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#### Abstract

We study the connection between uniformly convex functions $f$ : $X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ bounded above by $\|x\|^{p}$, and the existence of norms on $X$ with moduli of convexity of power type. In particular, we show that there exists a uniformly convex function $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ bounded above by $\|\cdot\|^{2}$ if and only if $X$ admits a norm with modulus of convexity of power type 2 .


## 1. Introduction

Uniformly convex functions on Banach spaces were introduced by Levitin and Poljak in [13]. Their properties were studied in depth by Zălinescu [17], and then later Azé and Penot [2] studied their duality with uniformly smooth convex functions; see also [18] for more details. Yet, surprisingly, little precise information seems to be known about when they can exist on Banach spaces. For example, [18, Theorem 3.5.13], shows that a Banach space admitting a uniformly convex function whose domain has nonempty interior is reflexive, and in fact, it can be shown that such a Banach space is superreflexive - see Theorem 2.5 (recall that a Banach space is superreflexive if and only if it admits an equivalent uniformly convex norm [9]). On the other hand, if one does not require the function to be globally uniformly convex, then [4] and [5] show $f(x)=\|x\|^{r}$ is totally convex and uniformly convex on bounded sets whenever $\|\cdot\|$ is uniformly convex and $r>1$. See also $[3,6]$ for further applications of totally convex and other related convex functions.

In this note, we will focus on the class of uniformly convex functions, i.e. functions that are globally uniformly convex as studied in [17] and defined below. This is known to be a more restricted class of functions than those that are uniformly convex on bounded sets; see e.g. [18, Proposition 3.5.8] which shows that $f(x)=\|x\|^{r}$ cannot be uniformly convex for $r<2$. We will sharpen this by establishing the precise connection between the uniform convexity of $f(x)=\|x\|^{r}$ and the modulus of convexity of $\|\cdot\|$. We also examine the more general converse problem: if $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is uniformly convex and bounded above by $\|\cdot\|^{r}$, does $X$ admit a norm with a modulus of convexity of power type related to $r$ ?

We work with a real Banach space $X$ with dual $X^{*}$, and let $B_{X}$ and $S_{X}$ denote the closed unit ball and sphere respectively. The Fenchel conjugate of $f$ is the function $f^{*}: X^{*} \rightarrow(-\infty,+\infty]$ defined by

$$
f^{*}\left(x^{*}\right)=\sup \left\{x^{*}(x)-f(x): x \in X\right\} .
$$

[^0]For a given convex function $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup\{+\infty\}$ we define its modulus of convexity as the function $\delta_{f}: \mathbb{R}^{+} \rightarrow[0,+\infty]$ defined by

$$
\delta_{f}(t):=\inf \left\{\frac{1}{2} f(x)+\frac{1}{2} f(y)-f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right):\|x-y\|=t, x, y \in \operatorname{dom} f\right\}
$$

where the infimum over the empty set is $+\infty$. Similarly we consider the modulus of smoothness of $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as the function $\rho_{f}: \mathbb{R}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
\rho_{f}(t):=\sup \left\{\frac{1}{2} f(x)+\frac{1}{2} f(y)-f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right):\|x-y\|=t\right\} .
$$

We say that $f$ is uniformly convex when $\delta_{f}(t)>0$ for all $t>0$, and $f$ has a modulus of convexity of power type $p$ if there exists $C>0$ so that $\delta_{f}(t) \geq C t^{p}$ for all $t>0$. We will say $f$ is uniformly smooth if $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \rho_{f}(t) / t=0$, and $f$ has a modulus of smoothness of power type $q$ if there is a constant $C>0$, so that $\rho_{f}(t) \leq C t^{q}$ for all $t>0$. Let us note that these concepts are sometimes defined using the gage of uniform convexity and gage of uniform smoothness respectively as found in [18]; it is important to note that these alternate definitions using the respective gages are equivalent to those just given; cf. [17, Remark 2.1] and [18, p. 205].

## 2. Uniform Convexity of Functions and Norms

This section will demonstrate for $1<p<\infty$ that $f(\cdot)=\|\cdot\|^{p}$ is uniformly convex if and only if $\|\cdot\|$ has modulus of convexity of power type $p$.

Lemma 2.1. Let $0<r \leq 1$, then $\left|t^{r}-s^{r}\right| \leq|t-s|^{r}$ for all $s, t \in[0, \infty)$.
Proof. First, for $x \geq 0,(1+x)^{r} \leq 1+x^{r}$ (see [16, Example 4.20]). Setting $x=$ $(t-s) / s$ with $t \geq s>0$, and then multiplying by $s^{r}$, we get $t^{r} \leq s^{r}+(t-s)^{r}$. The conclusion follows from this.

Theorem 2.2. For $1<q \leq 2$, the following are equivalent in a Banach space $X$.
(a) The norm $\|\cdot\|$ has modulus of smoothness of power type $q$.
(b) The function $f(\cdot)=\|\cdot\|^{q}$ has modulus of smoothness of power type $q$.
(c) The function $f(\cdot)=\|\cdot\|^{q}$ is uniformly smooth.

Proof. (a) $\Rightarrow$ (b): We assume that $\|\cdot\|$ has modulus of smoothness of power type $q$. Then it has a (Fréchet) derivative satisfying a ( $q-1$ )-Hölder-condition on its sphere, see [7, Lemma IV.5.1]. Moreover, $f(x)=\|x\|^{q}$ satisfies $f^{\prime}(0)=0$ and $f^{\prime}(x)=q\|x\|^{q-1} \phi_{x}$ where $\phi_{x} \in J(x)$, the duality map, for $x \neq 0$ (i.e. $\phi_{x} \in S_{X}$, and $\left.\phi_{x}(x)=\|x\|\right)$. Observe that if $x=0$ or $y=0$ then $\left\|f^{\prime}(x)-f^{\prime}(y)\right\| \leq q\|x-y\|^{q-1}$. Assuming that $x, y \in X \backslash\{0\}$ we compute,

$$
\begin{align*}
f^{\prime}(x)-f^{\prime}(y) & =q\|x\|^{q-1} \phi_{x}-q\|y\|^{q-1} \phi_{y} \\
& =q\|x\|^{q-1} \phi_{x}-q\|x\|^{q-1} \phi_{y}+q\|x\|^{q-1} \phi_{y}-q\|y\|^{q-1} \phi_{y} \\
& =q\|x\|^{q-1}\left(\phi_{x}-\phi_{y}\right)+\left(q\|x\|^{q-1}-q\|y\|^{q-1}\right) \phi_{y} \tag{2.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Using Lemma 2.1 we also compute

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|q\|x\|^{q-1}-q\|y\|^{q-1}\right| \leq q|\|x\|-\|y\||^{q-1} \leq q\|x-y\|^{q-1} . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now work on an estimate for $q\|x\|^{q-1}\left(\phi_{x}-\phi_{y}\right)$. First, consider the case where $0<\|y\| \leq\|x\| \leq 1$. If $\|y\| \leq\|x\| / 2$, then

$$
q\|x\|^{q-1}\left\|\phi_{x}-\phi_{y}\right\| \leq 2 q\|x\|^{q-1} \leq q 2^{q}\|x-y\|^{q-1}
$$

If $\|x\| \leq 1$ and $\|y\| \geq\|x\| / 2$, consider $x^{\prime}=\lambda x$ where $\lambda=\|y\| /\|x\|$, so that $\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|=\|y\|$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|x^{\prime}-y\right\| & \leq\left\|x^{\prime}-x\right\|+\|x-y\| \\
& =\|x\|-\|y\|+\|x-y\| \leq 2\|x-y\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, given the Hölder-condition for the derivative on spheres, there is $C>0$ such that $\left\|\phi_{u}-\phi_{v}\right\| \leq C\|u-v\|^{q-1} /\|u\|^{q-1}$ when $\|u\|=\|v\|$, and so we have

$$
\left\|\phi_{x}-\phi_{y}\right\|=\left\|\phi_{x^{\prime}}-\phi_{y}\right\| \leq C\left(\frac{2\|x-y\|}{\|y\|}\right)^{q-1}
$$

Consequently,

$$
q\|x\|^{q-1}\left\|\phi_{x}-\phi_{y}\right\| \leq C q \frac{\|x\|^{q-1}}{\|y\|^{q-1}} 2^{q-1}\|x-y\|^{q-1} \leq C 2^{2 q-2} q\|x-y\|^{q-1}
$$

Hence in either case we have $K>0$ so that for $x, y \in B_{X}$ we have

$$
q\|x\|^{q-1}\left\|\phi_{x}-\phi_{y}\right\| \leq K\|x-y\|^{q-1}
$$

Now consider the case $\|x\|>1$, and let $\lambda=\|x\|$. Denote $u=x / \lambda$ and $v=y / \lambda$. Then $u, v \in B_{X}$ and $\|u-v\|=\|x-y\| / \lambda$. Thus one can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
q\|x\|^{q-1}\left\|\phi_{x}-\phi_{y}\right\| & =q\|x\|^{q-1}\left\|\phi_{u}-\phi_{v}\right\| \\
& \leq q\|x\|^{q-1} K\|u-v\|^{q-1} \\
& =q\|x\|^{q-1} \frac{1}{\|x\|^{q-1}} K\|x-y\|^{q-1} \\
& =K q\|x-y\|^{q-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently, in any case

$$
\begin{equation*}
q\|x\|^{q-1}\left\|\phi_{x}-\phi_{y}\right\| \leq K q\|x-y\|^{q-1} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3) shows that for $f(x)=\|x\|^{q}, f^{\prime}(x)$ satisfies a ( $q-1$ )-Hölder-condition and hence that $\|x\|^{q}$ has modulus of smoothness of power type $q$, on appealing to [18, Corollary 3.5.7] (see also [7, Lemma V.3.5]).

Now $(\mathrm{b}) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{c})$ is trivial, so we prove $(\mathrm{c}) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{a})$. Suppose $\|\cdot\|$ does not have modulus of smoothness of power type q. Then using [7, Lemma IV.5.1] there are $x_{n}, y_{n} \in S_{X}$ such that $\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0$ while

$$
\left\|\phi_{x_{n}}-\phi_{y_{n}}\right\| \geq n\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\|^{q-1}
$$

Let $\delta_{n}=\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\|$ and define $u_{n}=\frac{1}{\delta_{n} \sqrt{n}} x_{n}$ and $v_{n}=\frac{1}{\delta_{n} \sqrt{n}} y_{n}$. Then $\left\|u_{n}-v_{n}\right\|=$ $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \rightarrow 0$. However

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|f^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)-f^{\prime}\left(v_{n}\right)\right\| & =\|q\| u_{n}\left\|^{q-1} \phi_{u_{n}}-q\right\| v_{n}\left\|^{q-1} \phi_{v_{n}}\right\| \\
& =\|q\| u_{n}\left\|^{q-1} \phi_{x_{n}}-q\right\| v_{n}\left\|^{q-1} \phi_{y_{n}}\right\| \\
& =\frac{q}{\delta_{n}^{q-1} n^{\frac{q-1}{2}}}\left\|\phi_{x_{n}}-\phi_{y_{n}}\right\| \\
& \geq \frac{q}{\delta_{n}^{q-1} n^{\frac{q-1}{2}}}\left(n \delta_{n}^{q-1}\right)=q n^{\frac{3-q}{2}} \rightarrow \infty
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently, $f^{\prime}$ is not uniformly continuous, and so [18, Theorem 3.5.6] (see also [7, Lemma IV.3.5]) shows that that $f(\cdot)=\|\cdot\|^{q}$ is not a uniformly smooth convex function.

The results in [2] enable us to derive the dual version of Theorem 2.2 for uniformly convex functions.

Theorem 2.3. Let $X$ be a Banach space, and let $2 \leq p<\infty$. Then the following are equivalent.
(a) The norm $\|\cdot\|$ on $X$ has modulus of convexity of power type $p$.
(b) The function $f(\cdot)=\|\cdot\|^{p}$ has modulus of convexity of power type $p$.
(c) The function $f(\cdot)=\|\cdot\|^{p}$ is uniformly convex.

Proof. (a) $\Rightarrow(\mathrm{b})$ : Let us assume that $\|\cdot\|$ has modulus of convexity of power type $p$, then the modulus of smoothness of the dual norm on $X^{*}$, namely $\|\cdot\|_{*}$, is of power type $q$ where $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1$; see [7]. By Theorem 2.2 the function $g(\cdot)=\frac{1}{q}\|\cdot\|_{*}^{q}$ has modulus of smoothness of power type $q$. The Fenchel conjugate of $g$ is $g^{*}(\cdot)=$ $\frac{1}{p}\|\cdot\|^{p}$, see $[2,18]$. Now $g^{*}$-and hence $\|\cdot\|^{p}$-has a modulus of convexity of power type $p$ according to [2] (see also [18, Corollary 3.5.11]).

Observe that $(\mathrm{b}) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{c})$ is trivial, so we prove $(\mathrm{c}) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{a})$. Indeed, assuming that $f(\cdot)=\|\cdot\|^{p}$ is a uniformly convex function, then [2] shows that $f^{*}$ (and hence $\|\cdot\|_{*}^{q}$ ) is a uniformly smooth function. According to Theorem $2.2,\|\cdot\|_{*}$ has modulus of smoothness of power type $q$; therefore $\|\cdot\|$ has modulus of convexity of power type $p$, see [7].

We close this section by confirming that the spaces with nontrivial uniformly convex functions are the superreflexive spaces. First, we record a simple symmetrization fact.

Lemma 2.4. Suppose $f: X \rightarrow(-\infty,+\infty]$ is a l.s.c. uniformly convex function. Then there exist a uniformly convex l.s.c. function $h: X \rightarrow(-\infty,+\infty]$ that is centrally symmetric with

$$
0=h(0)=\inf \{h(x): x \in X\}
$$

Proof. We can assume that $f$ is centrally symmetric and u.c. by replacing $f$ with $\frac{f(x)+f(-x)}{2}$. Observe then that $f(0)=\min \{f(x): x \in X\}$ and thus, the function $h(x)=g(x)-g(0)$ satisfies our requirements.

Theorem 2.5. Let $X$ be a Banach space. Then the following are equivalent.
(a) There exists a l.s.c. uniformly convex function $f: X \rightarrow(-\infty,+\infty]$ such that the interior of the domain of $f$ is not empty.
(b) $X$ admits an equivalent uniformly convex norm.
(c) There exist $p \geq 2$ and an equivalent norm $\|\cdot\|$ on $X$ so that $f(x)=\|\cdot\|^{p}$ is uniformly convex.

Proof. (a) $\Rightarrow$ (b): Shift $f$ so that $0 \in \operatorname{int}(\operatorname{dom} f)$ now by Lemma 2.4 and its proof there is a l.s.c. uniformly convex function $g$ that is is centrally symmetric, $g(x) \geq g(0)=0$ for all $x$, and $0 \in \operatorname{int} \operatorname{dom} g$. Fix $r>0$ so that $r B_{(X,\|\cdot\|)} \subset \operatorname{dom} g$. Then for $\|h\|=r$ we have

$$
\frac{1}{2} g(h)+\frac{1}{2} g(0)-g\left(\frac{h}{2}\right) \geq \delta_{g}(r)>0
$$

Thus $g(h) \geq 2 \delta_{g}(r)$ for all $h$ such that $\|h\|=r$. Let us consider the norm $\|\cdot\| \|$ whose unit ball is $B=\left\{x: g(x) \leq \delta_{g}(r)\right\}$. We have shown that $B \subset r B_{(x,\|\cdot\|)}$ and since 0 is a point of continuity of $g$ (see [18, Corollary 2.2.13]) then $0 \in \operatorname{int} B$ and so $\|\cdot\| \|$ is an equivalent norm on $X$.

If $\left\|x_{n}\right\|=\left\|y_{n}\right\|=1$ and

$$
\frac{1}{2}\left\|x_{n}\right\|+\frac{1}{2}\left\|y_{n}\right\|-\left\|\frac{x_{n}+y_{n}}{2}\right\| \| \rightarrow 0
$$

then $d\left(\frac{x_{n}+y_{n}}{2}, S_{\|\cdot\|}\right) \rightarrow 0$ where $S_{\|\cdot\|}=\{x:\|x\|=1\}$. Because $g$ is Lipschitz on $B$ by [18, Corollary 2.2 .12$]$, this means $g\left(\frac{x_{n}+y_{n}}{2}\right) \rightarrow \delta_{g}(r)$. Consequently $\frac{1}{2} g\left(x_{n}\right)+$ $\frac{1}{2} g\left(y_{n}\right)-g\left(\frac{x_{n}+y_{n}}{2}\right) \rightarrow 0$, so the uniform convexity of $g$ ensures that $\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0$ and hence $\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0$
$(\mathrm{b}) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{c})$ : According to the well-known Enflo-Pisier theorem $([9,14])$, there exist $p \geq 2$ and an equivalent norm $\|\cdot\|$ whose modulus of convexity is of power type $p$. Consequently, Theorem 2.3 shows the function $\|\cdot\|^{p}$ is uniformly convex.
$(\mathrm{c}) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{a})$ : This is trivial.
Note that the indicator function of a single point in any Banach space is trivially uniformly convex. Thus, some domain interiority condition is required in Theorem 2.5(a).

## 3. Growth Rates of Uniformly Convex Functions and Renorming

In this section we will construct a uniformly convex norm whose modulus of convexity is related to the growth rate of a given uniformly convex function on the Banach space thus sharpening Theorem 2.5.

Lemma 3.1. Let $\|\cdot\|$ be a norm on a Banach space $X$. Suppose $\|x\|=\|y\| \geq 1$, and $\|x-y\| \geq \delta$ where $0<\delta \leq 2\|x\|$. Then $\inf _{t \geq 0}\|x-t y\| \geq \delta / 2$.
Proof. Assume that $\left\|x-t_{0} y\right\|<\delta / 2$ for some $t_{0} \geq 0$. Then $\left|1-t_{0}\right|\|y\|<\delta / 2$ and so

$$
\|x-y\| \leq\left\|x-t_{0} y\right\|+\left|1-t_{0}\right|\|y\|<\delta
$$

which is a contradiction.
Lemma 3.2. Suppose $F: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is continuous and convex with $F(0)=0$ and $F(t)>0$ for $t>0$. Suppose for all $n \geq N$ that $\left\{\|\cdot\|_{n}\right\}_{n \geq N}$ are norms on $X$ with

$$
\frac{K}{\sqrt{F\left(2^{n}\right)}}\|\cdot\| \leq\|\cdot\|_{n} \leq \frac{1}{2^{n}}\|\cdot\|
$$

for some $K>0$. Assume that $\|x\|_{n}=\|y\|_{n}=1$ with $\|x-y\| \geq 1$ implies that

$$
\left\|\frac{x+y}{2}\right\|_{n} \leq 1-\frac{C}{F\left(\frac{2}{K} \sqrt{F\left(2^{n}\right)}\right)}
$$

for some $C>0$. Then the modulus of convexity of the equivalent norm $|\cdot|=$ $\sum_{n \geq N}\|\cdot\|_{n}$ satisfies

$$
\delta_{|\cdot|}(t) \geq \frac{R}{\sqrt{F\left(M t^{-1}\right)} F\left(\frac{2}{K} \sqrt{F\left(M t^{-1}\right)}\right)}
$$

for some positive constants $R$ and $M$.

Proof. It is clear the norm $|\cdot|$ is equivalent to $\|\cdot\|$. Moreover, we can find another scalar $k>0$ so that the norm $\|\cdot\| \|=k|\cdot|$ satisfies

$$
K^{\prime}\|\cdot\|\|\leq\| \cdot\|\leq\| \cdot \| \text { and } \frac{K^{\prime}}{\sqrt{F\left(2^{n}\right)}}\|\cdot\|\|\leq\| \cdot\left\|_{n} \leq \frac{1}{2^{n}}\right\| \cdot \|
$$

for some $0<K^{\prime}<\frac{1}{2^{N-1}}$ and for all $n \geq N$. Now suppose that $\|x\|=\|y\|=1$ and $x \neq y$. We can choose and fix $n \geq N$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{K^{\prime} 2^{n-1}} \leq\|x-y\|<\frac{1}{K^{\prime} 2^{n-2}} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We may without loss of generality assume that $\|x\|_{n} \leq\|y\|_{n}$. Now let us denote $a=\|x\|_{n}^{-1}$ and $b=\|y\|_{n}^{-1}$. Then $2^{n} \leq b \leq a \leq \frac{\sqrt{F\left(2^{n}\right)}}{K^{\prime}}$, and therefore

$$
\|a x-a y\| \geq \frac{a}{K^{\prime} 2^{n-1}} \geq \frac{2}{K^{\prime}}
$$

According to Lemma $3.1\|a x-b y\| \geq \frac{1}{K^{\prime}}$, which in turn implies $\|a x-b y\| \geq 1$. Thus we compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\frac{a x+a y}{2}\right\|_{n} & \leq\left\|\frac{a x+b y}{2}\right\|_{n}+\frac{1}{2}(a-b)\|y\|_{n} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\|a x\|_{n}+\frac{1}{2}\|b y\|_{n}+\frac{1}{2}(a-b)\|y\|_{n}-\frac{C}{F\left(\frac{2}{K} \sqrt{F\left(2^{n}\right)}\right)} \\
& =\frac{a}{2}\left(\|x\|_{n}+\|y\|_{n}\right)-\frac{C}{F\left(\frac{2}{K} \sqrt{F\left(2^{n}\right)}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This inequality implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{x+y}{2}\right\|_{n} \leq \frac{1}{2}\|x\|_{n}+\frac{1}{2}\|y\|_{n}-\frac{C}{a F\left(\frac{2}{K} \sqrt{F\left(2^{n}\right)}\right)} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, using (3.2), and the triangle inequality on $\|\cdot\|_{j}$ for $j \neq n$, we get

$$
\left\|\frac{x+y}{2}\right\| \leq \frac{k}{2} \sum_{j \geq N}\|x\|_{j}+\frac{k}{2} \sum_{j \geq N}\|y\|_{j}-\frac{K^{\prime} k C}{\sqrt{F\left(2^{n}\right)} F\left(\frac{2}{K} \sqrt{F\left(2^{n}\right)}\right)}
$$

Let us denote $M=4 / K^{\prime}$ and $R=K^{\prime} \cdot k \cdot C$. Let $t=\|x-y\|$, according to (3.1), $t \leq \frac{1}{K^{\prime} 2^{n-2}}$ and thus $F\left(2^{n}\right) \leq F\left(\frac{4}{t K^{\prime}}\right)$. Therefore

$$
\left\|\frac{x+y}{2}\right\| \| \leq 1-\frac{R}{\sqrt{F\left(M t^{-1}\right)} F\left(\frac{2}{K} \sqrt{F\left(M t^{-1}\right)}\right)}
$$

which finishes the proof, since $\delta_{\|\cdot\|}=\delta_{|\cdot|}$.
Lemma 3.3. Suppose $f: X \rightarrow(-\infty,+\infty]$ is a l.s.c. convex function.
(a) If $f$ is uniformly convex, then $\liminf _{\|x\| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(x)}{\|x\|^{2}}>0$.
(b) Suppose $f(x) \leq F(\|x\|)$ for all $x$ and $F:[0,+\infty) \rightarrow[0,+\infty]$ is nondecreasing. If $x_{0} \neq 0$, and $f\left(x_{0}\right) \geq 0$, then

$$
\sup _{\|h\|=1} f^{\prime}\left(x_{0}, h\right) \leq F\left(2\left\|x_{0}\right\|\right) /\left\|x_{0}\right\|
$$

Proof. (a) This is shown in [18, Proposition 3.5.8].
(b) Given that $x_{0} \neq 0, f\left(x_{0}\right) \geq 0$ and $\|h\|=1$, we have

$$
f^{\prime}\left(x_{0}, h\right)=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{f\left(x_{0}+t h\right)-f\left(x_{0}\right)}{t} \leq \frac{f\left(x_{0}+\left\|x_{0}\right\| h\right)-f\left(x_{0}\right)}{\left\|x_{0}\right\|} \leq \frac{F\left(2\left\|x_{0}\right\|\right)}{\left\|x_{0}\right\|}
$$

where the first inequality above follows from the convexity of $f$.
Theorem 3.4. Let $X$ be a Banach space and let $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous uniformly convex function satisfying $f(x) \leq F(\|x\|)$ for all $x \in X$ for some nonnegative real function $F$ with $F(0)=0$. Then $X$ admits an equivalent norm $|\cdot|$ so that

$$
\delta_{|\cdot|}(t) \geq \frac{R}{\sqrt{F\left(M t^{-1}\right)} F\left(S \sqrt{F\left(M t^{-1}\right)}\right)}
$$

for some positive constants $R, M$ and $S$.
Proof. First of all, replacing $f$ with $\frac{f(x)+f(-x)}{2}$ we can assume that $f$ is centrally symmetric. Using Fenchel conjugation we obtain $f(x) \leq F^{* *}(\|x\|)$ for all $x \in X$. According to Lemma 3.3 we choose $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $K>0$ so that $f(x) \geq K^{2}\|x\|^{2}$ whenever $\|x\| \geq N$. Thus we have

$$
K^{2}\|x\|^{2} \leq f(x) \leq F^{* *}(\|x\|) \text { whenever }\|x\| \geq N .
$$

For $n \geq N$, let $|\cdot|_{n}$ have unit ball $B_{n}=\left\{x: f(x) \leq F^{* *}\left(2^{n}\right)\right\}$. For any $x \in X \backslash\{0\}$, $f\left(x /|x|_{n}\right)=F^{* *}\left(2^{n}\right)$. Hence $F^{* *}\left(\|x\| /|x|_{n}\right) \geq F^{* *}\left(2^{n}\right)$. Since $F(0)=0, F^{* *}$ and $F^{* *}(s) / s$ are non-decreasing. This implies that $\|x\| \geq 2^{n}|x|_{n}$. Analogously, using that $K^{2}\left\|x /|x|_{n}\right\|^{2} \leq f\left(x /|x|_{n}\right)$ one obtains $\sqrt{F^{* *}\left(2^{n}\right)}|x|_{n} \geq K\|x\|$. Consequently,

$$
\frac{K}{\sqrt{F^{* *}\left(2^{n}\right)}}\|x\| \leq|x|_{n} \leq \frac{1}{2^{n}}\|x\|
$$

Now suppose $|x|_{n}=|y|_{n}=1$, and $\|x-y\| \geq 1$. Letting $\delta_{f}$ denote the modulus of convexity of $f$ with respect to $\|\cdot\|$, the uniform convexity of $f$ ensures $\delta_{f}(1)>0$. Then denoting $z=\frac{x+y}{2}$ and $z^{\prime}=z /|z|_{n}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta_{f}(1) & \leq f\left(z^{\prime}\right)-f(z)=\frac{f(z)-f\left(z^{\prime}\right)}{-1} \leq f^{\prime}\left(z^{\prime}, z^{\prime}-z\right) \\
& =\left\|z^{\prime}-z\right\| f^{\prime}\left(z, \frac{z^{\prime}-z}{\left\|z^{\prime}-z\right\|}\right) \leq M_{n}\left\|z^{\prime}-z\right\| \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $M_{n}=\sup \left\{f^{\prime}(u, v):|u|_{n}=1,\|v\|=1\right\}$. Using the fact that $F^{* *}(r) / r$ is nondecreasing for $r>0$ (see [15]) along with Lemma 3.3 while noting $f(u) \geq 0$ when $|u|_{n}=1$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{n} \leq F^{* *}\left(2 \frac{\sqrt{F^{* *}\left(2^{n}\right)}}{K}\right) / \frac{\sqrt{F^{* *}\left(2^{n}\right)}}{K} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, using $|\cdot|_{n} \geq \frac{K}{\sqrt{F^{* *}\left(2^{n}\right)}}\|\cdot\|$, (3.3) and then (3.4), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\frac{x+y}{2}\right|_{n} & \leq 1-\left\|z^{\prime}-z\right\| \frac{K}{\sqrt{F^{* *}\left(2^{n}\right)}} \leq 1-\frac{\delta_{f}(1)}{M_{n}} \cdot \frac{K}{\sqrt{F^{* *}\left(2^{n}\right)}} \\
& \leq 1-\frac{\delta_{f}(1)}{F^{* *}\left(\frac{2}{K} \sqrt{F^{* *}\left(2^{n}\right)}\right)} \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Applying Lemma 3.2, and noting $F^{* *} \leq F$, we obtain that

$$
\delta_{|\cdot|}(t) \geq \frac{R}{\sqrt{F^{* *}\left(M t^{-1}\right)} F^{* *}\left(\frac{2}{K} \sqrt{F^{* *}\left(M t^{-1}\right)}\right)} \geq \frac{R}{\sqrt{F\left(M t^{-1}\right)} F\left(\frac{2}{K} \sqrt{F\left(M t^{-1}\right)}\right)} .
$$

This completes the proof.
Corollary 3.5. Let $X$ be a Banach space and $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a continuous uniformly convex function satisfying that $f(x) \leq\|x\|^{p}$ for some $p \geq 2$ and for all $x \in X$. Then $X$ admits a norm with modulus of convexity of power type $\frac{p}{2}(p+1)$.
Proof. Applying Theorem 3.4 for $F(t)=t^{p}$ we obtain an equivalent norm $|\cdot|$ and positive constants $R, M$ and $S$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_{|\cdot|}(t) & \geq \frac{R}{\sqrt{\left(M t^{-1}\right)^{p}}\left(S \sqrt{\left(M t^{-1}\right)^{p}}\right)^{p}}=\frac{R}{\left(\frac{M}{t}\right)^{\frac{p}{2}} S^{p}\left(\frac{M}{t}\right)^{\frac{p^{2}}{2}}} \\
& =\frac{R}{S^{p} M^{\frac{p}{2}(p+1)}} t^{\frac{p}{2}(p+1)},
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e., there exists a positive constant $K$ such that $\delta_{|\cdot|}(t) \geq K t^{\frac{p}{2}(p+1)}$.

## 4. A Sharp Result for $p=2$

In this section, we will sharpen the result from Corollary 3.5 in the case $p=2$ to obtain the optimal result that if $X$ has a uniformly convex function bounded above by $\|\cdot\|^{2}$ then there is an equivalent norm on $X$ with a modulus of convexity of power type 2. We refer to [8] for some related information on this case. We begin with some preliminary results.

Let $X$ be a Banach space. We can associate to $X$ the following modulus

$$
\widetilde{\delta}_{X}(\varepsilon)=\sup _{\tau \geq 0}\left\{\frac{1}{2} \tau \varepsilon-\rho_{X^{*}}(\tau)\right\}
$$

where $\varepsilon \in[0,2]$. By Lindenstrauss' formula $\widetilde{\delta}_{X} \leq \delta_{X}$ while $\widetilde{\delta}_{X}(\varepsilon) \geq \delta_{X}(\varepsilon / 2)$, see [10].

The modulus of smoothness associated with $X$ satisfies the following property which characterizes those functions being a modulus of smoothness of a Banach space (see [11] for a dual result).

Proposition 4.1. [10, Proposition 10] Let $(X,\|\cdot\|)$ be a Banach space. If $0<\tau \leq$ $\sigma$, then

$$
\rho_{X}(\sigma) / \sigma^{2} \leq L \rho_{X}(\tau) / \tau^{2}
$$

where $L$ is a constant smaller than $2 \prod_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(1+2^{-n} / 3\right) \approx 3.6591297 \ldots$.
Lemma 4.2. Let $X$ be a Banach space. Suppose $\left\{\|\cdot\|_{n}\right\}_{n \geq N}$ are norms on $(X,\|\cdot\|)$ so that for some $K>0$ and all $n \geq N$, one has

$$
K\|\cdot\| \leq\|\cdot\|_{n} \leq\|\cdot\| .
$$

Then there exists an equivalent norm $|\cdot|$ such that for all $n \geq N$

$$
\delta_{|\cdot|}(t) \geq R_{0} \delta_{\|\cdot\|_{n}}(t),
$$

where $R_{0}>0$ is a universal constant.

Proof. A norm with the required property can be defined by the formula

$$
|x|^{2}=\sum_{n \geq N} a_{n}\|x\|_{n}^{2}
$$

where $a_{n}$ satisfies $\sum_{n \geq N} a_{n}=\left(\frac{K}{2 K_{0} L}\right)^{2}$ and where $L$ is as in Proposition 4.1.
Let us fix $n \geq N$ and denote $Y=\ell_{2}\left(X,\|\cdot\|_{n}\right)$. Applying [10, Prop. 19] with $M(t)=t^{2}$ and $X_{i}=\left(X,\|\cdot\|_{n}\right)^{*}$ one has that

$$
\rho_{Y^{*}}(\tau) \leq K_{0} \sup _{\tau \leq u \leq 1} \rho_{\left(X,\|\cdot\|_{n}\right)^{*}}(\tau / u) u^{2}
$$

where $K_{0}$ depends neither on $X$ nor on $\|\cdot\|_{n}$. Now, applying Proposition 4.1 we obtain

$$
\rho_{Y^{*}}(\tau) \leq K_{0} L \rho_{\left(X,\|\cdot\|_{n}\right)^{*}}(\tau)
$$

and by duality

$$
\delta_{Y}(\varepsilon) \geq \widetilde{\delta}_{Y}(\varepsilon) \geq K_{0} L \widetilde{\delta}_{\left(X,\|\cdot\|_{n}\right)}\left(\varepsilon / K_{0} L\right) \geq K_{0} L \delta_{\left(X,\|\cdot\|_{n}\right)}\left(\varepsilon / 2 K_{0} L\right)
$$

for all $0 \leq \varepsilon<2$.
From the proof of [10, Prop. 18] one has that $\delta_{(X,|\cdot|)}(\varepsilon) \geq \frac{1}{2} \delta_{Y}(c \varepsilon)$, where $c=2 K_{0} L$. Therefore

$$
\delta_{(X,|\cdot|)}(\varepsilon) \geq \frac{K_{0} L}{2} \delta_{\left(X,\|\cdot\|_{n}\right)}(\varepsilon)
$$

which finishes the proof.
We can now complete our final result.
Theorem 4.3. Let $X$ be a Banach space and $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a continuous uniformly convex function satisfying $f(x) \leq\|x\|^{2}$ for all $x \in X$. Then $X$ admits a norm with modulus of convexity of power type 2 .

Proof. Again replacing $f$ with $\frac{f(x)+f(-x)}{2}$ clearly preserves the uniform convexity of $f$ and allows us to assume $f(-x)=f(x)$ for all $x \in X$. According to Lemma 3.3 we may choose $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $K>0$ so that $f(x) \geq K^{2}\|x\|^{2}$ whenever $\|x\| \geq N$. Thus we have

$$
K^{2}\|x\|^{2} \leq f(x) \leq\|x\|^{2} \text { whenever }\|x\| \geq N
$$

For $n \geq N$, let $|\cdot|_{n}$ have unit ball $B_{n}=\left\{x: f(x) \leq 2^{2 n}\right\}$.
For any $x \in X \backslash\{0\}, f\left(x /|x|_{n}\right)=2^{2 n}$. Hence, using $f(x) \leq\|x\|^{2}$, we obtain $\|x\| \geq 2^{n}|x|_{n}$. Analogously, using that $K^{2}\left\|x /|x|_{n}\right\|^{2} \leq f\left(x /|x|_{n}\right)$ one obtains $2^{n}|x|_{n} \geq K\|x\|$. Consequently,

$$
\frac{K}{2^{n}}\|x\| \leq|x|_{n} \leq \frac{1}{2^{n}}\|x\|
$$

Let us consider $|x|_{n}=|y|_{n}=1$, this is $f(x)=f(y)=2^{2 n}$, with $|x-y|_{n} \geq 1 / 2^{n}$. Then $\|x-y\| \geq 1$, and letting $z=\frac{x+y}{2}, z^{\prime}=z /|z|_{n}$ and $M_{n}=\sup \left\{f^{\prime}(u, v):|u|_{n}=\right.$ $1,\|v\|=1\}$, as in (3.3) in the proof of Theorem 3.4 one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\delta_{f}(1) \leq M_{n}\left\|z^{\prime}-z\right\| \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because, $f(x) \leq\|x\|^{2}$, and proceeding as in (3.4) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{n} \leq\left(2 \cdot \frac{2^{n}}{K}\right)^{2} / \frac{2^{n}}{K}=\frac{4\left(2^{n}\right)}{K} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using $|\cdot|_{n} \geq \frac{K}{2^{n}}\|\cdot\|$, (4.1), (4.2) and proceeding as in (3.5), we obtain

$$
\left|\frac{x+y}{2}\right|_{n} \leq 1-\delta_{f}(1) \frac{K}{2^{n+2}} \cdot \frac{K}{2^{n}}=1-\frac{C}{2^{2 n}}
$$

where $C=\delta_{f}(1) K^{2} / 4$. This implies that

$$
\delta_{|\cdot|_{n}}\left(\frac{1}{2^{n}}\right) \geq C\left(\frac{1}{2^{n}}\right)^{2}
$$

For a fixed $n \geq N$ let us consider $k=1,2, \ldots, 2^{n}$ and the constant $R=\frac{C R_{0}}{4 L}$ where $L$ is the Figiel's constant of Proposition 4.1. Then

$$
C=\frac{C}{2^{2 n}} \cdot \frac{1}{2^{-2 n}} \leq \frac{\delta_{|\cdot|_{n}}\left(2^{-n}\right)}{2^{-2 n}} \leq 4 L \frac{\delta_{|\cdot|_{n}}\left(k 2^{-n}\right)}{k^{2} 2^{-2 n}}
$$

This implies

$$
\delta_{|\cdot|_{n}}\left(\frac{k}{2^{n}}\right) \geq \frac{R}{R_{0}}\left(\frac{k}{2^{n}}\right)^{2}
$$

For each $n \geq N$, let us consider the new norm $\|\cdot\|_{n}=2^{n}|\cdot|_{n}$. These new norms satisfy

$$
K\|\cdot\| \leq\|\cdot\|_{n} \leq\|\cdot\| \text { and } \delta_{|\cdot|_{n}}(\cdot)=\delta_{\|\cdot\|_{n}}(\cdot)
$$

Applying Lemma 4.2 we obtain an equivalent norm $|\cdot|$ on $X$ such that $\delta_{|\cdot|}(t) \geq$ $R_{0} \delta_{\|\cdot\|_{n}}(t)$ for $n \geq N$.

Finally, let us fix $n_{0}$ and $k \leq 2^{n_{0}}$. For any $n \geq n_{0}$ we have that $\frac{k}{2^{n_{0}}}=\frac{k 2^{n-n_{0}}}{2^{n}}$. Therefore $\delta_{\|\cdot\|_{n}}\left(\frac{k}{2^{n_{0}}}\right)=\delta_{\|\cdot\|_{n}}\left(\frac{k 2^{n-n_{0}}}{2^{n}}\right) \geq \frac{R}{R_{0}}\left(\frac{k}{2^{n_{0}}}\right)^{2}$, which implies that

$$
\delta_{|\cdot|}\left(\frac{k}{2^{n_{0}}}\right) \geq R\left(\frac{k}{2^{n_{0}}}\right)^{2}
$$

In the previous paragraph we have shown that $\delta_{|\cdot|}(t) \geq R t^{2}$ for all $t$ lying in $\mathcal{D}=$ $\left\{\frac{k}{2^{n}}: n \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq k \leq 2^{n}\right\}$. Since $\mathcal{D}$ is dense in $[0,1]$ and since $\delta_{|\cdot|}(\cdot)$ is continuous [12], we have $\delta_{|\cdot|}(t) \geq R t^{2}$, which finishes the proof.
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