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#### Abstract

We prove upper and lower bounds for the complementary incomplete gamma function $\Gamma(a, z)$ with complex parameters $a$ and $z$. Our bounds are refined within the circular hyperboloid of one sheet $\{(a, z):|z|>c|a-1|\}$ with $a$ real and $z$ complex. Our results show that within the hyperboloid, $|\Gamma(a, z)|$ is of order $|z|^{a-1} e^{-\operatorname{Re}(z)}$, and extends an upper estimate of Natalini and Palumbo to complex values of $z$.
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## 1. Introduction

Euler's gamma function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma(a):=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-x} x^{a-1} d x \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

defined for complex $a$, with simple poles at $-1,-2, \ldots$, is an important object in many areas of mathematics and has been widely studied. The incomplete gamma function $\gamma(a, z)$ and its complement $\Gamma(a, z)$, defined for $z>0$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma(a, z):=\int_{0}^{z} e^{-x} x^{a-1} d x \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma(a, z):=\int_{z}^{\infty} e^{-x} x^{a-1} d x \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

also appear in many different contexts and applications. For example, $\gamma(a, z)$ appears in the asymptotic expansions of the Bessel functions [10, pp. 204-205], and $\Gamma(a, z)$ is closely related to the generalized complementary error function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{erfc}_{p}(x):=\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{x}^{\infty} e^{-t^{p}} d t=\frac{2}{p \sqrt{\pi}} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{p}, x^{p}\right) . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

One can find an extended and highly readable overview on $\gamma(a, z)$ and $\Gamma(a, z)$ in [3].
Our present investigation was motivated by the need for explicit upper bounds on $|\Gamma(a, z)|$ in large regions of the complex $z$-plane in order to give effective asymptotic formulas for Laguerre Polynomials [2]. While there are many asymptotic formulas [5], [7], [8], [9], and inequalities [1], [4], [6] for $\Gamma(a, z)$ in the literature, these results were

[^0]not applicable in the context of [2] either because the errors to the asymptotics were non-effective, or because the inequalities were only proved for restricted domains.

For example, Alzer [1, eq. 2.6] derived inequalities for the generalized complementary error function, and thus for $\Gamma\left(1 / p, x^{p}\right)$ by (1.4), but only for real positive $x$ and $p$. Of particular note are the inequalities of Natalini and Palumbo [4], which include the following: for $a>1, B>1$ and $x>\frac{B}{B-1}(a-1)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{a-1} e^{-x}<|\Gamma(a, x)|<B x^{a-1} e^{-x} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The inequalities of both Alzer and Natalini-Palumbo were proved for real $a$ and $z$. In this note, we prove upper and lower bounds for $|\Gamma(a, z)|$ that are uniform in the region $|z|>c|a-1|$, where $c$ is a constant and both $a$ and $z$ are complex. We also prove that our upper bound is asymptotically tight.

## 2. Bounds on $\Gamma(a, z)$

We begin by making a change of variable in (1.3) to obtain the following alternative definition for $\Gamma(a, z)$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma(a, z)=z^{a} e^{-z} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-z s}(1+s)^{a-1} d s \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is clear that (2.1) can be analytically continued for complex values of both $a$ and $z$, and converges for all $a$ when $\operatorname{Re}(z)>0$, and at least for $\operatorname{Re}(a)<0$ if $\operatorname{Re}(z)=0$. With $\Gamma(a, z)$ expressed as in (2.1), estimating $|\Gamma(a, z)|$ reduces to estimating the integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(a, z):=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-z s}(1+s)^{a-1} d s \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For convenience, we write $z=x+i y, a=u+i v$, where $u, v, x, y \in \mathbb{R}$. We begin with two trivial estimates.
Theorem 2.1. When $x>0$, we have

$$
|I(a, z)| \leq \begin{cases}\frac{1}{x-(u-1)}, & \text { if } u \geq 1  \tag{2.3}\\ \frac{1}{x}, & \text { if } u \leq 1\end{cases}
$$

Proof. When $u \geq 1$, we have $0<(1+s)^{u-1} \leq\left(e^{s}\right)^{u-1}$ for $s \in[0, \infty)$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
|I(a, z)| & \leq \int_{0}^{\infty}\left|e^{-z s}(1+s)^{a-1}\right| d s \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-x s} e^{(u-1) s} d s=\frac{1}{x-(u-1)}
\end{aligned}
$$

When $u \leq 1$, we use the estimate $0 \leq(1+s)^{u-1} \leq 1$.
Theorem 2.2. For $x \geq 0$ and $u<0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|I(a, z)| \leq-\frac{1}{u} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We apply the same argument as that of Theorem 2.1 except we bound $\left|e^{-z s}\right|<1$ instead of $(1+s)^{u-1}$. This yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
|I(a, z)| & \leq \int_{0}^{\infty}\left|e^{-z s}(1+s)^{a-1}\right| d s \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{\infty}(1+s)^{u-1} d s=-\frac{1}{u}
\end{aligned}
$$

We may now prove our key upper bound.
Theorem 2.3. Let $a$ and $z$ be complex numbers with $\operatorname{Re}(z)>0$, and set $\theta_{n}:=\arg (a-$ $n$ ), where $n$ is any positive integer. Then, for $u=\operatorname{Re}(a) \notin \mathbb{Z}$, we have,

$$
|I(a, z)| \leq \begin{cases}\frac{1}{|z|}\left(1+\left|\sec \theta_{1}\right|\right), & \text { if } u<1  \tag{2.5}\\ \frac{1}{|z|}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{N-2}\left|\frac{a-1}{z}\right|^{k}+\left(1+\left|\sec \theta_{N}\right|\right)\left|\frac{a-1}{z}\right|^{N-1}\right), & \text { if } u>1\end{cases}
$$

where $N=\lceil u\rceil$ is the smallest integer greater than or equal to $u$.
Proof. Since we are integrating with respect to the real variable $s$, we integrate by parts to find that

$$
\begin{align*}
I(a, z) & =\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-z s}(1+s)^{a-1} d s \\
& =-\left.\frac{e^{-z s}}{z}(1+s)^{a-1}\right|_{s=0} ^{\infty}+\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{a-1}{z} e^{-z s}(1+s)^{a-2} d s \\
& =\frac{1}{z}+\frac{a-1}{z} I(a-1, z) . \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

For $u<1$, we have, by (2.6) and Theorem 2.2,

$$
\begin{align*}
|I(a, z)| & \leq \frac{1}{|z|}+\left|\frac{1-a}{z}\right| \cdot \frac{1}{1-u} \\
& =\frac{1}{|z|}\left(1+\sqrt{1+\tan ^{2} \theta_{1}}\right)=\frac{1}{|z|}\left(1+\left|\sec \theta_{1}\right|\right) \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, suppose $u>1$. By iterating (2.6), we find that for any fixed integer $N>0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(a, z)=\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \frac{(a-1)(a-2) \cdots(a-k)}{z^{k+1}}+\frac{(a-1) \cdots(a-N)}{z^{N}} I(a-N, z) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set $N=\lceil u\rceil$. Then for $1 \leq k<N$ we have,

$$
|(a-1) \cdots(a-k)| \leq|a-1|^{k} .
$$

since $u>1$. Clearly, we also have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|(a-1) \cdots(a-N)| \leq|a-1|^{N-1}|a-N| . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, using (2.8), (2.9), and Theorem 2.2, we find that

$$
\begin{align*}
|I(a, z)| & \leq \sum_{k=0}^{N-1}\left|\frac{(a-1)(a-2) \cdots(a-k)}{z^{k+1}}\right|+\left|\frac{(a-1) \cdots(a-N)}{z^{N}} I(a-N, z)\right| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{|z|} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \frac{|a-1|^{k}}{|z|^{k}}+\frac{|a-1|^{N-1}|a-N|}{|z|^{N}}|I(a-N, z)| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{|z|}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{N-1}\left|\frac{a-1}{z}\right|^{k}+\left|\frac{a-1}{z}\right|^{N-1}\left|\sec \theta_{N}\right|\right) \tag{2.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that $\theta_{N}$ tends to zero as $\operatorname{Im}(a)$ approaches zero, provided that $\operatorname{Re}(a)>1$ and is not an integer. Hence, for real $a$, we may refine the estimate in (2.5) by improving the bound in (2.9).
Theorem 2.4. Let $z$ be complex with $\operatorname{Re}(z)>0$ and a be real. Let $N=\lceil a\rceil$ as in Theorem 2.3. Then we have

$$
|I(a, z)| \leq \begin{cases}\frac{2}{|z|}, & \text { if } a<1  \tag{2.11}\\ \frac{1}{|z|} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1}\left|\frac{a-1}{z}\right|^{k}, & \text { if } a \geq 1, a \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \frac{1}{|z|}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{N-1}\left|\frac{a-1}{z}\right|^{k}+\left|\frac{a-1}{z}\right|^{N-1} \frac{(N-1)!}{\left.(N-1)^{N-1}\right),}\right. & \text { if } a>1, a \notin \mathbb{Z}\end{cases}
$$

Proof. When $a<1$, the inequality follows from Theorem 2.3 since $\theta_{1}=\pi$. When $a>1$, we follow the proof of Theorem 2.3 except that instead of the estimate (2.9), we use

$$
\begin{equation*}
|(a-1) \cdots(a-N+1)| \leq|a-1|^{N-1} \prod_{k=0}^{N-1}\left|\frac{a-1-k}{a-1}\right| \leq|a-1|^{N-1} \frac{(N-1)!}{(N-1)^{N-1}} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

since for any $0<m \leq n$ and $\varepsilon>0$ we have

$$
\frac{m}{n} \leq \frac{m+\varepsilon}{n+\varepsilon}
$$

Applying (2.12) and Theorem 2.2 to the $N$ th iterate of (2.6) gives the desired result, since when $a$ is an integer, the product $(a-1) \cdots(a-N)=0$.

Given the form of the bound in Theorem 2.4, it is natural to consider what happens within the circular hyperboloid of one sheet given by $\{(a, z):|z|>c|a-1|\}$. In fact, in this region, we obtain a very clean upper bound. The original requirement in [2] was met with $c=2$.
Corollary 2.5. Let $c>1$. For complex $z$ and real $a$ with $\operatorname{Re}(z)>0, a \geq 1$, and $|z| \geq c(a-1)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|I(a, z)| \leq \frac{1}{|z|} \cdot \frac{c}{c-1} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is valid for $a \geq 1$ if $1<c \leq 440$ and valid for $a>2$ for all $c>1$.
Proof. Since $|z| \geq c(a-1)$ we may bound (2.11) by

$$
\begin{aligned}
|I(a, z)| & \leq \frac{1}{|z|}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} c^{-1}+\frac{(N-1)!}{(N-1)^{N-1} c^{N-1}}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{|z|}\left(\frac{c-c^{-N}}{c-1}+\frac{(N-1)!}{(N-1)^{N-1} c^{N-1}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Stirling's Formula, we know that

$$
n!<n^{n} e^{-n+1 / 12 n} \sqrt{2 \pi n}
$$

For convenience, let $n=N-1$. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
|I(a, z)| & \leq \frac{1}{|z|}\left(\frac{c-c^{-n+1}}{c-1}+c^{-n} e^{-n+1 / 12 n} \sqrt{2 \pi n}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{|z|}\left(\frac{c}{c-1}+\frac{c^{-n}(c-1) e^{-n+1 / 12 n} \sqrt{2 \pi n}-c^{-n+1}}{c-1}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{|z|} \cdot \frac{c}{c-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

whenever

$$
(c-1) e^{-n+1 / 12 n} \sqrt{2 \pi n}-c \leq 0 .
$$

Or, equivalently,

$$
e^{-n+1 / 12 n} \sqrt{2 \pi n} \leq 1+\frac{1}{c-1}
$$

It is easy to see that the left-hand side is a decreasing function of $n$ for $n \geq 1$, and is less than one if $n \geq 2$. The inequality is valid for $n=1$ if

$$
1<c \leq 1+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi} e^{-11 / 12}-1} \approx 440.66 \ldots
$$

The upper bound in Corollary 2.5 is best possible in the following limiting sense.

## Theorem 2.6.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{a \rightarrow \infty}(a-1) I(a, c(a-1))=\frac{1}{c-1} . \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We derive the result from the following well-known [3, Eq. 2.12], [9] asymptotic formula for $\Gamma(a, z)$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma(a+1, x)=\frac{e^{-x} x^{a}}{x-a}\left(1-\frac{a}{(x-a)^{2}}+\frac{2 a}{(x-a)^{3}}+O\left(\frac{a^{2}}{(x-a)^{4}}\right)\right) \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $\sqrt{a} /(x-a)$ tends to zero. Thus, by the definition of $I(a, z)$, we find that,

$$
\begin{align*}
\lim _{a \rightarrow \infty} a I(a+1, c a) & =\lim _{a \rightarrow \infty} a \Gamma(a+1, c a) e^{c a}(c a)^{-a} \\
& =\lim _{a \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a}{c a-a}\left(1+O\left(\frac{a}{(c a-a)^{2}}\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{c-1}, \tag{2.16}
\end{align*}
$$

since $\sqrt{a} /(c a-a) \rightarrow 0$ as $a \rightarrow \infty$. Replacing $a$ with $a-1$ gives the stated claim.

## 3. A Lower bound

To determine a lower bound for $|I(a, z)|$, we apply the functional equation (2.6).
Theorem 3.1. Let $c \geq 2$. Let $z$ be complex and a be real with $\operatorname{Re}(z)>0, a>3$, and $|z| \geq c(a-1)$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|I(a, z)| \geq \frac{1}{|z|} \cdot \frac{c-2}{c-1} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By (2.6), we have

$$
I(a, z)-\frac{a-1}{z} I(a-1, z)=\frac{1}{z} .
$$

Therefore, by the triangle inequality we find that

$$
|I(a, z)| \geq \frac{1}{|z|}-\frac{|a-1|}{|z|}|I(a-1, z)| .
$$

Since $|z| \geq c(a-1)>c(a-1-1)$ and $a-1>2$ we may apply the upper bound in Corollary 2.5 to $|I(a-1, z)|$. The result follows after some simplification.

We close with a two-sided corollary.
Corollary 3.2. Let $c, z$, and $a$ be as in Theorem 3.1, and recall that $x=\operatorname{Re}(z)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
|z|^{a-1} e^{-x} \cdot \frac{c-2}{c-1} \leq|\Gamma(a, z)| \leq|z|^{a-1} e^{-x} \cdot \frac{c}{c-1} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us compare (3.2) with the Natalini-Palumbo bound (1.5). If we let $c=B /(B-1)$, we readily find that $B=c /(c-1)$. Thus, we see that the upper bound in (3.2) extends (1.5) to complex $z$. However, even though the lower bound in (3.2) is of the same order as that of (1.5), it is much weaker when $c$ is near 2 .
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