

On the Arithmetic on Algebraic Curves

K. Mahler

Let P_1, P_2, \dots, P_t be a finite set of prime numbers,

and let Σ be the set of all rational numbers of the form

$$\frac{a_1 a_2 \dots a_t}{P_1^{a_1} P_2^{a_2} \dots P_t^{a_t}}$$

where the a 's run over all integers, positive, negative, or zero. Let further

$$f(x,y) = \sum_{h=0}^m \sum_{k=0}^n a_{h,k} x^h y^k,$$

$$\text{where } F = \sum_{h=0}^m \sum_{k=0}^n |a_{h,k}| > 0,$$

be a non-constant polynomial with integral coefficients, irreducible over the rational field. The algebraic curve C defined by $f(x,y) = 0$ may be reducible, however.

Theorem: If there exists an infinite set S of points (x,y) on C for which both x and y belong to Σ , then $f(x,y)$ is the sum of exactly two terms.

Proof: For every rational number $x = a/b$, where the integers a, b are relatively prime, write $*x = \max(|a|, |b|)$.

It is easily proved that

$$(1): \quad *y \leq F(*x)^m, \quad *x \leq F(*y)^n$$

for every element (x,y) of S .

If $\min(*x, *y)$ is bounded in S , then $f(x,y)$ is of the form $ax + b$ or $ay + b$, where a and b are integers different from zero. We exclude this case; then both $*x$ and $*y$ tend to infinity when (x,y) runs over S .

7) Here and later, it may be necessary to replace S by a suitable infinite subsequence; but, for shortness, we shall not mention this each time.

On, if necessary, replacing x by 1/x, or interchanging x and y, or doing both, we may assume that

(2): $1/|x|$ is bounded for the elements (x,y) of S.

CASE A: $*x \leq |x|^2$ for the elements of S; hence |x| tends to infinity.

The points (x,y) of S lie therefore on an infinite branch of C defined by a convergent series

(3):
$$y = ax^{n/q} + a_1x^{(n-1)/q} + a_2x^{(n-2)/q} + \dots;$$

here x and q are integers satisfying

(4): $q \geq 1, (p,q) = 1,$

and $a \neq 0, a_1, a_2, \dots$ are real algebraic numbers.

If $a_1 = a_2 = \dots = 0$, then C is the curve $g(x,y) \equiv y^q - a^q x^p = 0$, a^q is a rational number, and f(x,y) has the asserted form since g(x,y) is irreducible. Let this case be excluded.

Denote by a_r , where $r \geq 1$, the first of the numbers a_1, a_2, \dots which does not vanish; further put

(5): $z = x^{-p}y^q, A = a^q,$

so that

$$\lim z = A \neq 0$$

when (x,y) runs over S. Also z belongs to Σ , and by (1) and (5)

$$(6): \quad *z \leq (*x)^p (*y)^q \leq c_1 (*x)^{p+mq};$$

here c_1 , and similarly c_2, c_3, \dots , denote positive numbers independent of (x, y) .

By (3) and the definition of r , z may be written as a convergent series

$$(7): \quad z = A + A_r x^{-r/q} + A_{r+1} x^{-(r+1)/q} + \dots,$$

where, in particular, $A \neq 0$ and $A_r \neq 0$. Hence, for (x, y) in S ,

$$0 < |z-A| < c_2 |x|^{-r/q} \rightarrow 0.$$

Therefore, by the hypothesis $*x \leq |x|^2$ and by (6),

$$(8): \quad 0 < |z-A| < c_2 (*x)^{-r/2q} < c_3 (*z)^{-\delta},$$

$$\text{where } \delta = \frac{r}{2q(r+mq)},$$

contrary to a well-known consequence of the Thue-Siegel theorem²⁾.

²⁾ If $A \neq 0$ is an algebraic and ϵ a positive number, then $0 < |z-A| < (*z)^{-\epsilon}$ for at most a finite number of elements z of Σ . See my paper, Proc. Kon. Akad. Amsterdam, 39 (1936), 633-640, 729-737, Satz 3.

CASE B: $*x > |x|^2$, so that $|x|$ is possibly bounded.

Write $x = a/b$ where $(a, b) = 1$, $ab \neq 0$. We have, either $*x = |b|$, or $*x = |a| > a^2/b^2$, whence $b^2 > |a|$, $|b| > |a|^{1/2} = (*x)^{1/2}$. Hence in either case,

$$|b| \geq (*x)^{1/2}.$$

On factoring b , let P^s be the greatest power of a prime dividing b ; therefore

$$P^s \geq |b|^{1/t}$$

from the definition of \sum . We may assume P is the same prime for all elements of S .

Denote by $|u|_P$ the P -adic value of the arbitrary P -adic number u , where the P -adic value is normed by the condition that $P |P|_P = 1$. Then

$$(9): \quad |x|_P = P^s \geq |b|^{1/t} \geq (*x)^{1/2t}$$

for the elements (x,y) of S . Hence x , considered as a P -adic number, tends to infinity as (x,y) runs over S . This enables us to proceed just as in Case A, except that we are now dealing with P -adic numbers and values.

Again the points (x,y) of S lie on an infinite branch of C defined by a series (3), except that this series converges now in the P -adic sense and that its coefficients $a \neq 0$, a_1, a_2, \dots are P -adic algebraic numbers; let (4) still be satisfied.

We exclude once more the case that $a_1 = a_2 = \dots = 0$, when the assertion is certainly true, and denote again by a_r , where $r \geq 1$, the first non-vanishing coefficient a_1, a_2, \dots . Define z and A by (5) so that now

$$\lim z = A \neq 0$$

in the P -adic sense as (x,y) runs over S . The inequality (6) remains true, and z may be written in the form (7) where $A \neq 0$ and $A_r \neq 0$, and where the convergence is in the P -adic sense.

Therefore now, for (x,y) in S ,

$$0 < |z-A|_P < c |x|_P^{-\sqrt{q}} \rightarrow 0.$$

By (6) and (9), this implies that

$$0 < |z-A|_P < c_4 (*x)^{-r/2qt} < c_5 (*z)^{-S}, \text{ where}$$

$$S = \frac{r}{2qt(r+mq)}.$$

This inequality, however, contradicts the P-adic analogue to the theorem quoted in the footnote 2) 3).

3) The proof in 2) can be extended to the P-adic case by using the result of my paper, Math. Annalen, 107 (1933), 691-730, Satz 1.

By way of example, take $f(x,y) = x + y - 1$. Then the theorem implies that if u, v are integers different from zero which are relatively prime and for which $x^2 + y^2$ tends to infinity, then the greatest prime factor of $uv(u + v)$ also tends to infinity.

In a later paper, I hope to extend the result of this note to arbitrary finite algebraic fields.