

The Enigmatic Tate - Shafarevich group

E/\mathbb{Q} - an elliptic curve over \mathbb{Q} .

$E(\mathbb{Q})$ - group of \mathbb{Q} -rational points.

g_E - in numerical examples, easy to determine g_E by classical arguments.

However, we are unable to prove most deep theoretical assertions about g_E because of our lack of knowledge about the Tate - Shafarevich group of E .

Defn.
$$\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q}) = \text{Ker} \left(H^1(\text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}), E(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\nu} H^1(\text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{\nu}/\mathbb{Q}_{\nu}), E(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{\nu})) \right)$$

This group is unquestionably the most enigmatic group in number theory, and probably in the whole of mathematics. It is a torsion abelian group

$$\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q}) = \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p}} \text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})(\mathfrak{p})$$

Classical Galois cohomology \Rightarrow

$$\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})(\mathfrak{p}) = \left(\mathbb{Q}_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathbb{Z}_{\mathfrak{p}} \right)^{t_{E,\mathfrak{p}}} \oplus \text{finite group,}$$

where $t_{E,\mathfrak{p}}$ is some integer ≥ 0 .

Enigmatic for following reasons: —

- (i). It is impossible by classical methods to compute $\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})(p)$ numerically once $p > 7$.
- (ii). $\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})$ is conjectured to always be finite ($\Rightarrow t_{E,p} = 0$ for every p).
- (iii). $\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})$ has never been proven finite for a single E with $g_E \geq 2$.
- (iv). The BSD conjecture gives a nice analytic formula for $\#(\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q}))$. Computations with this analytic formula suggest that $\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q}) = 0$ for virtually all E/\mathbb{Q} with $g_{E/\mathbb{Q}} \geq 2$.

Example 1. We recall that an integer $D \geq 1$ is said to be congruent if D is the area of a right-angled triangle, all of whose sides have rational length.

Lemma. D is congruent $\Leftrightarrow g_{E_D} \geq 1$ where

$$E_D : y^2 = x^3 - D^2 x.$$

Celebrated special case of BSD conjecture.

CONJECTURE. Every positive integer D with $D \equiv 5, 6, 7 \pmod{8}$ is congruent.

Suffices. To prove $\text{III}(E_D)(p)$ finite for a single p , but this is unknown.

Ye Tian of Chinese Academy of Sciences has very recently made deep progress on this problem.

Take $N = p_0 \cdots p_k$ where p_0, \dots, p_k are distinct odd primes with $p_1, \dots, p_k \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ and $p_0 \equiv 3, 5, 7 \pmod{8}$.

Defn. $A = 2$ -primary subgroup of ideal class group of $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{-2N})$.

Theorem. Assume that $2A = 0$ when $N \equiv 3, 5 \pmod{8}$, and $2A = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ when $N \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$. Then N is congruent when $N \equiv 5, 7 \pmod{8}$, and $2N$ is congruent if $N \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$. In both cases, $\text{III}(E_D)$ is finite.

$k=0$ (Heegner, followed by Birch, Stephens)

$k=1$ (Mansky & Gross).

Tian uses induction on k , and uses some beautiful work by Zhao Chunlai of Peking University, as well as Shouwu Zhang's generalized Gross-Zagier formula.

Corollary. For every integer $s \geq 1$, there are infinitely many square free integers $D \geq 1$, which are congruent, have precisely s odd prime factors, and satisfy $D \equiv 5, 6, 7 \pmod{8}$.

The proof uses Zhang's generalization of the Gross-Zagier formula, and some beautiful earlier work of Zhao Chunlai on the non-vanishing of certain L -values.

Earlier: $k = 0$ (Heegner and later Stephens), $k = 1$ Mordell.

The proof is a remarkable marriage of abstract methods and hard classical arguments.

Example 2. $y^2 = x^3 - 82x$

$g_E = 3$ $(-9, 3), (-8, 12), (-1, 9)$ generators mod torsion

$\text{BSD} \Rightarrow \text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q}) = 0.$

Unknown. Is $\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})$ finite.

One of the great mysteries is the link between these problems of a purely arithmetic nature and L -functions arising from the conjecture of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer.

$L(E, \rho)$ - complex L -function of E/\mathbb{Q} .

$L(E, \rho)$ is entire because of the deep theorem that E is modular (Wiles, ...).

defn. $\tau_{E, \infty} = \text{ord}_{\rho=1} L(E, \rho)$.

Conjecture (BSD): $\tau_{E, \infty} = \# g_E$.

Theorem (Kolyvagin, Gross-Zagier). $\forall \tau_{E, \infty} \leq 1$, then $\tau_{E, \infty} = g_E \iff \text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})$ is finite.

Theoretically, no link has ever been proven between $\tau_{E, \infty} \iff g_E$ when $\tau_{E, \infty} \geq 2$ (in particular, when $g_E \geq 2$). For example, it has never been shown that $\tau_{E, \infty} \geq g_E$ - indeed it has never been shown that $\tau_{E, \infty}$ is ≥ 4 for a single E/\mathbb{Q} .

However, when we pass to the p -adic world, the situation is completely different.

p - any prime where E has good ordinary reduction and $p > 2$.

$\rho \in \mathbb{Z}_p$.

Defn. $L_p(E, \rho) = p$ -adic L-function of E/\mathbb{Q} .

It is entire as a function of s (an Iwasawa function).

Key Fact. The so called "Main Conjectures" of Iwasawa theory imply (unconditionally) the following theorem.

Defn. $\tau_{E,p} = \text{ord}_{s=1} L_p(E, \rho)$.

Theorem. $\tau_{E,p} \geq g_E + t_{E,p}$.

Opens the door to proving two types of results which are at present inaccessible with the complex L-function

- (i). An upper bound for $\tau_{E,p}$ will at least give an upper bound for $t_{E,p}$.
- (ii). Numerical determination of $\tau_{E,p}$ will give numerical upper bound for $t_{E,p}$ ($\tau_{E,p} = g_E \Rightarrow t_{E,p} = 0$).

One can carry through this programme for all E/\mathbb{Q} but at present we get much stronger results if we work with E admitting complex multiplication.

Defn. We say E admits complex multiplication if $K = \text{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(E) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ is an imaginary quadratic field.

Assume E admits CM $\leftrightarrow \psi_E =$ Grossencharacter of E/K .

p ordinary $\Rightarrow p \nmid \sigma_K = \wp \wp^*$.

$K_\infty =$ unique Galois extension of K , unramified outside of \wp , with $\text{Gal}(K_\infty/K) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$.

There is a unique p -adic L -function $L_\wp(E, s)$ related to E/K_∞ via a main conjecture.

$\mathcal{L} =$ period lattice of $E = \Omega_\infty \sigma_K$, $\Omega_\infty \in \mathbb{C}^\times$.

Fact. There exists a unique $L_\wp(E, s)$ such that

$$L_\wp(E, n) = \Omega_\wp^n \Omega_\infty^{-n} (n-1)! L(\psi_E^n, n) \left(1 - \frac{\psi_E^n(\wp)}{N\wp}\right)$$

for all integers $n \geq 1$ with $n \equiv 1 \pmod{p-1}$.

Key remark for bounding $\tau_{E, \wp} = \text{ord}_{s=1} L_\wp(E, s)$.

$\Omega_\wp^{-1} L_\wp(E, p) \neq 0$ and belongs to $\mathbb{Z}_p \cdot K^\times$.

Main Conjecture $\Rightarrow \tau_{E, \wp} \geq g_E + t_{E, p}$.

In this way we can prove: -

Theorem. Assume E has CM. Then, for each $\varepsilon > 0$, we have $t_{E, p} \leq \left(\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon\right)p - g_E$ for all sufficiently large good ordinary primes p .

Weak but the first theoretical result of its kind.