrow \infty}\left\|T(\mu) T^{l} x-T^{l+m_{k}} x\right\| \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|T(\mu) T^{n} x-T^{n+m_{k}} x\right\|+\varepsilon
$$

Since $\varepsilon>0$ is arbitrary, this implies (4.4) holds.
Put

$$
r=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|T(\mu) T^{n} x-T^{n+m_{k}} x\right\|
$$

By assumption of induction again, for given $\varepsilon_{2}>0$, there exists $n_{2}\left(=n_{2}\left(\lambda, \varepsilon_{2}\right)\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left\|T(\mu) T^{n} x-T^{n+m_{k}} x\right\|-r\right|<\frac{1}{2} a\left(\varepsilon_{2}\right) \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|T^{l} T(\mu) T^{n} x-T(\mu) T^{n+l} x\right\|<\frac{1}{2} a\left(\varepsilon_{2}\right) \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $l, n \geq n_{2}$. Now, we put $n_{\varepsilon_{2}}=2 n_{2} \in \mathcal{N}$. Since for $n \geq n_{\varepsilon}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|T^{l} T(\mu) T^{n} x-T(\mu) T^{l+n} x\right\| \leq & \left\|T^{l} T(\mu) T^{n} x-T^{l+n_{2}} T(\mu) T^{n-n_{2}} x\right\| \\
& +\left\|T^{l+n_{2}} T(\mu) T^{n-n_{2}} x-T(\mu) T^{l+n} x\right\| \\
\leq & c_{l}+\frac{1}{2} a\left(\varepsilon_{2}\right) \\
& +\left\|T(\mu) T^{n} x-T^{n_{2}} T(\mu) T^{n-n_{2}}\right\| \\
\leq & c_{l}+a\left(\varepsilon_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

it then follows from (4.2) and (4.5) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|T^{l} T(\mu) T^{n} x-T(\mu) T^{n+l} x\right\|<a\left(\varepsilon_{1}\right)+a\left(\varepsilon_{2}\right) \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $l \in \mathcal{N}_{\epsilon_{1}}$ and $n \geq n_{\epsilon_{2}}$. Put

$$
x=\left(1-a_{n}\right)\left(T^{l} T(\lambda) T^{n} x-T(\mu) T^{n+l} x\right)
$$

and

$$
y=a_{n}\left(T^{n+l+m_{k}} x-T^{l} T(\lambda) T^{n} x\right)
$$

It then follows from (4.4), (4.5), and (4.6) that, for $l \in \mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon_{1}}$ and $n \geq n_{\varepsilon_{2}}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|x\| \leq & \left(1-a_{n}\right)\left(\left\|T^{l} T(\lambda) T^{n} x-T^{l} T(\mu) T^{n} x\right\|\right. \\
& +\left\|T^{l} T(\mu) T^{n} x-T(\mu) T^{n} x\right\| \\
\leq & \left(1-a_{n}\right)\left(a\left(\varepsilon_{1}\right)+a\left(\varepsilon_{2}\right)+c_{l}+\left\|T(\lambda) T^{n} x-T(\mu) T^{n} x\right\|\right) \\
\leq & a_{n}\left(1-a_{n}\right) r+2 a\left(\varepsilon_{1}\right)+2 a\left(\varepsilon_{2}\right)(\leq R), \\
\|y\| \leq & a_{n}\left(c_{l}+\left\|T^{n+m_{k}} x-T(\lambda) T^{n} x\right\|\right) \\
\leq & a_{n}\left(1-a_{n}\right) r+a\left(\varepsilon_{1}\right)+a\left(\varepsilon_{2}\right)(\leq R),
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\|x-y\|=\left\|T^{l} T(\lambda) T^{n} x-T(\lambda) T^{l+n} x\right\|
$$

Suppose that

$$
\|x-y\| \geq \varepsilon_{1}+\varepsilon_{2}
$$

for some $l \in G_{\varepsilon_{1}}$ and $n \geq n_{\varepsilon_{2}}$. Then we shall give the contradiction in following two cases.

Case I. If $4 a_{n}\left(1-a_{n}\right) r \leq \max \left\{\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}\right\}$, then

$$
\|x-y\| \leq\|x\|+\|y\| \leq 2 a_{n}\left(1-a_{n}\right) r+3 a\left(\varepsilon_{1}\right)+3 a\left(\varepsilon_{2}\right)<\varepsilon_{1}+\varepsilon_{2} .
$$

This is a contradiction.
Case II. If $4 a_{n}\left(1-a_{n}\right) r>\max \left\{\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}\right\}$, then we have

$$
\left\|a_{n} x+\left(1-a_{n}\right) y\right\| \leq\left(a_{n}\left(1-a_{n}\right) r+2 a\left(\varepsilon_{1}\right)+2 a\left(\varepsilon_{2}\right)\right)\left(1-2 a_{n}\left(1-a_{n}\right) \delta\left(\frac{\varepsilon_{1}+\varepsilon_{2}}{R}\right)\right)
$$

by Lemma in [14]. And hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{n}\left(1-a_{n}\right)\left\|T(\mu) T^{n+l} x-T^{n+l+m_{k}} x\right\| \\
& \quad \leq a_{n}\left(1-a_{n}\right) r+2 a\left(\varepsilon_{1}\right)+2 a\left(\varepsilon_{2}\right)-2 a_{n}^{2}\left(1-a_{n}\right)^{2} r \delta\left(\frac{\varepsilon_{1}+\varepsilon_{2}}{R}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

It then follows (4.5) that

$$
0 \leq 2 a\left(\varepsilon_{1}\right)+3 a\left(\varepsilon_{2}\right)-2 a_{n}^{2}\left(1-a_{n}\right)^{2} r \delta\left(\frac{\varepsilon_{1}+\varepsilon_{2}}{R}\right)
$$

If $\varepsilon_{1} \geq \varepsilon_{2}$, then $a\left(\varepsilon_{1}\right) \geq a\left(\varepsilon_{2}\right), 4 a_{n}\left(1-a_{n}\right) r>\varepsilon_{1}$, and $a_{n}\left(1-a_{n}\right)>\frac{\varepsilon_{1}}{R}$. It follows that

$$
0<5 a\left(\varepsilon_{1}\right)-\frac{\varepsilon_{1}^{2}}{2 R} \delta\left(\frac{\varepsilon_{1}}{R}\right)
$$

this contradicts (4.1). If $\varepsilon_{1}<\varepsilon_{2}$, then we also have a contradiction in the same way. This completes the proof.

Since $\mathcal{N}$ is commutative semigroup, there exists a net $\left\{\lambda_{\alpha}: \alpha \in A\right\}$ of finite means on $\mathcal{N}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\alpha \in A}\left\|\lambda_{\alpha}-r_{n}^{*} \lambda_{\alpha}\right\|=0 \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $n \in \mathcal{N}$, where $A$ is a directed set (see [12]).
For each $\varepsilon>0$ and $l \in \mathcal{N}$, we set

$$
\left.F_{\varepsilon}\left(T^{l}\right)\right)=\left\{x \in C:\left\|T^{l} x-x\right\| \leq \varepsilon\right\}
$$

Lemma 4.2. For each $0<\epsilon<1$, there exist $\delta>0$ and $l_{0} \in \mathcal{N}$ such that

$$
\left.\left.\operatorname{coF}_{\delta}\left(T^{l}\right)\right) \subset F_{\epsilon}\left(T^{l}\right)\right)
$$

for each $l \geq l_{0}$.
Proof. Since $X$ is uniformly convex, by [7, Theorem 1.1], for given $\epsilon>0$ we can choose a positive integer $p$ such that for each $M \subset C$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{co} M \subset \operatorname{co}_{p} M+B_{\epsilon / 4} \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\operatorname{co}_{p} M$ denotes the set of sums $\lambda_{1} x_{1}+\cdots+\lambda_{p} x_{p}$ with $\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{p}\right) \in \triangle^{p}$ and $x_{i} \in M, 1 \leq i \leq p$. We first claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\mathrm{co}_{2} F_{a\left(\frac{\epsilon}{4}\right)}\left(T^{l}\right) \subset F_{\frac{\epsilon}{4}}\left(T^{l}\right)\right) \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $l \in G_{a\left(\frac{\epsilon}{4}\right)}$, where $a\left(\frac{\epsilon}{4}\right)$ and $G_{a\left(\frac{\epsilon}{4}\right)}$ are defined in (3.1) and (3.2). In fact, let $x_{0}, x_{1} \in F_{a\left(\frac{\epsilon}{4}\right)}\left(T^{l}\right)$ and $x_{t}=t x_{0}+(1-t) x_{1}$ for some $0<t<1$. Put $x=$ $(1-t)\left(T^{l} x_{t}-x_{1}\right)$ and $y=t\left(x_{0}-T^{l} x_{t}\right)$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|x\| & \leq(1-t)\left(\left\|T^{l} x_{t}-T^{l} x_{1}\right\|+\left\|T^{l} x_{1}-x_{1}\right\|\right) \\
& \leq t(1-t)\left\|x_{0}-x_{1}\right\|+2(1-t) a\left(\frac{\epsilon}{4}\right)(\leq R) \\
\|y\| & \leq t(1-t)\left\|x_{0}-x_{1}\right\|+2 t a\left(\frac{\epsilon}{4}\right)(\leq R)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\|x-y\|=\left\|T^{l} x_{t}-x_{t}\right\|
$$

We show the claim in the following two cases.
Case I. If $t(1-t)\left\|x_{0}-x_{1}\right\| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{10}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|T^{l} x_{t}-x_{t}\right\| & =\|x-y\| \leq\|x\|+\|y\| \\
& \leq 2 t(1-t)\left\|x_{0}-x_{1}\right\|+2 a(\epsilon / 4) \\
& <\frac{\epsilon}{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

Case II. If $t(1-t)\left\|x_{0}-x_{1}\right\|>\frac{\epsilon}{10}$, then $t(1-t)>\frac{\epsilon}{5 R}$. Therefore we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|t x+(1-t) y\| & \leq\left(t(1-t)\left\|x_{0}-x_{1}\right\|+2 a\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{4}\right)\right)\left(1-2 t(1-t) \delta_{X}\left(\frac{\|x-y\|}{R}\right)\right) \\
& \leq t(1-t)\left\|x_{0}-x_{1}\right\|+2 a\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{4}\right)-2 t^{2}(1-t)^{2}\left\|x_{0}-x_{1}\right\| \delta_{X}\left(\frac{\|x-y\|}{R}\right) \\
& \leq t(1-t)\left\|x_{0}-x_{1}\right\|+2 a\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{4}\right)-\frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{15 R} \delta_{X}\left(\frac{\|x-y\|}{R}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

That is

$$
\delta_{X}\left(\frac{\|x-y\|}{R}\right) \leq \frac{30 R}{\epsilon^{2}} a\left(\frac{\epsilon}{4}\right)<\delta_{X}\left(\frac{\epsilon}{4 R}\right) .
$$

It follows that

$$
\left\|T^{l} x_{t}-x_{t}\right\| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{4}
$$

This shows (4.10) holds. By induction, we also have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{co}_{p} F_{\delta}\left(T^{l}\right) \subset F_{\frac{\epsilon}{4}}\left(T^{l}\right) \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\delta=a^{(p-1)}(\epsilon / 4)$ and $l \in G_{a^{(p-1)}(\epsilon / 4)}$. From (4.9) and (4.11), we get

$$
\operatorname{co} F_{\delta}\left(T^{l}\right) \subset F_{\frac{\epsilon}{4}}\left(T^{l}\right)+B_{\frac{\epsilon}{4}} .
$$

But

$$
\left.C \cap\left(F_{\frac{\epsilon}{4}}\left(T^{l}\right)+B_{\frac{\varepsilon}{4}}\right) \subset F_{\epsilon}\left(T^{l}\right)\right)
$$

because

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|T^{l} x-x\right\| & \leq\|x-y\|+\left\|y-T^{l} y\right\|+\left\|T^{l} y-T^{l} x\right\| \\
& \leq 2\|x-y\|+\left\|y-T^{l} y\right\|+c_{l} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof.
Lemma 4.3. For each $0<\varepsilon<1$ and $l \in \mathcal{N}_{\frac{\varepsilon}{4}}$, there exist $\alpha \in A$ and $n_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{N}$ such that

$$
T\left(\lambda_{\alpha}\right) T^{n+n_{\alpha}} x \subset F_{\varepsilon}\left(T^{l}\right) \text { for all } n \in \mathcal{N}
$$

Proof. For $l \in \mathcal{N}_{\frac{\varepsilon}{4}}$, from (4.8), there exists $\alpha \in A$ such that

$$
\left\|\lambda_{\alpha}-r_{l}^{*} \lambda_{\alpha}\right\|<\frac{\varepsilon}{R}
$$

By Lemma 4.1, there is an $n_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{N}$ such that

$$
\left\|T^{l} T\left(\lambda_{\alpha}\right) T^{n+n_{\alpha}} x-T\left(\lambda_{\alpha}\right) T^{l+n+n_{\alpha}} x\right\|<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}
$$

for all $n \in \mathcal{N}$. It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|T^{l} T\left(\lambda_{\alpha}\right) T^{n+n_{\alpha}} x-T\left(\lambda_{\alpha}\right) T^{n+n_{\alpha}} x\right\| & \leq\left\|T^{l} T\left(\lambda_{\alpha}\right) T^{n+n_{\alpha}} x-T\left(\lambda_{\alpha}\right) T^{l+n+n_{\alpha}} x\right\| \\
& +\left\|T\left(\lambda_{\alpha}\right) T^{l+n+n_{\alpha}} x-T\left(\lambda_{\alpha}\right) T^{n+n_{\alpha}} x\right\| \\
& \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}+d\left\|\lambda_{\alpha}-r_{l}^{*} \lambda_{\alpha}\right\| \\
& <\varepsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof.

Lemma 4.4. Let $\mu$ be a Banach limit, and $x \in C$. Then

$$
T(\mu) x \in F(T) \bigcap_{m \in \mathcal{N}} \overline{c o}\left\{T^{n} x: n \geq m\right\}
$$

proof. We only need to prove that $T(\mu) x$ is the fixed point of $T$. Let $\varepsilon>0$, then we can choose $l_{0} \in \mathcal{N}$ such that

$$
\overline{F_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}\left(T^{l}\right)} \subset F_{\varepsilon}\left(T^{l}\right) \text { for all } l \geq l_{0}
$$

By Lemma 4.2, there exists an $\delta>0$ and $l_{1} \geq l_{0}$ such that

$$
\operatorname{co}_{\delta} \subset F_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}\left(T^{l}\right) \text { for all } l \geq l_{1}
$$

it follows that

$$
\overline{c o} F_{\delta}\left(T^{l}\right) \subset F_{\varepsilon}\left(T^{l}\right) \text { for all } l \geq l_{1}
$$

By Lemma 4.3, there exist $l_{2} \geq l_{1}$ and for each $l \geq l_{2}$, there exist $\alpha \in A$ and $n_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{N}$ such that

$$
T\left(\lambda_{\alpha}\right) T^{n+n_{\alpha}} x \subset F_{\delta}\left(T^{l}\right)
$$

for all $n \in \mathcal{N}$. It follows that

$$
T(\mu) x=\mu_{n}\left\langle T\left(\lambda_{\alpha}\right) T^{n+n_{\alpha}} x\right\rangle \subset \overline{c o} F_{\delta}\left(T^{l}\right) \subset F_{\varepsilon}\left(T^{l}\right)
$$

This implies that $T^{l} T(\mu) x \rightarrow T(\mu) x$ strongly as $l \rightarrow \infty$. Since $T^{N}$ is continuous for some $n \in \mathcal{N}$, we have $T^{N} T(\mu) x=\lim _{l \rightarrow \infty} T^{N} T^{l} T(\mu) x=T(\mu) x$. This implies that $T(T(\mu) x)=T^{1+l N}(T(\mu) x) \rightarrow T(\mu) x$ as $l \rightarrow \infty$. That is $T(\mu) x \in F(T)$. This completes the proof.

Now we can give the proof of Theorem 1.
Proof of Theorem 1. Let $\mu$ be a Banach limit,for $x \in C$, put $P x=T(\mu) x$. It then follows from Lemma 4.4 that $P$ is a retraction from $C$ onto $F(T)$ and $P x \in$ $\cap_{m} \overline{c o}\left\{T^{n} x: n \geq m\right\}$ for all $x \in C$. For $x, y \in C$ and $m \in \mathcal{N}$, we have

$$
\|P x-P y\|=\left\|\mu(n) T^{n+m} x-\mu(n) T^{n+m} y\right\| \leq\|x-y\|+c_{m}(x) .
$$

Which proves $(i)$. Finally, since $P x \in F(T), T P x=P x$ is obvious. That $P T x=P x$ follows from the following reasoning:

$$
P T x=T(\mu) T x=\mu(n) T^{n} T x=\mu(n) T^{n+1} x=T(u) x=P x .
$$

To continue the proof of Theorem 3, we also need some Lemmas.

Lemma 4.5. [11]. Let $X$ be a real Banach space, then for all $x, y \in X$

$$
\|x+y\|^{2} \leq\|x\|^{2}+2\langle y, j(x+y)\rangle
$$

for all $j(x+y) \in J(x+y)$.
We now turn to the proofs of Theorem 2 and Theorem 3. In the rest of this section, let $x \in C$ and $\left\{a_{n}\right\},\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ and $\mu$ be as in (3.1).
Lemma 4.6. Let $x_{n_{i}}$ be a subsequence of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ and $\mu$ be a Banach limit. Then there exists the unique element $z$ of $C$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{i}\left\|x_{n_{i}}-z\right\|^{2}=\min _{y \in C} \mu_{i}\left\|x_{n_{i}}-y\right\|^{2} \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the point $z$ is a fixed point of $T$.
Proof. Let $f$ be a real valued function on $C$ defined by

$$
f(y)=\mu_{i}\left\|x_{n_{i}}-y\right\|^{2} \quad \text { for each } \quad y \in C .
$$

Then we know from [31] that $f$ is continuous and convex and satisfies $\lim _{\|y\| \rightarrow \infty} f(y)=$ $\infty$. Therefore there exists a unique $z \in C$ such that $f(z)=\min \{f(y): y \in C\}$. Now , we show that $z$ is a fixed point of $T$. by the proof of Lemma 4.4 it is enough to show that $\lim _{l \rightarrow \infty} T^{l} z=z$. To this end, from Property 1 we have, for each $l \in \mathcal{N}$,

$$
\left\|x_{n_{i}}-\frac{T^{l} z+z}{2}\right\|^{2} \leq \frac{1}{2}\left\|x_{n_{i}}-T^{l} z\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left\|x_{n_{i}}-z\right\|^{2}-\frac{1}{4} g\left(\left\|T^{l} z-z\right\|\right)
$$

That is

$$
g\left(\left\|T^{l} z-z\right\|\right) \leq 2\left(f\left(T^{l} z\right)-f(z)\right)
$$

since we have from Lemma 4.4 and (3.2) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|x_{n_{i}}-T^{l} z\right\| & \leq a_{n_{i}}\left\|x-T^{l} z\right\|+\left(1-a_{n_{i}}\right)\left\|T(\mu) x_{n_{i}}-T^{l} z\right\| \\
& \leq a_{n_{i}}\left\|x-T^{l} z\right\|+\left(1-a_{n_{i}}\right)\left(c_{l}+\|T(\mu) x-z\|\right) \\
& \leq a_{n_{i}}\left(\left\|x-T^{l} z\right\|+\|x-z\|\right)+c_{l}+\left\|x_{n_{i}}-z\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
g\left(\left\|T^{l} z-z\right\|\right) & \leq \mu_{i}\left(c_{l}+\left\|x_{n_{i}}-z\right\|\right)^{2}-\mu_{i}\left\|x_{n_{i}}-z\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq c_{l} \mu_{i}\left(c_{l}+2\left\|x_{n_{i}}-z\right\|\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that $T^{l} z \rightarrow z$ strongly. This completes the proof.

Lemma 4.7. Suppose that the norm of $X$ is uniformly Gâteaux differentiable. Then

$$
\left\langle x_{n}-x, J\left(x_{n}-z\right)\right\rangle \leq 0
$$

for all $n \in \mathcal{N}$ and $z \in F(T)$.
proof. Let $z \in F(T)$. since $x_{n}-x=\frac{1-a_{n}}{a_{n}}\left(T(\mu) x_{n}-x_{n}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle x_{n}-x, J\left(x_{n}-z\right)\right\rangle & =\frac{1-a_{n}}{a_{n}}\left\langle T(\mu) x_{n}-x_{n}, J\left(x_{n}-z\right)\right\rangle \\
& =\frac{1-a_{n}}{a_{n}}\left(\left\langle T(\mu) x_{n}-z, J\left(x_{n}-z\right)\right\rangle+\left\langle z-x_{n}, J\left(x_{n}-z\right)\right\rangle\right) \\
& \leq \frac{1-a_{n}}{a_{n}}\left(\left\|T(\mu) x_{n}-z\right\|\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|-\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|^{2}\right) \\
& \leq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 4.8. Suppose that the norm of $X$ is uniformly Gâteaux differentiable. Then the set $\left\{x_{n}: n \in \mathcal{N}\right\}$ is a relative compact subset of $C$ and each strong limit point of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is fixed point.

Proof. Let $\left\{x_{n_{i}}\right\}$ be a subsequence of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$, it then follow from Lemma 4.7 that there is unique element $z$ of $F(T)$ satisfying (4.15). By Lemma 4.8, we get $\left\langle x_{n_{i}}-\right.$ $x, J\left(x_{n_{i}}-z\right\rangle \leq 0$. This inequality and Proposition 2 yield

$$
\mu_{i}\left\|x_{n_{i}}-z\right\|^{2} \leq \mu_{i}\left\langle x-z, J\left(x_{n_{i}}-z\right)\right\rangle \leq 0 .
$$

By (2.2), there exists a subsequence of $\left\{x_{n_{i}}\right\}$ converging strongly to $z$. This completes the proof.

Proof of Theorem 2. Put $a_{n}=\frac{1}{n}$. First we shall show that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to an element of $F(T)$. By Lemma 4.8, we know that $\left\{x_{n}: n \geq 1\right\}$ is a relative compact subset of $C$. Let $\left\{x_{n_{i}}\right\}$ and $\left\{x_{m_{i}}\right\}$ be subsequences of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converging strongly to $y$ and $z$ of $F(T)$, respectively. We shall show that $y=z$. From Lemma 4.7, we have $\langle y-x, J(y-z)\rangle \leq 0$ and $\langle z-x, J(z-y)\rangle \leq 0$. So we get $\|y-z\|^{2} \leq 0$, i.e., $y=z$. So $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to an element of $F(T)$. Hence we can define a mapping $P$ from $C$ onto $F(T)$ by $P x=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}$. Using Lemma 4.7 again, we have $\langle P x-x, J(P x-z)\rangle \leq 0$ for all $x \in C$ and $z \in F(T)$. Therefore $P$ is the sunny, nonexpansive retraction by Proposition 4.

Proof of Theorem 3. Let $\left\{x_{n_{i}}\right\}$ be a subsequence of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converging strong to an element $y$ of $F(T)$. we shall show $y=P x$. By Lemma 4.7, we have $\left\langle x_{n_{i}}-x, J\left(x_{n_{i}}-\right.\right.$ $P x)\rangle \leq 0$. So we get $\langle y-x, J(y-P x)\rangle \leq 0$. Hence we get

$$
\|y-P x\|^{2} \leq\langle x-P x, J(y-P x)\rangle \leq 0
$$

by Proposition 4. This completes the proof.
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