Variational Methods in the Presence of Symmetry Ongoing research with Jim Zhu (WMU) Optimization of Planet Earth, AustMS 2013, Sydney

Jon Borwein and Qiji Zhu

University of Newcastle



and Western Michigan University

August 8, 2013

Abstract

This talk and associated paper [1] aim to survey and to provide a unified framework to connect a diverse group of results, currently scattered in the literature, that can be aided by applying variational methods to problems involving symmetry.

Variational methods refer to mathematical treatment by construction of an appropriate action function whose critical points—or saddle points—correspond to or contain the desired solutions.

Abstract

This talk and associated paper [1] aim to survey and to provide a unified framework to connect a diverse group of results, currently scattered in the literature, that can be aided by applying variational methods to problems involving symmetry.

Variational methods refer to mathematical treatment by construction of an appropriate action function whose critical points—or saddle points—correspond to or contain the desired solutions.

How to capture and exploit symmetry is the theme of the talk

[1] JM Borwein and Qiji Zhu, "Variational methods in the presence of symmetry." Advances in Nonlinear Analysis. Online June 2013. DOI: http://www.degruyter.com/view/j/anona. ahead-of-print/anona-2013-1001/anona-2013-1001.xml

Abstract

This talk and associated paper [1] aim to survey and to provide a unified framework to connect a diverse group of results, currently scattered in the literature, that can be aided by applying variational methods to problems involving symmetry.

Variational methods refer to mathematical treatment by construction of an appropriate action function whose critical points—or saddle points—correspond to or contain the desired solutions.

How to capture and exploit *symmetry* is the theme of the talk

[1] JM Borwein and Qiji Zhu, "Variational methods in the presence of symmetry." Advances in Nonlinear Analysis. Online June 2013. DOI: http://www.degruyter.com/view/j/anona. ahead-of-print/anona-2013-1001/anona-2013-1001.xml

Symmetry and invariance Variational problems involving symmetry

Symmetry in our setting

Symmetry: is invariance with respect to some appropriate group or more usually a semigroup action

Exploiting symmetry – as elsewhere – often simplifies discovering and establishing solutions





Jim Qiji Zhu and Noah Erasmus Yao

Symmetry in our setting

Symmetry: is invariance with respect to some appropriate group or more usually a semigroup action

Exploiting symmetry – as elsewhere – often simplifies discovering and establishing solutions



Jim Qiji Zhu and



Noah Erasmus Yao

Variational methods: Finding solutions by modeling them as (approximate) critical points of an action function (potential).

Variational methods: Finding solutions by modeling them as (approximate) critical points of an action function (potential).

In dealing with variational problems involving symmetry:

• The invariance of the action function may not be preserved in the process of symmetrization

Variational methods: Finding solutions by modeling them as (approximate) critical points of an action function (potential).

- The invariance of the action function may not be preserved in the process of symmetrization
- The invariance of the action function maybe different from what we need for critical points

Variational methods: Finding solutions by modeling them as (approximate) critical points of an action function (potential).

- The invariance of the action function may not be preserved in the process of symmetrization
- The invariance of the action function maybe different from what we need for critical points
- The process of symmetrization may not be compatible with the geometry of the underlying space

Variational methods: Finding solutions by modeling them as (approximate) critical points of an action function (potential).

- The invariance of the action function may not be preserved in the process of symmetrization
- The invariance of the action function maybe different from what we need for critical points
- The process of symmetrization may not be compatible with the geometry of the underlying space
- We may only be able to get approximate symmetry

Variational methods: Finding solutions by modeling them as (approximate) critical points of an action function (potential).

In dealing with variational problems involving symmetry:

- The invariance of the action function may not be preserved in the process of symmetrization
- The invariance of the action function maybe different from what we need for critical points
- The process of symmetrization may not be compatible with the geometry of the underlying space
- We may only be able to get approximate symmetry

Our goal is to summarize, in a systematic way, various methods for dealing with variational problems with symmetry

Variational methods: Finding solutions by modeling them as (approximate) critical points of an action function (potential).

In dealing with variational problems involving symmetry:

- The invariance of the action function may not be preserved in the process of symmetrization
- The invariance of the action function maybe different from what we need for critical points
- The process of symmetrization may not be compatible with the geometry of the underlying space
- We may only be able to get approximate symmetry

Our goal is to summarize, in a systematic way, various methods for dealing with variational problems with symmetry

Invariance

Let G be a *semigroup* acting on a complete metric space (X,d)

Definition: Invariance of a function

We say a lsc function $f: X \to R \cup \{+\infty\}$: is *G*-subinvariant if

 $f(gx) \leq f(x) \ \forall g \in G, x \in X,$

is G-superinvariant if

 $f(gx) \ge f(x) \ \forall g \in G, x \in X,$

and is G-invariant if f is both sub and super invariant.

When G is a group these are all the same

Invariance and Symmetrization Simple extremal principle involving symmetry Compatible metrics Variational principles in the presence of symmetry

Symmetrization

Definition: $S: X \to X$ is a (G, f)-symmetrization if

(i) for any
$$g \in G, x \in X$$
, $S(gx) = gS(x) = S(x)$;

(ii) for any
$$x \in X$$
, $S^2(x) = S(x)$;

(iii) for any $x \in X$, $f(S(x)) \leq f(x)$

If $S(x) \in cl (G \cdot x)$ then (iii) always holds but:

Invariance and Symmetrization Simple extremal principle involving symmetry Compatible metrics Variational principles in the presence of symmetry

Symmetrization

Definition: $S: X \rightarrow X$ is a (G, f)-symmetrization if

- (i) for any $g \in G, x \in X$, S(gx) = gS(x) = S(x);
- (ii) for any $x \in X$, $S^2(x) = S(x)$;
- (iii) for any $x \in X$, $f(S(x)) \leq f(x)$

If $S(x) \in cl (G \cdot x)$ then (iii) always holds but:

- 1. verifying that $S(x) \in \operatorname{cl}(G \cdot x)$ is very hard, if even possible
- 2. usually, verifying (iii) is the key and is difficult

Invariance and Symmetrization Simple extremal principle involving symmetry Compatible metrics Variational principles in the presence of symmetry

Symmetrization

Definition: $S: X \rightarrow X$ is a (G, f)-symmetrization if

(i) for any
$$g \in G, x \in X$$
, $S(gx) = gS(x) = S(x)$;

(ii) for any
$$x \in X$$
, $S^2(x) = S(x)$;

(iii) for any $x \in X$, $f(S(x)) \leq f(x)$

If $S(x) \in cl (G \cdot x)$ then (iii) always holds but:

- 1. verifying that $S(x) \in cl (G \cdot x)$ is very hard, if even possible
- 2. usually, verifying (iii) is the key and is difficult

A simple extremal principle involving symmetry

The following idea captures the essence of variational methods in the presence of symmetry

Simple Extremal Principle (SEP)

Let $f: X \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ be a *G*-subinvariant function and *S* be a (G, f)-symmetrization. Then

 $S(\operatorname{argmin}(f)) \subseteq \operatorname{argmin}(f).$

Proof of SEP. One can not properly minorize the minimum! QED

A simple extremal principle involving symmetry

The following idea captures the essence of variational methods in the presence of symmetry

Simple Extremal Principle (SEP)

Let $f: X \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ be a *G*-subinvariant function and *S* be a (G, f)-symmetrization. Then

 $S(\operatorname{argmin}(f)) \subseteq \operatorname{argmin}(f).$

Proof of SEP. One can not properly minorize the minimum! QED

Compatible metrics

${\bf Q}.$ What if the existence of the extremum is not guaranteed?

A. We need symmetric versions of "variational principles". This requires a compatible metric.

Definition: Metric d is (G,S)-compatible if

(i) For any $x \in X$, $g \in G$, $d(x, y) \ge d(gx, gy)$; and

(ii) For any $x, y \in X$, $d(x, S(y)) \ge d(S(x), S(y))$.

Compatible metrics

 ${\bf Q}.$ What if the existence of the extremum is not guaranteed?

A. We need symmetric versions of "variational principles". This requires a compatible metric.

Definition: Metric d is (G,S)-compatible if

(i) For any $x \in X$, $g \in G$, $d(x, y) \ge d(gx, gy)$; and

(ii) For any $x, y \in X$, $d(x, S(y)) \ge d(S(x), S(y))$.

When G is a group, (i) is equivalent to, for any $x \in X$ and $g \in G$,

d(x,y) = d(gx,gy),

i.e. g is an isometry.

Compatible metrics

 $\ensuremath{\mathbf{Q}}\xspace.$ What if the existence of the extremum is not guaranteed?

A. We need symmetric versions of "variational principles". This requires a compatible metric.

Definition: Metric d is (G,S)-compatible if

(i) For any $x \in X$, $g \in G$, $d(x, y) \ge d(gx, gy)$; and

(ii) For any $x, y \in X$, $d(x, S(y)) \ge d(S(x), S(y))$.

When G is a group, (i) is equivalent to, for any $x \in X$ and $g \in G$,

d(x, y) = d(gx, gy),

i.e. g is an isometry.

Q. How can we build equivalent compatible metrics?

Compatible metrics

 ${\bf Q}.$ What if the existence of the extremum is not guaranteed?

A. We need symmetric versions of "variational principles". This requires a compatible metric.

Definition: Metric d is (G,S)-compatible if

(i) For any $x \in X$, $g \in G$, $d(x, y) \ge d(gx, gy)$; and

(ii) For any $x, y \in X$, $d(x, S(y)) \ge d(S(x), S(y))$.

When G is a group, (i) is equivalent to, for any $x \in X$ and $g \in G$,

d(x,y) = d(gx,gy),

i.e. g is an isometry.

Q. How can we build equivalent compatible metrics?

Variational principles in the presence of symmetry

Symmetric Variational Principle (SymVP)

Let (X,d) be a complete metric space. Let $f: X \to R \cup \{+\infty\}$ be an *G*-invariant lsc function bounded below and let *S* be a (G,f)-symmetrization such that *d* is (G,S)-compatible.

Then, for any $\varepsilon, \lambda > 0$ there exist y, z such that

- (i) $f(S(z)) < \inf_X f(x) + \varepsilon$;
- (ii) $d(S(y),S(z)) \leq \lambda$;
- (iii) $f(S(y)) + (\varepsilon/\lambda)d(S(y), S(z)) \leq f(S(z))$; and

(iv) $f(x) + (\varepsilon/\lambda)d(x,S(y)) \ge f(S(y)).$

For $G = \{e\}$ we get classic Ekeland variational principle (1972)

Variational principles in the presence of symmetry

Symmetric Variational Principle (SymVP)

Let (X,d) be a complete metric space. Let $f: X \to R \cup \{+\infty\}$ be an *G*-invariant lsc function bounded below and let *S* be a (G,f)-symmetrization such that *d* is (G,S)-compatible.

Then, for any $\varepsilon, \lambda > 0$ there exist y, z such that

- (i) $f(S(z)) < \inf_X f(x) + \varepsilon$;
- (ii) $d(S(y),S(z)) \leq \lambda$;
- (iii) $f(S(y)) + (\varepsilon/\lambda)d(S(y), S(z)) \leq f(S(z))$; and

(iv) $f(x) + (\varepsilon/\lambda)d(x,S(y)) \ge f(S(y))$.

For $G = \{e\}$ we get classic Ekeland variational principle (1972)

Invariance and Symmetrization Simple extremal principle involving symmetry Compatible metrics Variational principles in the presence of symmetry

Variational Principle in Pictures



Producing a (local) non-dominated point

Introduction Invariance and Symmetrization Motivation Simple extremal principle involving symmetry Framework and tools Compatible metrics Eight Applications or Examples Variational principles in the presence of symmetry

Proof of SymVP

Since f is invariant we can find S(z) satisfying (i), that is:

 $f(S(z)) < \inf_X f(x) + \varepsilon.$

Apply Ekeland's variational principle to find y satisfying (iia) $d(y,S(z)) \le \lambda$; (iiia) $f(y) + (\varepsilon/\lambda)d(y,S(z)) \le f(S(z))$; and (iva) $f(x) + (\varepsilon/\lambda)d(x,y) \ge f(y), \forall x \in X$. Introduction Invariance and Symmetrization Motivation Simple extremal principle involving symmetry Framework and tools Compatible metrics Eight Applications or Examples Variational principles in the presence of symmetry

Proof of SymVP

Since f is invariant we can find S(z) satisfying (i), that is:

 $f(S(z)) < \inf_X f(x) + \varepsilon.$

Apply Ekeland's variational principle to find y satisfying (iia) $d(y,S(z)) \leq \lambda$; (iiia) $f(y) + (\varepsilon/\lambda)d(y,S(z)) \leq f(S(z))$; and (iva) $f(x) + (\varepsilon/\lambda)d(x,y) \geq f(y), \forall x \in X$.

Finally, we check that S(y) does what we need.

Proof of SymVP

Since f is invariant we can find S(z) satisfying (i), that is:

 $f(S(z)) < \inf_X f(x) + \varepsilon.$

Apply Ekeland's variational principle to find y satisfying (iia) $d(y,S(z)) \le \lambda$; (iiia) $f(y) + (\varepsilon/\lambda)d(y,S(z)) \le f(S(z))$; and (iva) $f(x) + (\varepsilon/\lambda)d(x,y) \ge f(y), \forall x \in X$.

Finally, we check that S(y) does what we need.

QED

• A Symmetric Smooth Variational Principle can be similarly established

Proof of SymVP

Since f is invariant we can find S(z) satisfying (i), that is:

 $f(S(z)) < \inf_X f(x) + \varepsilon.$

Apply Ekeland's variational principle to find y satisfying (iia) $d(y,S(z)) \le \lambda$; (iiia) $f(y) + (\varepsilon/\lambda)d(y,S(z)) \le f(S(z))$; and (iva) $f(x) + (\varepsilon/\lambda)d(x,y) \ge f(y), \forall x \in X$.

Finally, we check that S(y) does what we need. **QED**

• A Symmetric Smooth Variational Principle can be similarly established

Introduction Invariance and Symmetrization Motivation Simple extremal principle involving symmetry Framework and tools Compatible metrics Eight Applications or Examples Variational principles in the presence of symmetry

Other Symmetric Variational Principles



Ekeland VP and Smooth VP

Two other forms of SymVP use approximation of *Schwarz symmetry* via *polarization* (discussed below)

- Squassina M., "Symmetry in variational principles and applications", *Journal of London Math Soc.* 2012
- Van Schaftingen J., "Universal approximation of symmetrization by polarization", Proc. AMS, 2005

Other Symmetric Variational Principles



Ekeland VP and Smooth VP

Two other forms of SymVP use approximation of *Schwarz* symmetry via polarization (discussed below)

- Squassina M., "Symmetry in variational principles and applications", *Journal of London Math Soc.* 2012
- Van Schaftingen J., "Universal approximation of symmetrization by polarization", Proc. AMS, 2005

The principles are simple – given the right definitions – but one must find G,S and show compatibility.

Other Symmetric Variational Principles



Ekeland VP and Smooth VP

Two other forms of SymVP use approximation of *Schwarz* symmetry via polarization (discussed below)

- Squassina M., "Symmetry in variational principles and applications", Journal of London Math Soc. 2012
- Van Schaftingen J., "Universal approximation of symmetrization by polarization", Proc. AMS, 2005

The principles are simple – given the right definitions – but one must find G,S and show compatibility. We will give illustrative examples & applications as time permits (many more in paper).

Jon Borwein and Qiji Zhu Variational Methods in the Presence of Symmetry

Other Symmetric Variational Principles



Ekeland VP and Smooth VP

Two other forms of SymVP use approximation of *Schwarz* symmetry via polarization (discussed below)

- Squassina M., "Symmetry in variational principles and applications", Journal of London Math Soc. 2012
- Van Schaftingen J., "Universal approximation of symmetrization by polarization", Proc. AMS, 2005

The principles are simple – given the right definitions – but one must find G,S and show compatibility. We will give illustrative examples & applications as time permits (many more in paper).

Invariance of action function not preserved by symmetrization Symmetry mismatching Part II: Approximate symmetrization and the Laplacian Saddle points: Symmetric Criticality and the Mountain Pass

Proof of AG inequality by using symmetry

Consider

$$\min f(x) := -\sum_{n=1}^N \log(x_n) + \iota_C(x),$$

where $C := \{x : \langle x, \vec{1} \rangle = K, x \ge 0\}$, while vector $\vec{1}$ has all components 1, and $\iota_C(x) = 0, x \in C$ and $+\infty$ otherwise

- Then *f* is permutation (P(N)) invariant
- $S(x) = \overline{x1}$ is a (P(N), f)-symmetrization¹

 ${}^1\bar{x}$ is the average of components of x

Invariance of action function not preserved by symmetrization Symmetry mismatching Part II: Approximate symmetrization and the Laplacian Saddle points: Symmetric Criticality and the Mountain Pass

Proof of AG inequality by using symmetry

Consider

$$\min f(x) := -\sum_{n=1}^N \log(x_n) + \iota_C(x),$$

where $C := \{x : \langle x, \vec{1} \rangle = K, x \ge 0\}$, while vector $\vec{1}$ has all components 1, and $\iota_C(x) = 0, x \in C$ and $+\infty$ otherwise

- Then f is permutation (P(N)) invariant
- $S(x) = \bar{x}\vec{1}$ is a (P(N), f)-symmetrization¹

1 By SEP f has a minimum of the form $S(x) = \overline{x} \overline{1}$

- 2 $S(x) \in C$ forces $\bar{x} = K/N$ and min = $-N\log(K/N)$
- **3** This "easily" leads to the AG inequality

 $1\bar{x}$ is the average of components of x
Invariance of action function not preserved by symmetrization Symmetry mismatching Part II: Approximate symmetrization and the Laplacian Saddle points: Symmetric Criticality and the Mountain Pass

Proof of AG inequality by using symmetry

Consider

$$\min f(x) := -\sum_{n=1}^N \log(x_n) + \iota_C(x),$$

where $C := \{x : \langle x, \vec{1} \rangle = K, x \ge 0\}$, while vector $\vec{1}$ has all components 1, and $\iota_C(x) = 0, x \in C$ and $+\infty$ otherwise

- Then f is permutation (P(N)) invariant
- $S(x) = \bar{x}\vec{1}$ is a (P(N), f)-symmetrization¹

() By SEP f has a minimum of the form $S(x) = \bar{x}\vec{1}$ **(2)** $S(x) \in C$ forces $\bar{x} = K/N$ and min= $-N\log(K/N)$ **(3)** This "easily" leads to the AG inequality

Note that $S(x) \notin \operatorname{cl} P(N) \cdot x$ unless $x = a\vec{1}$

 ${}^1\bar{x}$ is the average of components of x

Invariance of action function not preserved by symmetrization Symmetry mismatching Part II: Approximate symmetrization and the Laplacian Saddle points: Symmetric Criticality and the Mountain Pass

Proof of AG inequality by using symmetry

Consider

$$\min f(x) := -\sum_{n=1}^N \log(x_n) + \iota_C(x),$$

where $C := \{x : \langle x, \vec{1} \rangle = K, x \ge 0\}$, while vector $\vec{1}$ has all components 1, and $\iota_C(x) = 0, x \in C$ and $+\infty$ otherwise

- Then f is permutation (P(N)) invariant
- $S(x) = \bar{x}\vec{1}$ is a (P(N), f)-symmetrization¹

1 By SEP f has a minimum of the form $S(x) = \bar{x}\vec{1}$ **2** $S(x) \in C$ forces $\bar{x} = K/N$ and min= $-N\log(K/N)$ **3** This "easily" leads to the AG inequality

Note that $S(x) \notin \operatorname{cl} P(N) \cdot x$ unless $x = a\vec{1}$

 $1\bar{x}$ is the average of components of x

Invariance of action function not preserved by symmetrization Symmetry mismatching Part II: Approximate symmetrization and the Laplacian Saddle points: Symmetric Criticality and the Mountain Pass

Proof of Relative entropy inequality

(MAJORIZATION)

Consider

$$\min f(p,q) := -\sum_{n=1}^{N} p_n \log(p_n/q_n) + \iota_C(p,q),$$

where $C := \{(p,q) : \langle p, \vec{1} \rangle = \langle q, \vec{1} \rangle = 1, (p,q) \ge 0\}$

- Then f is P(N)-invariant (all permutations) with action $g(p,q) := (gp,gq), g \in P(N)$
- $S(p,q) = (\bar{p}\vec{1},\bar{q}\vec{1})$ is a (G,f)-symmetrization

Invariance of action function not preserved by symmetrization Symmetry mismatching Part II: Approximate symmetrization and the Laplacian Saddle points: Symmetric Criticality and the Mountain Pass

Proof of Relative entropy inequality

(MAJORIZATION)

Consider

$$\min f(p,q) := -\sum_{n=1}^{N} p_n \log(p_n/q_n) + \iota_C(p,q),$$

where $C := \{(p,q) : \langle p, \vec{1} \rangle = \langle q, \vec{1} \rangle = 1, (p,q) \ge 0\}$

- Then f is P(N)-invariant (all permutations) with action $g(p,q) := (gp, gq), g \in P(N)$
- $S(p,q) = (\bar{p}\vec{1},\bar{q}\vec{1})$ is a (G,f)-symmetrization

1 Again, *f* has a minimum $S(p,q) = (\overline{p}\vec{1}, \overline{q}\vec{1})$ **2** $S(x) \in C$ forces $S(p,q) = (\vec{1}, \vec{1})$ and minimum is 0 as needed

Invariance of action function not preserved by symmetrization Symmetry mismatching Part II: Approximate symmetrization and the Laplacian Saddle points: Symmetric Criticality and the Mountain Pass

Proof of Relative entropy inequality

(MAJORIZATION)

Consider

$$\min f(p,q) := -\sum_{n=1}^{N} p_n \log(p_n/q_n) + \iota_C(p,q),$$

where $C := \{(p,q) : \langle p, \vec{1} \rangle = \langle q, \vec{1} \rangle = 1, (p,q) \ge 0\}$

- Then f is P(N)-invariant (all permutations) with action $g(p,q) := (gp, gq), g \in P(N)$
- $S(p,q) = (\bar{p}\vec{1},\bar{q}\vec{1})$ is a (G,f)-symmetrization
 - **()** Again, f has a minimum $S(p,q) = (\bar{p}\vec{1},\bar{q}\vec{1})$

2 $S(x) \in C$ forces $S(p,q) = (\vec{1},\vec{1})$ and minimum is 0 as needed

Note that, in general, f(p,q) > f(S(p,q))

Invariance of action function not preserved by symmetrization Symmetry mismatching Part II: Approximate symmetrization and the Laplacian Saddle points: Symmetric Criticality and the Mountain Pass

Proof of Relative entropy inequality

(MAJORIZATION)

Consider

$$\min f(p,q) := -\sum_{n=1}^{N} p_n \log(p_n/q_n) + \iota_C(p,q),$$

where $C := \{(p,q) : \langle p, \vec{1} \rangle = \langle q, \vec{1} \rangle = 1, (p,q) \ge 0\}$

- Then f is P(N)-invariant (all permutations) with action $g(p,q) := (gp, gq), g \in P(N)$
- $S(p,q) = (\bar{p}\vec{1},\bar{q}\vec{1})$ is a (G,f)-symmetrization

1 Again, f has a minimum $S(p,q) = (\bar{p}\vec{1},\bar{q}\vec{1})$ **2** $S(x) \in C$ forces $S(p,q) = (\vec{1},\vec{1})$ and minimum is 0 as needed Note that, in general, f(p,q) > f(S(p,q))

Invariance of action function not preserved by symmetrization $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Symmetry}}$ mismatching

Part II: Approximate symmetrization and the Laplacian Saddle points: Symmetric Criticality and the Mountain Pass

Example 3: Subdifferentials of spectral functions (R^N)

The subdifferential of a convex function f on \mathbb{R}^N is

$$\partial f(x) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^N : x \in \operatorname{argmin}(f - y)\}$$

Subdifferential of Spectral Functions

(Lewis 1999) Let $f: \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ be a convex P(N)-invariant function. Then

 $y \in \partial f(x)$

iff

$$y^{\downarrow} \in \partial f(x^{\downarrow})$$
 and $\langle x, y \rangle = \langle x^{\downarrow}, y^{\downarrow} \rangle$,

where x^{\downarrow} is a decreasing rearrangement of the components

Invariance of action function not preserved by symmetrization $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Symmetry\ mismatching}}$

Part II: Approximate symmetrization and the Laplacian Saddle points: Symmetric Criticality and the Mountain Pass

Example 3: Subdifferentials of spectral functions (R^N)

The subdifferential of a convex function f on \mathbb{R}^N is

$$\partial f(x) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^N : x \in \operatorname{argmin}(f - y)\}$$

Subdifferential of Spectral Functions

(Lewis 1999) Let $f: \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ be a convex P(N)-invariant function. Then

 $y \in \partial f(x)$

iff

$$y^{\downarrow} \in \partial f(x^{\downarrow})$$
 and $\langle x, y \rangle = \langle x^{\downarrow}, y^{\downarrow} \rangle$,

where x^{\downarrow} is a decreasing rearrangement of the components

Although f is P(N)-invariant its subdifferential y is usually not

Invariance of action function not preserved by symmetrization $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Symmetry\ mismatching}}$

Part II: Approximate symmetrization and the Laplacian Saddle points: Symmetric Criticality and the Mountain Pass

Example 3: Subdifferentials of spectral functions (R^N)

The subdifferential of a convex function f on \mathbb{R}^N is

$$\partial f(x) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^N : x \in \operatorname{argmin}(f - y)\}$$

Subdifferential of Spectral Functions

(Lewis 1999) Let $f: \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ be a convex P(N)-invariant function. Then

 $y \in \partial f(x)$

iff

$$y^{\downarrow} \in \partial f(x^{\downarrow})$$
 and $\langle x, y \rangle = \langle x^{\downarrow}, y^{\downarrow} \rangle$,

where x^{\downarrow} is a decreasing rearrangement of the components

Although f is P(N)-invariant its subdifferential y is usually not

Invariance of action function not preserved by symmetrization Symmetry mismatching Part II: A pergramate symmetrization and the Laplacian

Saddle points: Symmetric Criticality and the Mountain Pass

Example 3: Key steps of Proof

- u_{ij} switch components x_i, x_j of x if $(x_i x_j)(i j) < 0$
- $G^{\downarrow} \subset P(N)$ the semigroup of finite compositions of u_{ij}
- Then f is G^{\downarrow} -invariant and
- $S(x) = x^{\downarrow}$ is a (G, f)-symmetrization

Invariance of action function not preserved by symmetrization Symmetry mismatching Part II: A previous symmetrization and the Laplacian

Saddle points: Symmetric Criticality and the Mountain Pass

Example 3: Key steps of Proof

- u_{ij} switch components x_i, x_j of x if $(x_i x_j)(i j) < 0$
- $G^{\downarrow} \subset P(N)$ the semigroup of finite compositions of u_{ij}
- Then f is G^{\downarrow} -invariant and
- $S(x) = x^{\downarrow}$ is a (G, f)-symmetrization
 - **1** By the Von Neumann-Theobald inequality $f y^{\downarrow}$ is G^{\downarrow} -subinvariant

Invariance of action function not preserved by symmetrization Symmetry mismatching Part II: Approximate symmetrization and the Laplacian

Saddle points: Symmetric Criticality and the Mountain Pass

Example 3: Key steps of Proof

- u_{ij} switch components x_i, x_j of x if $(x_i x_j)(i j) < 0$
- $G^{\downarrow} \subset P(N)$ the semigroup of finite compositions of u_{ij}
- Then f is G^{\downarrow} -invariant and
- $S(x) = x^{\downarrow}$ is a (G, f)-symmetrization
 - **1** By the Von Neumann-Theobald inequality² $f y^{\downarrow}$ is G^{\downarrow} -subinvariant
 - **2** Choose $g_y \in P(N)$ such that $y = g_y y^{\downarrow}$
 - 3 Then h(z) := f(z) ⟨y[↓], z⟩ = f(g_yz) ⟨y, g_yz⟩ attains its minimum at z = g_y⁻¹x and, therefore, also at z[↓]

Invariance of action function not preserved by symmetrization Symmetry mismatching Part II: Approximate symmetrization and the Laplacian

Saddle points: Symmetric Criticality and the Mountain Pass

Example 3: Key steps of Proof

- u_{ij} switch components x_i, x_j of x if $(x_i x_j)(i j) < 0$
- $G^{\downarrow} \subset P(N)$ the semigroup of finite compositions of u_{ij}
- Then f is G^{\downarrow} -invariant and
- $S(x) = x^{\downarrow}$ is a (G, f)-symmetrization
 - **1** By the Von Neumann-Theobald inequality² $f y^{\downarrow}$ is G^{\downarrow} -subinvariant
 - **2** Choose $g_y \in P(N)$ such that $y = g_y y^{\downarrow}$
 - **3** Then $h(z) := f(z) \langle y^{\downarrow}, z \rangle = f(g_y z) \langle y, g_y z \rangle$ attains its minimum at $z = g_y^{-1} x$ and, therefore, also at z^{\downarrow}
 - **4** We can verify that $z^{\downarrow} = (g_y^{-1}x)^{\downarrow} = x^{\downarrow}$
 - **6** That is: $y^{\downarrow} \in \partial f(x^{\downarrow})$

Invariance of action function not preserved by symmetrization Symmetry mismatching Part II: Approximate symmetrization and the Laplacian

Saddle points: Symmetric Criticality and the Mountain Pass

Example 3: Key steps of Proof

- u_{ij} switch components x_i, x_j of x if $(x_i x_j)(i j) < 0$
- $G^{\downarrow} \subset P(N)$ the semigroup of finite compositions of u_{ij}
- Then f is G^{\downarrow} -invariant and
- $S(x) = x^{\downarrow}$ is a (G, f)-symmetrization
 - **1** By the Von Neumann-Theobald inequality² $f y^{\downarrow}$ is G^{\downarrow} -subinvariant
 - **2** Choose $g_y \in P(N)$ such that $y = g_y y^{\downarrow}$
 - **3** Then $h(z) := f(z) \langle y^{\downarrow}, z \rangle = f(g_y z) \langle y, g_y z \rangle$ attains its minimum at $z = g_y^{-1} x$ and, therefore, also at z^{\downarrow}
 - **4** We can verify that $z^{\downarrow} = (g_y^{-1}x)^{\downarrow} = x^{\downarrow}$
 - **5** That is: $y^{\downarrow} \in \partial f(x^{\downarrow})$

QED

 $^{^{2}\}langle A,B\rangle \leq \langle \lambda(A),\lambda(B)\rangle$ for symmetric matrices.

Invariance of action function not preserved by symmetrization Symmetry mismatching

Part II: Approximate symmetrization and the Laplacian Saddle points: Symmetric Criticality and the Mountain Pass

Example 4: Spectral Functions (l^2)

Notation. For functions of (symmetric) nuclear equivalently Hilbert-Schmidt operators we use:

$l^2 := \{ x = \sum_{n = -\infty}^{\infty} x_n e^n : \sum_{n = -\infty}^{\infty} x_n^2 < \infty \}$

Invariance of action function not preserved by symmetrization Symmetry mismatching Part II: Approximate symmetrization and the Laplacian

Saddle points: Symmetric Criticality and the Mountain Pass

Example 4: Spectral Functions (l^2)

Notation. For functions of (symmetric) nuclear equivalently Hilbert-Schmidt operators we use:

$$l^2 := \{ x = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} x_n e^n : \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} x_n^2 < \infty \}$$

2 Right shift
$$R_S x := \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} x_{n-1} e^{i \pi x_n}$$

3 Left shift $L_S x := \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} x_{n+1} e^n$

Invariance of action function not preserved by symmetrization Symmetry mismatching Part II: Approximate symmetrization and the Laplacian

Saddle points: Symmetric Criticality and the Mountain Pass

Example 4: Spectral Functions (l^2)

Notation. For functions of (symmetric) nuclear equivalently Hilbert-Schmidt operators we use:

$$l^2 := \{ x = \sum_{n = -\infty}^{\infty} x_n e^n : \sum_{n = -\infty}^{\infty} x_n^2 < \infty \}$$

2 Right shift
$$R_S x := \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} x_{n-1} e^n$$

3 Left shift
$$L_S x := \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} x_{n+1} e^n$$

5 Hamilton product $x \circ y := \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} x_n y_n e^n$

Invariance of action function not preserved by symmetrization Symmetry mismatching Part II: Approximate symmetrization and the Laplacian

Saddle points: Symmetric Criticality and the Mountain Pass

Example 4: Spectral Functions (l^2)

Notation. For functions of (symmetric) nuclear equivalently Hilbert-Schmidt operators we use:

$$l^2 := \{ x = \sum_{n = -\infty}^{\infty} x_n e^n : \sum_{n = -\infty}^{\infty} x_n^2 < \infty \}$$

2 Right shift
$$R_S x := \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} x_{n-1} e^n$$

3 Left shift
$$L_S x := \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} x_{n+1} e^n$$

- $Inner product \qquad \langle x, y \rangle := \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} x_n y_n$
- **6** Hamilton product $x \circ y := \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} x_n y_n e^n$
- **6** Unit vector for $k < l \ (\pm \infty \text{ allowed})$ $1_k^l := \sum_{n=k}^l e^n$

Invariance of action function not preserved by symmetrization Symmetry mismatching Part II: Approximate symmetrization and the Laplacian

Saddle points: Symmetric Criticality and the Mountain Pass

Example 4: Spectral Functions (l^2)

Notation. For functions of (symmetric) nuclear equivalently Hilbert-Schmidt operators we use:

$$l^2 := \{ x = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} x_n e^n : \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} x_n^2 < \infty \}$$

- **2** Right shift $R_S x := \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} x_{n-1} e^n$
- **3** Left shift $L_S x := \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} x_{n+1} e^n$
- $Inner product \qquad \langle x, y \rangle := \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} x_n y_n$
- **6** Hamilton product $x \circ y := \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} x_n y_n e^n$
- **6** Unit vector for k < l ($\pm \infty$ allowed) $1_k^l := \sum_{n=k}^l e^n$

Invariance of action function not preserved by symmetrization Symmetry mismatching Part II: Approximate symmetrization and the Laplacian

Saddle points: Symmetric Criticality and the Mountain Pass

Example 4: Symmetry of Spectral Subdifferential

Define $S(x) = x^*$ to be a rearrangement such that

- 1 nonnegative components decrease with nonnegative indices,
- **2** negative components increase as negative indices increase.

Example. if

$$x = (\dots, -2, 3, -1, -5, -4, 7, 4, 5, 2, 0, 0, \dots)$$

then

$$x^* = (\dots, 0, -1, -2, -4, -5, 7, 5, 4, 3, 2, 0, \dots)$$

Invariance of action function not preserved by symmetrization Symmetry mismatching Part II: Approximate symmetrization and the Laplacian

Saddle points: Symmetric Criticality and the Mountain Pass

Example 4: Symmetry of Spectral Subdifferential

Define $S(x) = x^*$ to be a rearrangement such that

- 1 nonnegative components decrease with nonnegative indices,
- **2** negative components increase as negative indices increase.

Example. if

$$x = (\dots, -2, 3, -1, -5, -4, 7, 4, 5, 2, 0, 0, \dots)$$

then

$$x^* = (\dots, 0, -1, -2, -4, -5, 7, 5, 4, 3, 2, 0, \dots)$$

Invariance of action function not preserved by symmetrization Symmetry mismatching

Part II: Approximate symmetrization and the Laplacian Saddle points: Symmetric Criticality and the Mountain Pass

Example of the *-rearrangement in l^2



Invariance of action function not preserved by symmetrization $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Symmetry}}$ mismatching

Part II: Approximate symmetrization and the Laplacian Saddle points: Symmetric Criticality and the Mountain Pass

Symmetry of Spectral Subdifferential

Spectral Subdifferential (Borwein, Lewis, Read & Zhu 2000)

Let $f: l^2 \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ be a convex rearrangement invariant function. Then

 $y \in \partial f(x)$

iff

$$y^* \in \partial f(x^*)$$
 and $\langle x, y \rangle = \langle x^*, y^* \rangle$.

Can be done for c_0 and all Shatten p-class operators $(1 \le p < \infty)$ [Conjugation: $c_0 \to \ell^1 \to \ell^\infty$ and $C_s(H) \to B_1(H) \to B_s(H)$]

Invariance of action function not preserved by symmetrization Symmetry mismatching

Part II: Approximate symmetrization and the Laplacian Saddle points: Symmetric Criticality and the Mountain Pass

Symmetry of Spectral Subdifferential

Spectral Subdifferential (Borwein, Lewis, Read & Zhu 2000)

Let $f: l^2 \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ be a convex rearrangement invariant function. Then

 $y \in \partial f(x)$

iff

$$y^* \in \partial f(x^*)$$
 and $\langle x, y \rangle = \langle x^*, y^* \rangle$.

Can be done for c_0 and all Shatten p-class operators $(1 \le p < \infty)$ [Conjugation: $c_0 \to \ell^1 \to \ell^\infty$ and $C_s(H) \to B_1(H) \to B_s(H)$]

Invariance of action function not preserved by symmetrization Symmetry mismatching Part II: Approximate symmetrization and the Laplacian

Saddle points: Symmetric Criticality and the Mountain Pass

Example 4: Switch and Move operators

Goal. define a semigroup G for which * is the natural symmetry

We need two basic operations: switch s_{nm} and move m_n

Invariance of action function not preserved by symmetrization Symmetry mismatching Part II: Approximate symmetrization and the Laplacian Soddle priority. Symmetric Criticality and the Mountain Page

Example 4: Switch and Move operators

Goal. define a semigroup G for which * is the natural symmetry

We need two basic operations: switch s_{nm} and move m_n

- The switch operator switches components x_n and x_m if n < m < 0 or $0 \le n < m$ to fit the order of *;
- 2 The move operator moves all positive components to the right of n = 0 (inclusive) and negative to the left of n = -1.



Invariance of action function not preserved by symmetrization Symmetry mismatching Part II: Approximate symmetrization and the Laplacian Saddle points: Symmetric Criticality and the Mountain Pass

Example 4: Switch and Move operators

Goal. define a semigroup G for which * is the natural symmetry

We need two basic operations: switch s_{nm} and move m_n

- The switch operator switches components x_n and x_m if n < m < 0 or $0 \le n < m$ to fit the order of *;
- **②** The move operator moves all positive components to the right of n = 0 (inclusive) and negative to the left of n = -1.



Invariance of action function not preserved by symmetrization Symmetry mismatching

Part II: Approximate symmetrization and the Laplacian Saddle points: Symmetric Criticality and the Mountain Pass

Visualizing Switch and Move



Invariance of action function not preserved by symmetrization Symmetry mismatching

Part II: Approximate symmetrization and the Laplacian Saddle points: Symmetric Criticality and the Mountain Pass

Definition of Switch and Move operators

Switch Operator

$$s_{nm}x := x - x_n e^n - x_m e^m + \max(x_n, x_m) e^n + \min(x_n, x_m) e^m$$

Move Operator

$$m_n x := \begin{cases} x \circ 1_{-\infty}^{k-1} - x_n e^n + x_n e^k + R_S(x \circ 1_k^{\infty}) & n < 0, x_n > 0\\ x \circ 1_{l+1}^{\infty} - x_n e^n + x_n e^l + L_S(x \circ 1_{-\infty}^l) & n \ge 0, x_n < 0\\ x & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}$$

where $k := \min\{m \ge 0 : \sup_{i \ge m} |x_i| < x_n\}$ and $l := \max\{m < 0 : \sup_{i \le m} |x_i| < -x_n\}$

Invariance of action function not preserved by symmetrization Symmetry mismatching

Part II: Approximate symmetrization and the Laplacian Saddle points: Symmetric Criticality and the Mountain Pass

Example 4: Switch and Move Inequalities

Switch and Move Inequalities. Let $x, y \in l^2$. Then

 $\langle y^*, x \rangle \leq \langle y^*, s_{nm}x \rangle,$

and

 $\langle y^*, x \rangle \leq \langle y^*, m_n x \rangle.$



"IT'S UNIFIED AND IT'S A THEORY BUT IT'S NOT THE UNIFIED THEORY WE'VE ALL BEEN LOOKING FOR."

Invariance of action function not preserved by symmetrization Symmetry mismatching

Part II: Approximate symmetrization and the Laplacian Saddle points: Symmetric Criticality and the Mountain Pass

Example 4: The missing semigroup

Definition: The semigroup H

Define H to be the semigroup of self-mappings on l^2 which (i) add or delete an arbitrary number of zeros and (ii) permute components

Though *H* is not a group, for $y \in l^2$ there exists $h_y, h^y \in H$ with

$$h_y y^* = y$$
 and $y^* = h^y y$.

Moreover, $G \subseteq H$.



Coxeter's 1927 kaleidoscope

Invariance of action function not preserved by symmetrization Symmetry mismatching Part II: Approximate symmetrization and the Laplacian

Saddle points: Symmetric Criticality and the Mountain Pass

Example 4: The missing semigroup

Definition: The semigroup H

Define H to be the semigroup of self-mappings on l^2 which (i) add or delete an arbitrary number of zeros and (ii) permute components

Though *H* is not a group, for $y \in l^2$ there exists $h_y, h^y \in H$ with

$$h_y y^* = y$$
 and $y^* = h^y y$.

Moreover, $G \subseteq H$.



Coxeter's 1927 kaleidoscope

Invariance of action function not preserved by symmetrization Symmetry mismatching Part II: Approximate symmetrization and the Laplacian

Saddle points: Symmetric Criticality and the Mountain Pass

Example 4: Proof that * is an (H, f)-symmetrization

1 Represent $G := \bigcup_{N=1}^{\infty} G_N$ where

 $G_N := \{ \text{ finite compositions of } s_{nm}, m_n \ \forall |n|, |m| \leq N \}$

- **2** By Switch and Move Ineq. $\varphi(x) = -\langle y^*, x \rangle$ is *G*-subinvariant
- **③** For $x \in l^2, h \in H$, if components of $x^* \circ 1_k^l$ are a subset of $\{(hx)_n, |n| \leq N\}$, then $\varphi(x)$ attains min on $G_N(hx)$ at some element x_h^N (key approximation)

Invariance of action function not preserved by symmetrization Symmetry mismatching Part II: Approximate symmetrization and the Laplacian

Saddle points: Symmetric Criticality and the Mountain Pass

Example 4: Proof that * is an (H, f)-symmetrization

1 Represent $G := \bigcup_{N=1}^{\infty} G_N$ where

 $G_N := \{ \text{ finite compositions of } s_{nm}, m_n \ \forall |n|, |m| \leq N \}$

- **2** By Switch and Move Ineq. $\varphi(x) = -\langle y^*, x \rangle$ is *G*-subinvariant
- **③** For $x \in l^2, h \in H$, if components of $x^* \circ 1_k^l$ are a subset of $\{(hx)_n, |n| \le N\}$, then $\varphi(x)$ attains min on $G_N(hx)$ at some element x_h^N (key approximation)
- **6** As $k \to -\infty, l \to \infty$ we see $x_h^N \to x^*$ as $N \to \infty$ **QED**

Invariance of action function not preserved by symmetrization Symmetry mismatching Part II: Approximate symmetrization and the Laplacian

Saddle points: Symmetric Criticality and the Mountain Pass

Example 4: Proof that * is an (H, f)-symmetrization

1 Represent $G := \bigcup_{N=1}^{\infty} G_N$ where

 $G_N := \{ \text{ finite compositions of } s_{nm}, m_n \ \forall |n|, |m| \leq N \}$

- **2** By Switch and Move Ineq. $\varphi(x) = -\langle y^*, x \rangle$ is *G*-subinvariant
- **③** For $x ∈ l^2, h ∈ H$, if components of $x^* ∘ 1_k^l$ are a subset of $\{(hx)_n, |n| ≤ N\}$, then φ(x) attains min on $G_N(hx)$ at some element x_h^N (key approximation)
- **4** We can verify $x^* \circ 1_k^l = x_h^N \circ 1_k^l$ **5** As $k \to -\infty, l \to \infty$ we see $x_h^N \to x^*$ as $N \to \infty$ QED

Invariance of action function not preserved by symmetrization Symmetry mismatching

Part II: Approximate symmetrization and the Laplacian Saddle points: Symmetric Criticality and the Mountain Pass

Example 4: Proof of Symmetry of Subdifferential

Let $y \in \partial f(x)$. Then, for all $z \in l^2$,

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) - \langle y^*, z \rangle &= f(h_y z) - \langle h_y y^*, h_y z \rangle \\ &= f(h_y z) - \langle y, h_y z \rangle \geq f(x) - \langle y, x \rangle \\ &= f(h^y x) - \langle y^*, h^y x \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Since f is H-invariant and * is an (H, f)-symmetrization,

$$f(z) - \langle y^*, z \rangle \ge f(x^*) - \langle y^*, x^* \rangle,$$

or $y^* \in \partial f(x^*)$.
Invariance of action function not preserved by symmetrization Symmetry mismatching

Part II: Approximate symmetrization and the Laplacian Saddle points: Symmetric Criticality and the Mountain Pass

Example 4: Proof of Symmetry of Subdifferential

Let $y \in \partial f(x)$. Then, for all $z \in l^2$,

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) - \langle y^*, z \rangle &= f(h_y z) - \langle h_y y^*, h_y z \rangle \\ &= f(h_y z) - \langle y, h_y z \rangle \geq f(x) - \langle y, x \rangle \\ &= f(h^y x) - \langle y^*, h^y x \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Since f is H-invariant and * is an (H, f)-symmetrization,

$$f(z) - \langle y^*, z \rangle \ge f(x^*) - \langle y^*, x^* \rangle,$$

or $y^* \in \partial f(x^*)$.

QED

Invariance of action function not preserved by symmetrization Symmetry mismatching

Part II: Approximate symmetrization and the Laplacian Saddle points: Symmetric Criticality and the Mountain Pass

Example 5: Laplace equation

Laplace Equation

The solutions of

$$\Delta u = f \text{ in } \Omega, \qquad u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$$

(1)

correspond to critical points of

$$F(u) := \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{|\nabla u|^2}{2} + fu \right) \mu(dx),$$
(2)

in the Sobolev space $H_0^1(\Omega)$.

Invariance of action function not preserved by symmetrization Symmetry mismatching Part II: Approximate symmetrization and the Laplacian

Example 5: Schwarz symmetry

We seek symmetric solution of Laplace's equation as follows:

Schwarz symmetrization (Decreasing rearrangement)

The symmetrization * on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathscr{M}, \mu)^+$ for a measurable $M \in \mathscr{M}$ is

 $M^* = B_r(0)$ where $\mu(M) = \mu(B_r(0))$

and for any $u \in L^2$ we then define u^* by

 $(u^* > c) = (u > c)^*.$

Does Schwarz symmetry of f and Ω ensure that of the solution?

Invariance of action function not preserved by symmetrization Symmetry mismatching Part II: Approximate symmetrization and the Laplacian

Example 5: Schwarz symmetry

We seek symmetric solution of Laplace's equation as follows:

Schwarz symmetrization (Decreasing rearrangement)

The symmetrization * on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathscr{M}, \mu)^+$ for a measurable $M \in \mathscr{M}$ is

 $M^* = B_r(0)$ where $\mu(M) = \mu(B_r(0))$

and for any $u \in L^2$ we then define u^* by

 $(u^* > c) = (u > c)^*.$

Does Schwarz symmetry of f and Ω ensure that of the solution?

Jakob Steiner (1796–1863) 'proved' *isoperimetric inequality* in 1836 by symmetrization wrt line. Hermann Schwarz (1843-1921) first considered hyperplanes.

Invariance of action function not preserved by symmetrization Symmetry mismatching Part II: Approximate symmetrization and the Laplacian

Example 5: Schwarz symmetry

We seek symmetric solution of Laplace's equation as follows:

Schwarz symmetrization (Decreasing rearrangement)

The symmetrization * on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathscr{M}, \mu)^+$ for a measurable $M \in \mathscr{M}$ is

 $M^* = B_r(0)$ where $\mu(M) = \mu(B_r(0))$

and for any $u \in L^2$ we then define u^* by

 $(u^* > c) = (u > c)^*.$

Does Schwarz symmetry of f and Ω ensure that of the solution?

Jakob Steiner (1796–1863) 'proved' *isoperimetric inequality* in 1836 by symmetrization wrt line. Hermann Schwarz (1843-1921) first considered hyperplanes.

Invariance of action function not preserved by symmetrization Symmetry mismatching

Part II: Approximate symmetrization and the Laplacian Saddle points: Symmetric Criticality and the Mountain Pass





Invariance of action function not preserved by symmetrization Symmetry mismatching Part II: Approximate symmetrization and the Laplacian

Saddle points: Symmetric Criticality and the Mountain Pass

Example 5: Polarization-building semigroup G

- Let $0 \notin H_0$ be a hyperplane dividing \mathbb{R}^N into two closed half-spaces $0 \in H_+$ and its complement H_-
- 2 Let σ be the reflection exchanging the two half-spaces

Definition: The polarization of f at H_0

$$f^{\sigma}(x) := \begin{cases} \max\{f(x), f(\sigma x)\} & x \in H_+, \\ \min\{f(x), f(\sigma x)\} & x \in H_-, \\ f(x) & x \in H_0. \end{cases}$$





Invariance of action function not preserved by symmetrization Symmetry mismatching

Part II: Approximate symmetrization and the Laplacian Saddle points: Symmetric Criticality and the Mountain Pass

Example 5: Polarization-building semigroup G

- Let $0 \notin H_0$ be a hyperplane dividing \mathbb{R}^N into two closed half-spaces $0 \in H_+$ and its complement H_-
- 2 Let σ be the reflection exchanging the two half-spaces

Definition: The polarization of f at H_0

$$f^{\sigma}(x) := \begin{cases} \max\{f(x), f(\sigma x)\} & x \in H_+, \\ \min\{f(x), f(\sigma x)\} & x \in H_-, \\ f(x) & x \in H_0. \end{cases}$$



• We next show a symmetrization of a function followed by a *sequence* of polarizations of the function

Jon Borwein and Qiji Zhu Variational Methods in the Presence of Symmetry

Invariance of action function not preserved by symmetrization Symmetry mismatching Part II: Approximate symmetrization and the Laplacian

Saddle points: Symmetric Criticality and the Mountain Pass

Example 5: Polarization-building semigroup G

- Let $0 \notin H_0$ be a hyperplane dividing \mathbb{R}^N into two closed half-spaces $0 \in H_+$ and its complement H_-
- 2 Let σ be the reflection exchanging the two half-spaces

Definition: The polarization of f at H_0

$$f^{\sigma}(x) := \begin{cases} \max\{f(x), f(\sigma x)\} & x \in H_+, \\ \min\{f(x), f(\sigma x)\} & x \in H_-, \\ f(x) & x \in H_0. \end{cases}$$



• We next show a symmetrization of a function followed by a *sequence* of polarizations of the function

Invariance of action function not preserved by symmetrization Symmetry mismatching

Part II: Approximate symmetrization and the Laplacian Saddle points: Symmetric Criticality and the Mountain Pass

Picture of
$$|x-1|$$
 on $[-2,2]$



Invariance of action function not preserved by symmetrization Symmetry mismatching

Part II: Approximate symmetrization and the Laplacian Saddle points: Symmetric Criticality and the Mountain Pass

Polarization of |x-1| on [-2,2]



Invariance of action function not preserved by symmetrization Symmetry mismatching

Part II: Approximate symmetrization and the Laplacian Saddle points: Symmetric Criticality and the Mountain Pass

Polarization of |x-1| on [-2,2]



Invariance of action function not preserved by symmetrization Symmetry mismatching

Part II: Approximate symmetrization and the Laplacian Saddle points: Symmetric Criticality and the Mountain Pass

Polarization of
$$|x-1|$$
 on $[-2,2]$



Invariance of action function not preserved by symmetrization Symmetry mismatching

Part II: Approximate symmetrization and the Laplacian Saddle points: Symmetric Criticality and the Mountain Pass

Polarization of |x-1| on [-2,2]



Invariance of action function not preserved by symmetrization $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Symmetry}}$ mismatching

Part II: Approximate symmetrization and the Laplacian Saddle points: Symmetric Criticality and the Mountain Pass

Example 5: Symmetrization Movie

The sequence of polarizations revisited



Invariance of action function not preserved by symmetrization Symmetry mismatching Part II: Approximate symmetrization and the Laplacian

Saddle points: Symmetric Criticality and the Mountain Pass

Properties of polarization: Brock and Solynin (1999)

Let G be semigroup of finite compositions of polarizations. Then

1 Hardy-Littlewood inequality:

$$\int fg \leq \int f^{\sigma}g^{\sigma} \qquad \forall \sigma \in G$$

2 Decreasing L^2 norm:

$$\|f-g\|_2 \ge \|f^{\sigma}-g^{\sigma}\|_2 \qquad \forall \sigma \in G$$

³ 4 illustrates *the curse of Sobolev*. It uses weak integration by parts.

Invariance of action function not preserved by symmetrization Symmetry mismatching Part II: Approximate symmetrization and the Laplacian

Properties of polarization: Brock and Solynin (1999)

Let G be semigroup of finite compositions of polarizations. Then

1 Hardy-Littlewood inequality:

$$\int fg \leq \int f^{\sigma}g^{\sigma} \qquad \forall \sigma \in G$$

2 Decreasing L^2 norm:

 $\|f-g\|_2 \ge \|f^{\sigma}-g^{\sigma}\|_2 \qquad \forall \sigma \in G$

- Strong approximation of Schwarz symmetrization in L²: there exists a sequence $g_k ∈ G · f$ such that $||g_k f^*||_2 → 0$
- Weak approximation of Schwarz symmetrization in H^1 : the sequence g_k may be chosen so that also $g_k \rightarrow f^*$ weakly³ in H^1

³ 4 illustrates the curse of Sobolev. It uses weak integration by parts.

Invariance of action function not preserved by symmetrization Symmetry mismatching Part II: Approximate symmetrization and the Laplacian

Properties of polarization: Brock and Solynin (1999)

Let G be semigroup of finite compositions of polarizations. Then

1 Hardy-Littlewood inequality:

$$\int fg \leq \int f^{\sigma}g^{\sigma} \qquad \forall \sigma \in G$$

2 Decreasing L^2 norm:

 $\|f - g\|_2 \ge \|f^{\sigma} - g^{\sigma}\|_2 \qquad \forall \sigma \in G$

- **③** Strong approximation of Schwarz symmetrization in L^2 : there exists a sequence $g_k ∈ G · f$ such that $||g_k f^*||_2 → 0$
- **(a)** Weak approximation of Schwarz symmetrization in H^1 : the sequence g_k may be chosen so that also $g_k f^*$ weakly³ in H^1
- **5** Characterization of $*: f^* = f$ iff gf = f for all $g \in G$

³ 4 illustrates *the curse of Sobolev*. It uses weak integration by parts.

Invariance of action function not preserved by symmetrization Symmetry mismatching Part II: Approximate symmetrization and the Laplacian

Properties of polarization: Brock and Solynin (1999)

Let G be semigroup of finite compositions of polarizations. Then

1 Hardy-Littlewood inequality:

$$\int fg \leq \int f^{\sigma}g^{\sigma} \qquad \forall \sigma \in G$$

2 Decreasing L^2 norm:

 $\|f - g\|_2 \ge \|f^{\sigma} - g^{\sigma}\|_2 \qquad \forall \sigma \in G$

- **③** Strong approximation of Schwarz symmetrization in L^2 : there exists a sequence $g_k ∈ G · f$ such that $||g_k f^*||_2 → 0$
- **Weak approximation** of Schwarz symmetrization in H^1 : the sequence g_k may be chosen so that also $g_k \rightarrow f^*$ weakly³ in H^1
- **6** Characterization of $*: f^* = f$ iff gf = f for all $g \in G$

6 Preservation of the norm: $||f^{\sigma}||_{H^1} = ||f||_{H^1}$

³ 4 illustrates *the curse of Sobolev*. It uses weak integration by parts.

Invariance of action function not preserved by symmetrization Symmetry mismatching Part II: Approximate symmetrization and the Laplacian

Properties of polarization: Brock and Solynin (1999)

Let G be semigroup of finite compositions of polarizations. Then

1 Hardy-Littlewood inequality:

$$\int fg \leq \int f^{\sigma}g^{\sigma} \qquad \forall \sigma \in G$$

2 Decreasing L^2 norm:

 $\|f - g\|_2 \ge \|f^{\sigma} - g^{\sigma}\|_2 \qquad \forall \sigma \in G$

- **③** Strong approximation of Schwarz symmetrization in L^2 : there exists a sequence $g_k ∈ G · f$ such that $||g_k f^*||_2 → 0$
- **Weak approximation** of Schwarz symmetrization in H^1 : the sequence g_k may be chosen so that also $g_k \rightarrow f^*$ weakly³ in H^1
- **6** Characterization of $*: f^* = f$ iff gf = f for all $g \in G$
- **6** Preservation of the norm: $||f^{\sigma}||_{H^1} = ||f||_{H^1}$

³ 4 illustrates the curse of Sobolev. It uses weak integration by parts.

Introduction Motivation Framework and tools Eight Applications or Examples Saddle points: Symmetric Criticality and the Mountain Pass



Invariance of action function not preserved by symmetrization Symmetry mismatching

Part II: Approximate symmetrization and the Laplacian Saddle points: Symmetric Criticality and the Mountain Pass

Example 5: Putting everything together for the Laplacian

Recall

$$F(u) := \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{|\nabla u|^2}{2} + fu \right) \mu(dx)$$

Then

() F is convex in H^1 and, therefore, weakly lower continuous,

- 2 when $f^* = f$, F is G-subinvariant, and
- **3** * is a (G,F)-symmetrization.

Thus, F has a symmetric minimum $u = u^*$. QED

- The use of approximate polarization is essential and nontrivial
- Using symmetry helped but did not make the work easy

Invariance of action function not preserved by symmetrization Symmetry mismatching

Part II: Approximate symmetrization and the Laplacian Saddle points: Symmetric Criticality and the Mountain Pass

Example 5: Putting everything together for the Laplacian

Recall

$$F(u) := \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{|\nabla u|^2}{2} + fu \right) \mu(dx)$$

Then

() F is convex in H^1 and, therefore, weakly lower continuous,

- 2 when $f^* = f$, F is G-subinvariant, and
- **3** * is a (G,F)-symmetrization.

Thus, *F* has a symmetric minimum $u = u^*$. QED

- The use of approximate polarization is essential and nontrivial
- Using symmetry helped but did not make the work easy

Invariance of action function not preserved by symmetrization Symmetry mismatching

Part II: Approximate symmetrization and the Laplacian Saddle points: Symmetric Criticality and the Mountain Pass

Example 6: Planar motion

The planar motion of two bodies

Mathematical formulation: minimize the action functional

$$F(x) := \int_0^P \left[\frac{\|x'(t)\|^2}{2} + \frac{1}{\|x(t)\|} \right] dt$$

in space of periodic orbits $\{x \in H^1([0,P], \mathbb{R}^2) : x(0) = x(P)\}$

- Clearly F is rotation invariant
- Kepler first 'showed' the solution is a circle
- Thus, both action function and solution are rotation invariant

Invariance of action function not preserved by symmetrization $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Symmetry}}$ mismatching

Part II: Approximate symmetrization and the Laplacian Saddle points: Symmetric Criticality and the Mountain Pass

Example 6: Planar motion

The planar motion of two bodies

Mathematical formulation: minimize the action functional

$$F(x) := \int_0^P \left[\frac{\|x'(t)\|^2}{2} + \frac{1}{\|x(t)\|} \right] dt$$

in space of periodic orbits $\{x \in H^1([0,P], \mathbb{R}^2) : x(0) = x(P)\}$

- Clearly F is rotation invariant
- Kepler first 'showed' the solution is a circle
- Thus, both action function and solution are rotation invariant

Invariance of action function not preserved by symmetrization Symmetry mismatching

Part II: Approximate symmetrization and the Laplacian Saddle points: Symmetric Criticality and the Mountain Pass

Example 6: Planar motion

Open Question: Can we find a (semi)group G and a (G,F)-symmetrization to fit this problem into the above framework?

Invariance of action function not preserved by symmetrization Symmetry mismatching Part II: Approximate symmetrization and the Laplacian

Saddle points: Symmetric Criticality and the Mountain Pass

Example 6: Planar motion

Open Question: Can we find a (semi)group G and a (G,F)-symmetrization to fit this problem into the above framework?

Two bodies with similar mass orbiting around a common barycentre in elliptic orbits

Invariance of action function not preserved by symmetrization Symmetry mismatching Part II: Approximate symmetrization and the Laplacian

Saddle points: Symmetric Criticality and the Mountain Pass

Example 6: Planar motion

Open Question: Can we find a (semi)group G and a (G,F)-symmetrization to fit this problem into the above framework?

Two bodies with similar mass orbiting around a common barycentre in elliptic orbits



Introduction Motivation Framework and tools Eight Applications or Examples Saddle points: Symmetric Criticality and the Mountain Pass

Example 7: Simple saddle points

Simple saddle point behavior

The function $F(x,y) := x^2 - y^2$ is rather typical:

- F has a saddle point at (0,0)
- F is reflection symmetric with respect to both x and y axis
- F has no local extremum, and is unbounded

We will use F to illustrate two different ideas:

- 1 Palais principle of symmetric criticality; and
- Ambrosetti and Rabinowitz mountain pass method which needs SymVP.

Introduction Invariance of action function not preserved by symmetrization Symmetry mismatching Framework and tools Part II: Approximate symmetrization and the Laplacian Saddle points: Symmetric Criticality and the Mountain Pass

Example 7: Simple saddle points

Simple saddle point behavior

The function $F(x,y) := x^2 - y^2$ is rather typical:

- F has a saddle point at (0,0)
- F is reflection symmetric with respect to both x and y axis
- F has no local extremum, and is unbounded

We will use F to illustrate two different ideas:

- 1 Palais principle of symmetric criticality; and
- Ambrosetti and Rabinowitz mountain pass method which needs SymVP.

Invariance of action function not preserved by symmetrization Symmetry mismatching Part II: Approximate symmetrization and the Laplacian Saddle points: Symmetric Criticality and the Mountain Pass

Palais principle of symmetric criticality

Here is a simplified but effective version to illustrate the idea:

Principle of Symmetric Criticality (PSC)

Let X be a Hilbert space with an isometric linear group action G and let $F \in C^1(X)$ be G-invariant.

$$\Sigma := \{ x \in X : gx = x, \forall g \in G \}.$$

Then any critical point of $F|_{\Sigma}$ is also a critical point for F.

Invariance of action function not preserved by symmetrization Symmetry mismatching Part II: Approximate symmetrization and the Laplacian Saddle points: Symmetric Criticality and the Mountain Pass

Palais principle of symmetric criticality

Here is a simplified but effective version to illustrate the idea:

Principle of Symmetric Criticality (PSC)

Let X be a Hilbert space with an isometric linear group action G and let $F \in C^1(X)$ be G-invariant. Denote

 $\Sigma := \{ x \in X : gx = x, \forall g \in G \}.$

Then any critical point of $F|_{\Sigma}$ is also a critical point for F.

• Note that Σ is a subspace and, therefore, coincides with $T\Sigma|_{x}$.

Palais principle of symmetric criticality

Here is a simplified but effective version to illustrate the idea:

Principle of Symmetric Criticality (PSC)

Let X be a Hilbert space with an isometric linear group action G and let $F \in C^1(X)$ be G-invariant. Denote

$$\Sigma := \{ x \in X : gx = x, \forall g \in G \}.$$

Then any critical point of $F|_{\Sigma}$ is also a critical point for F.

• Note that Σ is a subspace and, therefore, coincides with $T\Sigma|_x$.

Introduction Invariance of action function not preserved by symmetrizatio Motivation Symmetry mismatching Framework and tools Part II: Approximate symmetrization and the Laplacian Eight Applications or Examples Saddle points: Symmetric Criticality and the Mountain Pass

Proof of Principle of Symmetric Criticality

For any $g \in G$, $v \in X$ and $x \in \Sigma$, $F \circ g = F$ implies that $dF_x(v) = dF_{gx}(g(v))$. Since g is an isometry

 $\langle g \nabla F(x), g(v) \rangle = \langle \nabla F(x), v \rangle = dF_x(v).$

On the other hand gx = x implies

 $dF_{gx}(g(v)) = \langle \nabla F(gx), g(v) \rangle = \langle \nabla F(x), g(v) \rangle.$

Thus, for all $v \in X$ we have $\langle g \nabla F(x), g(v) \rangle = \langle \nabla F(x), g(v) \rangle$ and so $g \nabla F(x) = \nabla F(x).$

It follows that $\nabla F(x) \in \Sigma$. Hence $\nabla F(x) \in T\Sigma|_x$. Thus, if x is a critical point of $F|_{\Sigma}$ – namely $\nabla F(x)$ restricted to $T\Sigma|_x$ is 0 – then

$$\nabla F(x) \in \Sigma^{\perp} \cap \Sigma = \{0\}$$

as claimed.

QED

Invariance of action function not preserved by symmetrization Symmetry mismatching Part II: Approximate symmetrization and the Laplacian Saddle points: Symmetric Criticality and the Mountain Pass

Example 7: Applying Palais principle to $x^2 - y^2$

• Consider the *reflection*

$$r(x,y) := (-x,y),$$

which is a linear isometry

• The *invariant* set of *r* is

 $\Sigma = \{(0, y) : y \in R\}$

1 $F(x,y) := x^2 - y^2$ is invariant with respect to r

Invariance of action function not preserved by symmetrization Symmetry mismatching Part II: Approximate symmetrization and the Laplacian Saddle points: Symmetric Criticality and the Mountain Pass

Example 7: Applying Palais principle to $x^2 - y^2$

• Consider the *reflection*

$$r(x,y) := (-x,y),$$

which is a linear isometry

• The *invariant* set of *r* is

 $\Sigma = \{(0, y) : y \in R\}$

1 $F(x,y) := x^2 - y^2$ is invariant with respect to r **2** (0,0) is a critical point of $F(x,y)|_{\Sigma} = y^2$ **3** Hence (0,0) is a critical point of F
Invariance of action function not preserved by symmetrization Symmetry mismatching Part II: Approximate symmetrization and the Laplacian Saddle points: Symmetric Criticality and the Mountain Pass

Example 7: Applying Palais principle to $x^2 - y^2$

• Consider the *reflection*

$$r(x,y) := (-x,y),$$

which is a linear isometry

• The *invariant* set of *r* is

 $\Sigma = \{(0, y) : y \in R\}$

1 $F(x,y) := x^2 - y^2$ is invariant with respect to r **2** (0,0) is a critical point of $F(x,y)|_{\Sigma} = y^2$ **3** Hence (0,0) is a critical point of F

QED

Example 6: PSC and two body problem revisited

- G := rotations around the origin is a group of isometries
- The Lagrange action function

$$F(x) := \int_0^P \left[\frac{\|x'(t)\|^2}{2} + \frac{1}{\|x(t)\|} \right] dt$$

is G-invariant

- Hence, Principle of Symmetric Criticality applies to 2-body problem
- Thus, we need only look for a critical point of F(x) on

 $\Sigma := \{ x \in H^1([0,P], R^2) : x(0) = x(P), gx = x \}$

- the set of all P-periodic H^1 cyclic trajectories

Introduction Motivation Framework and tools Eight Applications or Examples Saddle points: Symmetric Criticality and the Mountain Pass

Example 6: PSC and two body problem revisited

- G := rotations around the origin is a group of isometries
- The Lagrange action function

$$F(x) := \int_0^P \left[\frac{\|x'(t)\|^2}{2} + \frac{1}{\|x(t)\|} \right] dt$$

is G-invariant

- Hence, Principle of Symmetric Criticality applies to 2-body problem
- Thus, we need only look for a critical point of F(x) on

$$\Sigma := \{ x \in H^1([0,P], \mathbb{R}^2) : x(0) = x(P), gx = x \}$$

- the set of all *P*-periodic H^1 cyclic trajectories

Invariance of action function not preserved by symmetrization Symmetry mismatching Part II: Approximate symmetrization and the Laplacian Saddle points: Symmetric Criticality and the Mountain Pass

Nonsmooth Saddle Points

By mollification or regularization, we can relax somewhat the smoothness requirement in the Principle of Symmetric Criticality so that it can be applied to, say, the nonsmooth critical point of

F(x, y) = |x| - |y|



Invariance of action function not preserved by symmetrization Symmetry mismatching Part II: Approximate symmetrization and the Laplacian Saddle points: Symmetric Criticality and the Mountain Pass

The Mountain Pass idea



Figure : A typical mountain pass



Jon Borwein and Qiji Zhu Variational Methods in the Presence of Symmetry

Example 7: Mountain Pass method for saddle points

We now illustrate the use the Mountain pass lemma to deal with the saddle point of $F(x,y) := x^2 - y^2$

Define

 $\Gamma := \{ \gamma \in C([0,1], \mathbb{R}^2) : \gamma(0) = (0,1), \gamma(1) = (0,-1) \}$

and

$$\widehat{F}(\gamma) := \max_{t \in [0,1]} F(\gamma(t))$$

- Define reflection \hat{r} on Γ by $(\hat{r}\gamma)(t) := r(\gamma(t))$
- Then \hat{F} is \hat{r} -subinvariant and bounded below by 0

Example 7: Mountain Pass method for saddle points

We now illustrate the use the Mountain pass lemma to deal with the saddle point of $F(x,y) := x^2 - y^2$

Define

 $\Gamma := \{ \gamma \in C([0,1], \mathbb{R}^2) : \gamma(0) = (0,1), \gamma(1) = (0,-1) \}$

and

$$\widehat{F}(\gamma) := \max_{t \in [0,1]} F(\gamma(t))$$

- Define reflection \hat{r} on Γ by $(\hat{r}\gamma)(t) := r(\gamma(t))$
- Then \hat{F} is \hat{r} -subinvariant and bounded below by 0

However, we now face an infinite dimensional problem

Example 7: Mountain Pass method for saddle points

We now illustrate the use the Mountain pass lemma to deal with the saddle point of $F(x,y) := x^2 - y^2$

Define

 $\Gamma := \{ \gamma \in C([0,1], \mathbb{R}^2) : \gamma(0) = (0,1), \gamma(1) = (0,-1) \}$

and

$$\widehat{F}(\gamma) := \max_{t \in [0,1]} F(\gamma(t))$$

- Define reflection \hat{r} on Γ by $(\hat{r}\gamma)(t) := r(\gamma(t))$
- Then \hat{F} is \hat{r} -subinvariant and bounded below by 0

However, we now face an infinite dimensional problem The lack of compactness requires use of Symmetric VP

Example 7: Mountain Pass method for saddle points

We now illustrate the use the Mountain pass lemma to deal with the saddle point of $F(x,y) := x^2 - y^2$

Define

 $\Gamma := \{ \gamma \in C([0,1], \mathbb{R}^2) : \gamma(0) = (0,1), \gamma(1) = (0,-1) \}$

and

$$\widehat{F}(\gamma) := \max_{t \in [0,1]} F(\gamma(t))$$

- Define reflection \hat{r} on Γ by $(\hat{r}\gamma)(t) := r(\gamma(t))$
- Then \hat{F} is \hat{r} -subinvariant and bounded below by 0

However, we now face an infinite dimensional problem The lack of compactness requires use of Symmetric VP

Invariance of action function not preserved by symmetrization Symmetry mismatching Part II: Approximate symmetrization and the Laplacian Saddle points: Symmetric Criticality and the Mountain Pass

Example 7: Use of SymVP

- Apply SymVP to \widehat{F} to ensure a symmetric approximate minimum
- ② Use the subdifferential formula for the max function to get an approximate critical point for F
- **③** Then take limits to show zero is a critical point for F
 - It is silly to use such heavy artillery (rather than PSC) for this simple problem

Invariance of action function not preserved by symmetrization Symmetry mismatching Part II: Approximate symmetrization and the Laplacian Saddle points: Symmetric Criticality and the Mountain Pass

Example 7: Use of SymVP

- Apply SymVP to \widehat{F} to ensure a symmetric approximate minimum
- ② Use the subdifferential formula for the max function to get an approximate critical point for F
- **③** Then take limits to show zero is a critical point for F
- It is silly to use such heavy artillery (rather than PSC) for this simple problem
- The point is the same method works for many other problems

Invariance of action function not preserved by symmetrization Symmetry mismatching Part II: Approximate symmetrization and the Laplacian Saddle points: Symmetric Criticality and the Mountain Pass

Example 7: Use of SymVP

- Apply SymVP to \widehat{F} to ensure a symmetric approximate minimum
- ② Use the subdifferential formula for the max function to get an approximate critical point for F
- **③** Then take limits to show zero is a critical point for F
 - It is silly to use such heavy artillery (rather than PSC) for this simple problem
- The point is the same method works for many other problems

Introduction	
Motivation	Symmetry mismatching
Framework and tools	Part II: Approximate symmetrization and the Laplacian
ight Applications or Examples	Saddle points: Symmetric Criticality and the Mountain Pass

Example 8: Saddle points of quasi-linear Laplace equations

For $a(x) \le c < 0$ and 2 , consider

 $\Delta u = a(x) \operatorname{sgn}(u) |u|^{p-1}$ in Ω , $u|_{\partial \Omega} = 0$.

Then solution corresponds to a critical point of

$$F(u) := \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{|\nabla u|^2}{2} + a|u|^p \right) \mu(dx),$$

in the Sobolev space $H_0^1(\Omega)$. It turns out F has a nontrivial saddle point.

QED

• The celebrated Ambrosetti and Rabinowitz Mountain Pass Lemma was motivated by just these kinds of problems. We give symmetric versions in our paper.

Introduction	
Motivation	Symmetry mismatching
Framework and tools	Part II: Approximate symmetrization and the Laplacian
ight Applications or Examples	Saddle points: Symmetric Criticality and the Mountain Pass

Example 8: Saddle points of quasi-linear Laplace equations

For $a(x) \le c < 0$ and 2 , consider

 $\Delta u = a(x) \operatorname{sgn}(u) |u|^{p-1}$ in Ω , $u|_{\partial \Omega} = 0$.

Then solution corresponds to a critical point of

$$F(u) := \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{|\nabla u|^2}{2} + a|u|^p \right) \mu(dx),$$

in the Sobolev space $H_0^1(\Omega)$. It turns out F has a nontrivial saddle point.

QED

• The celebrated Ambrosetti and Rabinowitz Mountain Pass Lemma was motivated by just these kinds of problems. We give symmetric versions in our paper.

Introduction	Invariance of action function not preserved by symmetrization
Motivation	Symmetry mismatching
Framework and tools	Part II: Approximate symmetrization and the Laplacian
Eight Applications or Examples	Saddle points: Symmetric Criticality and the Mountain Pass

• Variational problems with symmetric action functions often have symmetric solutions

1 Symmetric variational principles are useful tools

Introduction	Invariance of action function not preserved by symmetrization
Motivation	Symmetry mismatching
Framework and tools	Part II: Approximate symmetrization and the Laplacian
Eight Applications or Examples	Saddle points: Symmetric Criticality and the Mountain Pass

- Variational problems with symmetric action functions often have symmetric solutions
 - Symmetric variational principles are useful tools
 Using a natural symmetry is often helpful but does not ensure the work is easy

Introduction	Invariance of action function not preserved by symmetrization
Motivation	Symmetry mismatching
Framework and tools	Part II: Approximate symmetrization and the Laplacian
Eight Applications or Examples	Saddle points: Symmetric Criticality and the Mountain Pass

- Variational problems with symmetric action functions often have symmetric solutions
 - 1 Symmetric variational principles are useful tools
 - Using a natural symmetry is often helpful but does not ensure the work is easy
 - 3 Additional problem specific methods are often necessary

Introduction	Invariance of action function not preserved by symmetrization
Motivation	Symmetry mismatching
Framework and tools	Part II: Approximate symmetrization and the Laplacian
Eight Applications or Examples	Saddle points: Symmetric Criticality and the Mountain Pass

- Variational problems with symmetric action functions often have symmetric solutions
 - 1 Symmetric variational principles are useful tools
 - Using a natural symmetry is often helpful but does not ensure the work is easy
 - **3** Additional problem specific methods are often necessary
- Many more examples and case studies are needed

Introduction	Invariance of action function not preserved by symmetrization
Motivation	Symmetry mismatching
Framework and tools	Part II: Approximate symmetrization and the Laplacian
Eight Applications or Examples	Saddle points: Symmetric Criticality and the Mountain Pass

- Variational problems with symmetric action functions often have symmetric solutions
 - 1 Symmetric variational principles are useful tools
 - Using a natural symmetry is often helpful but does not ensure the work is easy
 - **3** Additional problem specific methods are often necessary
- Many more examples and case studies are needed



Introduction	Invariance of action function not preserved by symmetrization
Motivation	Symmetry mismatching
Framework and tools	Part II: Approximate symmetrization and the Laplacian
Eight Applications or Examples	Saddle points: Symmetric Criticality and the Mountain Pass

- Variational problems with symmetric action functions often have symmetric solutions
 - 1 Symmetric variational principles are useful tools
 - Using a natural symmetry is often helpful but does not ensure the work is easy
 - 3 Additional problem specific methods are often necessary
- Many more examples and case studies are needed

THANK YOU