

A new subfamily of enlargements of a maximally monotone operator

R.S.Burachik¹ J.E.Martínez Legaz² M.Rezaie³
M.Théra⁴

¹University of South Australia

^{2,3,4}Universidad Autónoma de Barcelona, University of Isfahan,
University of Limoges

Fitzpatrick Workshop
SPCOM 2015, 10 February

Outline

- 1 Motivation
- 2 Preliminaries
- 3 The family $\mathcal{H}(T)$
- 4 Enlargements of T
- 5 Case $T = \partial\varphi$

Outline

- 1 Motivation
- 2 Preliminaries
- 3 The family $\mathcal{H}(T)$
- 4 Enlargements of T
- 5 Case $T = \partial\varphi$

Outline

- 1 Motivation
- 2 Preliminaries
- 3 The family $\mathcal{H}(T)$
- 4 Enlargements of T
- 5 Case $T = \partial\varphi$

Outline

- 1 Motivation
- 2 Preliminaries
- 3 The family $\mathcal{H}(T)$
- 4 Enlargements of T
- 5 Case $T = \partial\varphi$

Outline

- 1 Motivation
- 2 Preliminaries
- 3 The family $\mathcal{H}(T)$
- 4 Enlargements of T
- 5 Case $T = \partial\varphi$

Monotone Inclusion Problem

Let $T : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be maximal monotone. Many nonlinear problems are stated as:

Given $z \in X^*$, find $x \in X$: $z \in T(x)$ (P_0)

Equivalently:

Given $z \in X^*$, find $x \in X$: $(x, z) \in G(T)$

solving (P_0) \iff requires to know $G(T)$

Main Ingredients I: multivalued mappings

For $T : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ we define

- its graph as $G(T) := \{(x, x^*) \in X \times X^* : x^* \in T(x)\}$,
- its domain as $D(T) := \{x \in X : T(x) \neq \emptyset\}$,
- its range as $R(T) := \bigcup\{T(x) : x \in D(T)\}$,

We say that T is

- *monotone* if

$$\langle y - x, y^* - x^* \rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall (x, x^*), (y, y^*) \in G(T).$$

- *maximally monotone* if T has no monotone extension in the sense of graph inclusion.

Main Ingredients I: multivalued mappings

For $T : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ we define

- its graph as $G(T) := \{(x, x^*) \in X \times X^* : x^* \in T(x)\}$,
- its domain as $D(T) := \{x \in X : T(x) \neq \emptyset\}$,
- its range as $R(T) := \bigcup\{T(x) : x \in D(T)\}$,

We say that T is

- *monotone* if

$$\langle y - x, y^* - x^* \rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall (x, x^*), (y, y^*) \in G(T).$$

- *maximally monotone* if T has no monotone extension in the sense of graph inclusion.

Main Ingredients I: multivalued mappings

For $T : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ we define

- its graph as $G(T) := \{(x, x^*) \in X \times X^* : x^* \in T(x)\}$,
- its domain as $D(T) := \{x \in X : T(x) \neq \emptyset\}$,
- its range as $R(T) := \bigcup\{T(x) : x \in D(T)\}$,

We say that T is

- *monotone* if

$$\langle y - x, y^* - x^* \rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall (x, x^*), (y, y^*) \in G(T).$$

- *maximally monotone* if T has no monotone extension in the sense of graph inclusion.

Main Ingredients I: multivalued mappings

For $T : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ we define

- its graph as $G(T) := \{(x, x^*) \in X \times X^* : x^* \in T(x)\}$,
- its domain as $D(T) := \{x \in X : T(x) \neq \emptyset\}$,
- its range as $R(T) := \bigcup\{T(x) : x \in D(T)\}$,

We say that T is

- *monotone* if

$$\langle y - x, y^* - x^* \rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall (x, x^*), (y, y^*) \in G(T).$$

- *maximally monotone* if T has no monotone extension in the sense of graph inclusion.

Main Ingredients I: multivalued mappings

For $T : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ we define

- its graph as $G(T) := \{(x, x^*) \in X \times X^* : x^* \in T(x)\}$,
- its domain as $D(T) := \{x \in X : T(x) \neq \emptyset\}$,
- its range as $R(T) := \bigcup\{T(x) : x \in D(T)\}$,

We say that T is

- *monotone* if

$$\langle y - x, y^* - x^* \rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall (x, x^*), (y, y^*) \in G(T).$$

- *maximally monotone* if T has no monotone extension in the sense of graph inclusion.

Main Ingredients I: multivalued mappings

For $T : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ we define

- its graph as $G(T) := \{(x, x^*) \in X \times X^* : x^* \in T(x)\}$,
- its domain as $D(T) := \{x \in X : T(x) \neq \emptyset\}$,
- its range as $R(T) := \bigcup\{T(x) : x \in D(T)\}$,

We say that T is

- *monotone* if

$$\langle y - x, y^* - x^* \rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall (x, x^*), (y, y^*) \in G(T).$$

- *maximally monotone* if T has no monotone extension in the sense of graph inclusion.

Main Ingredients II: subdifferentials

For $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_\infty$ convex and lsc, we define

- $\text{Dom}\varphi := \{x : \varphi(x) < \infty\}$, and
- we say that φ is proper when $\text{Dom}\varphi \neq \emptyset$.
- the subdifferential of φ is the multivalued mapping $\partial\varphi : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ defined by

$$\partial\varphi(x) := \{x^* \in X^* : \varphi(y) - \varphi(x) \geq \langle x^*, y - x \rangle, \forall y \in X\},$$

when $x \in \text{Dom}\varphi$. Otherwise $\partial\varphi(x) = \emptyset$.

Main Ingredients II: subdifferentials

For $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_\infty$ convex and lsc, we define

- $\text{Dom}\varphi := \{x : \varphi(x) < \infty\}$, and
- we say that φ is proper when $\text{Dom}\varphi \neq \emptyset$.
- the subdifferential of φ is the multivalued mapping $\partial\varphi : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ defined by

$$\partial\varphi(x) := \{x^* \in X^* : \varphi(y) - \varphi(x) \geq \langle x^*, y - x \rangle, \forall y \in X\},$$

when $x \in \text{Dom}\varphi$. Otherwise $\partial\varphi(x) = \emptyset$.

Main Ingredients II: subdifferentials

For $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_\infty$ convex and lsc, we define

- $\text{Dom}\varphi := \{x : \varphi(x) < \infty\}$, and
- we say that φ is proper when $\text{Dom}\varphi \neq \emptyset$.
- the subdifferential of φ is the multivalued mapping $\partial\varphi : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ defined by

$$\partial\varphi(x) := \{x^* \in X^* : \varphi(y) - \varphi(x) \geq \langle x^*, y - x \rangle, \forall y \in X\},$$

when $x \in \text{Dom}\varphi$. Otherwise $\partial\varphi(x) = \emptyset$.

Fenchel Young inequality

Let $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_\infty$ be convex and lsc, $\varphi^* : X^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_\infty$

$$\varphi^*(v) := \sup_{x \in X} \{\langle x, v \rangle - \varphi(x)\}$$

is the *conjugate of φ* . The *Fenchel Young inequality* states

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(x) + \varphi^*(v) &\geq \langle x, v \rangle, \quad \forall x \in X, v \in X^* \\ \varphi(x) + \varphi^*(v) &= \langle x, v \rangle, \quad \iff v \in \partial\varphi(x).\end{aligned}$$

Notation: $\varphi^{FY}(x, v) := \varphi(x) + \varphi^*(v)$

Fitzpatrick Theory: the family $\mathcal{H}(T)$

In 1988 Fitzpatrick defined the family $\mathcal{H}(T)$ consisting of all $h : X \times X^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_\infty$ convex and lsc such that:

$$\begin{aligned}h(x, v) &\geq \langle x, v \rangle, \quad \forall x \in X, v \in X^* \\h(x, v) &= \langle x, v \rangle, \quad \iff v \in T(x).\end{aligned}$$

Given v this reformulates the monotone inclusion as an optimization problem in X : Find x such that

$$h(x, v) = 0 = \min_x h(\cdot, v)$$

Fitzpatrick Theory: the family $\mathcal{H}(T)$

In 1988 Fitzpatrick defined the family $\mathcal{H}(T)$ consisting of all $h : X \times X^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_\infty$ convex and lsc such that:

$$\begin{aligned}h(x, v) &\geq \langle x, v \rangle, \quad \forall x \in X, v \in X^* \\h(x, v) &= \langle x, v \rangle, \quad \iff v \in T(x).\end{aligned}$$

Given v this reformulates the monotone inclusion as an optimization problem in X : Find x such that

$$h(x, v) = 0 = \min_x h(\cdot, v)$$

Fitzpatrick Theory: the family $\mathcal{H}(T)$

In 1988 Fitzpatrick defined the family $\mathcal{H}(T)$ consisting of all $h : X \times X^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_\infty$ convex and lsc such that:

$$\begin{aligned}h(x, v) &\geq \langle x, v \rangle, \quad \forall x \in X, v \in X^* \\h(x, v) &= \langle x, v \rangle, \quad \iff v \in T(x).\end{aligned}$$

Given v this reformulates the monotone inclusion as an optimization problem in X : Find x such that

$$h(x, v) = 0 = \min_x h(\cdot, v)$$

A key member of $\mathcal{H}(T)$

Fitzpatrick defined $\mathcal{F}_T : X \times X^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_\infty$ as

$$\mathcal{F}_T(x, x^*) := \sup_{(y, y) \in G(T)} \langle y, x^* \rangle + \langle x - y, y^* \rangle$$

which verifies

- $\mathcal{F}_T \in \mathcal{H}(T)$
- $\mathcal{F}_T \leq h \leq (\mathcal{F}_T)^* =: \sigma_T$ for all $h \in \mathcal{H}(T)$

Historical note: N.V.Krylov defined in 1980 \mathcal{F}_T for T point-to-point monotone in finite dimensions.

A key member of $\mathcal{H}(T)$

Fitzpatrick defined $\mathcal{F}_T : X \times X^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_\infty$ as

$$\mathcal{F}_T(x, x^*) := \sup_{(y, y) \in G(T)} \langle y, x^* \rangle + \langle x - y, y^* \rangle$$

which verifies

- $\mathcal{F}_T \in \mathcal{H}(T)$
- $\mathcal{F}_T \leq h \leq (\mathcal{F}_T)^* =: \sigma_T$ for all $h \in \mathcal{H}(T)$

Historical note: N.V.Krylov defined in 1980 \mathcal{F}_T for T point-to-point monotone in finite dimensions.

A key member of $\mathcal{H}(T)$

Fitzpatrick defined $\mathcal{F}_T : X \times X^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_\infty$ as

$$\mathcal{F}_T(x, x^*) := \sup_{(y, y) \in G(T)} \langle y, x^* \rangle + \langle x - y, y^* \rangle$$

which verifies

- $\mathcal{F}_T \in \mathcal{H}(T)$
- $\mathcal{F}_T \leq h \leq (\mathcal{F}_T)^* =: \sigma_T$ for all $h \in \mathcal{H}(T)$

Historical note: N.V.Krylov defined in 1980 \mathcal{F}_T for T point-to-point monotone in finite dimensions.

A key member of $\mathcal{H}(T)$

Fitzpatrick defined $\mathcal{F}_T : X \times X^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_\infty$ as

$$\mathcal{F}_T(x, x^*) := \sup_{(y, y) \in G(T)} \langle y, x^* \rangle + \langle x - y, y^* \rangle$$

which verifies

- $\mathcal{F}_T \in \mathcal{H}(T)$
- $\mathcal{F}_T \leq h \leq (\mathcal{F}_T)^* =: \sigma_T$ for all $h \in \mathcal{H}(T)$

Historical note: N.V.Krylov defined in 1980 \mathcal{F}_T for T point-to-point monotone in finite dimensions.

Main Ingredients III: enlargement of the subdifferential

For $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_\infty$ convex, lsc, let $\varepsilon \geq 0$, then $\partial_\varepsilon\varphi : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ is

- $\partial_\varepsilon\varphi(x) := \{x^* \in X^* : \varphi(y) - \varphi(x) \geq \langle x^*, y - x \rangle - \varepsilon, \forall y \in X\}$,
 if $x \in \text{Dom}\varphi$. Otherwise, $\partial_\varepsilon\varphi(x) = \emptyset$.

$\check{\partial}\varphi(\varepsilon, x) := \partial_\varepsilon\varphi(x)$ Brøndsted-Rockafellar enlargement (1965)

$\check{\partial}\varphi$ characterized by *Fenchel Young ineq.*:

$$\langle x, v \rangle \leq \varphi^{FY}(x, v) = \varphi(x) + \varphi^*(v) \leq \langle x, v \rangle + \varepsilon \iff v \in \check{\partial}\varphi(\varepsilon, x).$$

Main Ingredients III: enlargement of the subdifferential

For $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_\infty$ convex, lsc, let $\varepsilon \geq 0$, then $\partial_\varepsilon\varphi : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ is

- $\bullet \partial_\varepsilon\varphi(x) := \{x^* \in X^* : \varphi(y) - \varphi(x) \geq \langle x^*, y - x \rangle - \varepsilon, \forall y \in X\}$,
if $x \in \text{Dom}\varphi$. Otherwise, $\partial_\varepsilon\varphi(x) = \emptyset$.

$\check{\partial}\varphi(\varepsilon, x) := \partial_\varepsilon\varphi(x)$ Brøndsted-Rockafellar enlargement (1965)

$\check{\partial}\varphi$ characterized by *Fenchel Young ineq.*:

$$\langle x, v \rangle \leq \varphi^{FY}(x, v) = \varphi(x) + \varphi^*(v) \leq \langle x, v \rangle + \varepsilon \iff v \in \check{\partial}\varphi(\varepsilon, x).$$

Main Ingredients III: enlargement of the subdifferential

For $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_\infty$ convex, lsc, let $\varepsilon \geq 0$, then $\partial_\varepsilon\varphi : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ is

- $\partial_\varepsilon\varphi(x) := \{x^* \in X^* : \varphi(y) - \varphi(x) \geq \langle x^*, y - x \rangle - \varepsilon, \forall y \in X\}$,
if $x \in \text{Dom}\varphi$. Otherwise, $\partial_\varepsilon\varphi(x) = \emptyset$.

$\check{\partial}\varphi(\varepsilon, x) := \partial_\varepsilon\varphi(x)$ **Brøndsted-Rockafellar enlargement** (1965)

$\check{\partial}\varphi$ characterized by *Fenchel Young ineq.*:

$$\langle x, v \rangle \leq \varphi^{FY}(x, v) = \varphi(x) + \varphi^*(v) \leq \langle x, v \rangle + \varepsilon \iff v \in \check{\partial}\varphi(\varepsilon, x).$$

Main Ingredients III: enlargement of the subdifferential

For $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_\infty$ convex, lsc, let $\varepsilon \geq 0$, then $\partial_\varepsilon\varphi : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ is

- $\partial_\varepsilon\varphi(x) := \{x^* \in X^* : \varphi(y) - \varphi(x) \geq \langle x^*, y - x \rangle - \varepsilon, \forall y \in X\}$,
if $x \in \text{Dom}\varphi$. Otherwise, $\partial_\varepsilon\varphi(x) = \emptyset$.

$\check{\partial}\varphi(\varepsilon, x) := \partial_\varepsilon\varphi(x)$ **Brøndsted-Rockafellar enlargement** (1965)

$\check{\partial}\varphi$ characterized by *Fenchel Young ineq.*:

$$\langle x, v \rangle \leq \varphi^{FY}(x, v) = \varphi(x) + \varphi^*(v) \leq \langle x, v \rangle + \varepsilon \iff v \in \check{\partial}\varphi(\varepsilon, x).$$

The family $\mathbb{E}(T)$ of enlargements of T

$E : \mathbb{R}_+ \times X \rightrightarrows X^*$ is in $\mathbb{E}(T)$ when

(E_1) $T(x) \subset E(\varepsilon, x)$ for all $\varepsilon \geq 0, x \in X$;

(E_2) If $0 \leq \varepsilon_1 \leq \varepsilon_2$, then $E(\varepsilon_1, x) \subset E(\varepsilon_2, x)$ for all $x \in X$;

(E_3) The transportation formula holds: Whenever

$v^1 \in E(\varepsilon_1, x^1), v^2 \in E(\varepsilon_2, x^2), \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \geq 0, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 1,$

$\bar{x} := \alpha_1 x^1 + \alpha_2 x^2, \bar{v} := \alpha_1 v^1 + \alpha_2 v^2$ and

$\bar{\varepsilon} := \alpha_1 \varepsilon_1 + \alpha_2 \varepsilon_2 + \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \langle v^1 - v^2, x^1 - x^2 \rangle$, then

$$\bar{\varepsilon} \geq 0 \text{ and } \bar{v} \in E(\bar{\varepsilon}, \bar{x}).$$

Example: $\check{\partial}\varphi \in \mathbb{E}(\partial\varphi)$

The family $\mathbb{E}(T)$ of enlargements of T

$E : \mathbb{R}_+ \times X \rightrightarrows X^*$ is in $\mathbb{E}(T)$ when

(E₁) $T(x) \subset E(\varepsilon, x)$ for all $\varepsilon \geq 0, x \in X$;

(E₂) If $0 \leq \varepsilon_1 \leq \varepsilon_2$, then $E(\varepsilon_1, x) \subset E(\varepsilon_2, x)$ for all $x \in X$;

(E₃) The **transportation formula** holds: Whenever $v^1 \in E(\varepsilon_1, x^1)$, $v^2 \in E(\varepsilon_2, x^2)$, $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \geq 0$, $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 1$, $\bar{x} := \alpha_1 x^1 + \alpha_2 x^2$, $\bar{v} := \alpha_1 v^1 + \alpha_2 v^2$ and $\bar{\varepsilon} := \alpha_1 \varepsilon_1 + \alpha_2 \varepsilon_2 + \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \langle v^1 - v^2, x^1 - x^2 \rangle$, then

$$\bar{\varepsilon} \geq 0 \text{ and } \bar{v} \in E(\bar{\varepsilon}, \bar{x}).$$

Example: $\check{\partial}\varphi \in \mathbb{E}(\partial\varphi)$

The family $\mathbb{E}(T)$ of enlargements of T

$E : \mathbb{R}_+ \times X \rightrightarrows X^*$ is in $\mathbb{E}(T)$ when

(E₁) $T(x) \subset E(\varepsilon, x)$ for all $\varepsilon \geq 0, x \in X$;

(E₂) If $0 \leq \varepsilon_1 \leq \varepsilon_2$, then $E(\varepsilon_1, x) \subset E(\varepsilon_2, x)$ for all $x \in X$;

(E₃) The **transportation formula** holds: Whenever $v^1 \in E(\varepsilon_1, x^1), v^2 \in E(\varepsilon_2, x^2), \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \geq 0, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 1, \bar{x} := \alpha_1 x^1 + \alpha_2 x^2, \bar{v} := \alpha_1 v^1 + \alpha_2 v^2$ and $\bar{\varepsilon} := \alpha_1 \varepsilon_1 + \alpha_2 \varepsilon_2 + \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \langle v^1 - v^2, x^1 - x^2 \rangle$, then

$$\bar{\varepsilon} \geq 0 \text{ and } \bar{v} \in E(\bar{\varepsilon}, \bar{x}).$$

Example: $\check{\partial}\varphi \in \mathbb{E}(\partial\varphi)$

The family $\mathbb{E}(T)$ of enlargements of T

$E : \mathbb{R}_+ \times X \rightrightarrows X^*$ is in $\mathbb{E}(T)$ when

(E₁) $T(x) \subset E(\varepsilon, x)$ for all $\varepsilon \geq 0, x \in X$;

(E₂) If $0 \leq \varepsilon_1 \leq \varepsilon_2$, then $E(\varepsilon_1, x) \subset E(\varepsilon_2, x)$ for all $x \in X$;

(E₃) The **transportation formula** holds: Whenever

$v^1 \in E(\varepsilon_1, x^1), v^2 \in E(\varepsilon_2, x^2), \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \geq 0, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 1,$

$\bar{x} := \alpha_1 x^1 + \alpha_2 x^2, \bar{v} := \alpha_1 v^1 + \alpha_2 v^2$ and

$\bar{\varepsilon} := \alpha_1 \varepsilon_1 + \alpha_2 \varepsilon_2 + \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \langle v^1 - v^2, x^1 - x^2 \rangle$, then

$$\bar{\varepsilon} \geq 0 \text{ and } \bar{v} \in E(\bar{\varepsilon}, \bar{x}).$$

Example: $\check{\partial}\varphi \in \mathbb{E}(\partial\varphi)$

The family $\mathbb{E}(T)$ of enlargements of T

$E : \mathbb{R}_+ \times X \rightrightarrows X^*$ is in $\mathbb{E}(T)$ when

(E₁) $T(x) \subset E(\varepsilon, x)$ for all $\varepsilon \geq 0, x \in X$;

(E₂) If $0 \leq \varepsilon_1 \leq \varepsilon_2$, then $E(\varepsilon_1, x) \subset E(\varepsilon_2, x)$ for all $x \in X$;

(E₃) The **transportation formula** holds: Whenever

$v^1 \in E(\varepsilon_1, x^1), v^2 \in E(\varepsilon_2, x^2), \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \geq 0, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 1,$

$\bar{x} := \alpha_1 x^1 + \alpha_2 x^2, \bar{v} := \alpha_1 v^1 + \alpha_2 v^2$ and

$\bar{\varepsilon} := \alpha_1 \varepsilon_1 + \alpha_2 \varepsilon_2 + \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \langle v^1 - v^2, x^1 - x^2 \rangle$, then

$$\bar{\varepsilon} \geq 0 \text{ and } \bar{v} \in E(\bar{\varepsilon}, \bar{x}).$$

Example: $\check{\partial}\varphi \in \mathbb{E}(\partial\varphi)$

The family $\mathbb{E}(T)$ of enlargements of T

$E : \mathbb{R}_+ \times X \rightrightarrows X^*$ is in $\mathbb{E}(T)$ when

(E₁) $T(x) \subset E(\varepsilon, x)$ for all $\varepsilon \geq 0, x \in X$;

(E₂) If $0 \leq \varepsilon_1 \leq \varepsilon_2$, then $E(\varepsilon_1, x) \subset E(\varepsilon_2, x)$ for all $x \in X$;

(E₃) The **transportation formula** holds: Whenever

$v^1 \in E(\varepsilon_1, x^1), v^2 \in E(\varepsilon_2, x^2), \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \geq 0, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 1,$

$\bar{x} := \alpha_1 x^1 + \alpha_2 x^2, \bar{v} := \alpha_1 v^1 + \alpha_2 v^2$ and

$\bar{\varepsilon} := \alpha_1 \varepsilon_1 + \alpha_2 \varepsilon_2 + \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \langle v^1 - v^2, x^1 - x^2 \rangle$, then

$$\bar{\varepsilon} \geq 0 \text{ and } \bar{v} \in E(\bar{\varepsilon}, \bar{x}).$$

Example: $\check{\partial}\varphi \in \mathbb{E}(\partial\varphi)$

From enlargements to convex functions:

$$(E_3) \iff \tilde{G}(E) \text{ convex,}$$

where

$$G(E) := \{(x, v, \varepsilon) : v \in E(\varepsilon, x)\}$$

$$\tilde{G}(E) := \{(x, v, \varepsilon + \langle x, v \rangle) : v \in E(\varepsilon, x)\}$$

From $\mathbb{E}(T)$ to $\mathcal{H}(T)$

$$E \in \text{Enl}(T) \iff \tilde{G}(E) \text{ is the } \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{epigraph of a lsc.} \\ \text{convex function} \\ \text{on } X \times X^*. \end{array} \right.$$

This convex function is given by

$$h_E(x, v) := \inf\{t : (x, v, t) \in \tilde{G}(E)\}$$

Moreover, $h_E \in \mathcal{H}(T)$ for all $E \in \mathbb{E}(T)$!

From $\mathbb{E}(T)$ to $\mathcal{H}(T)$

$$E \in \text{Enl}(T) \iff \tilde{G}(E) \text{ is the } \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{epigraph of a lsc.} \\ \text{convex function} \\ \text{on } X \times X^*. \end{array} \right.$$

This convex function is given by

$$h_E(x, v) := \inf\{t : (x, v, t) \in \tilde{G}(E)\}$$

Moreover, $h_E \in \mathcal{H}(T)$ for all $E \in \mathbb{E}(T)$!

From $\mathbb{E}(T)$ to $\mathcal{H}(T)$

$$E \in \text{Enl}(T) \iff \tilde{G}(E) \text{ is the } \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{epigraph of a lsc.} \\ \text{convex function} \\ \text{on } X \times X^*. \end{array} \right.$$

This convex function is given by

$$h_E(x, v) := \inf\{t : (x, v, t) \in \tilde{G}(E)\}$$

Moreover, $h_E \in \mathcal{H}(T)$ for all $E \in \mathbb{E}(T)$!

From $\mathbb{E}(T)$ to $\mathcal{H}(T)$

$E \in \text{Enl}(T) \iff \tilde{G}(E)$ is the $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{epigraph of a lsc.} \\ \text{convex function} \\ \text{on } X \times X^*. \end{array} \right.$

This convex function is given by

$$h_E(x, v) := \inf\{t : (x, v, t) \in \tilde{G}(E)\}$$

Moreover, $h_E \in \mathcal{H}(T)$ for all $E \in \mathbb{E}(T)$!

From $\mathcal{H}(T)$ to $\mathbb{E}(T)$

Given $h \in \mathcal{H}(T)$ define $L^h : \mathbb{R}_+ \times X \rightrightarrows X^*$ as

$$L^h(\varepsilon, x) := \{v \in X^* : h(x, v) \leq \langle x, v \rangle + \varepsilon\}$$

Then $L^h \in \mathbb{E}(T)$ for all $h \in \mathcal{H}(T)$!

$$\mathcal{H}(T) \xleftrightarrow{\text{bijection}} \mathbb{E}(T)$$

B.-Svaiter, 2002.

From $\mathcal{H}(T)$ to $\mathbb{E}(T)$

Given $h \in \mathcal{H}(T)$ define $L^h : \mathbb{R}_+ \times X \rightrightarrows X^*$ as

$$L^h(\varepsilon, x) := \{v \in X^* : h(x, v) \leq \langle x, v \rangle + \varepsilon\}$$

Then $L^h \in \mathbb{E}(T)$ for all $h \in \mathcal{H}(T)$!

$$\mathcal{H}(T) \xleftrightarrow{\text{bijection}} \mathbb{E}(T)$$

B.-Svaiter, 2002.

From $\mathcal{H}(T)$ to $\mathbb{E}(T)$

Given $h \in \mathcal{H}(T)$ define $L^h : \mathbb{R}_+ \times X \rightrightarrows X^*$ as

$$L^h(\varepsilon, x) := \{v \in X^* : h(x, v) \leq \langle x, v \rangle + \varepsilon\}$$

Then $L^h \in \mathbb{E}(T)$ for all $h \in \mathcal{H}(T)$!

$$\mathcal{H}(T) \xleftrightarrow{\text{bijection}} \mathbb{E}(T)$$

B.-Svaiter, 2002.

From $\mathcal{H}(T)$ to $\mathbb{E}(T)$

Given $h \in \mathcal{H}(T)$ define $L^h : \mathbb{R}_+ \times X \rightrightarrows X^*$ as

$$L^h(\varepsilon, x) := \{v \in X^* : h(x, v) \leq \langle x, v \rangle + \varepsilon\}$$

Then $L^h \in \mathbb{E}(T)$ for all $h \in \mathcal{H}(T)$!

$$\mathcal{H}(T) \overset{\text{bijection}}{\longleftrightarrow} \mathbb{E}(T)$$

B.-Svaiter, 2002.

Case $T = \partial\varphi$

Recall $\varphi^{FY}(x, v) = \varphi(x) + \varphi^*(v)$, then $\varphi^{FY} \in \mathcal{H}(\partial\varphi)$

Extreme members in the families

$\mathcal{H}(T)$ has a smallest and a largest element

$\mathcal{F}_T \leq h \leq \sigma_T = (\mathcal{F}_T)^*$, $\mathbb{E}(T)$ has largest element:

$$T^{BE}(\varepsilon, x) := \{v \in X^* : \langle x - y, v - u \rangle \geq -\varepsilon, \forall (y, u) \in G(T)\},$$

and smallest $T^{SE}(\varepsilon, x) = \bigcap_{E \in \mathbb{E}(T)} E(\varepsilon, x)$,

Related through $L^{\mathcal{F}_T} = T^{BE}$, and $L^{\sigma_T} = T^{SE}$

$$h_{T^{SE}} = \sigma_T, \text{ and } h_{T^{BE}} = \mathcal{F}_T$$

Question: Can we identify a property that singles out “nice” enlargements?

Extreme members in the families

$\mathcal{H}(T)$ has a smallest and a largest element

$\mathcal{F}_T \leq h \leq \sigma_T = (\mathcal{F}_T)^*$, $\mathbb{E}(T)$ has largest element:

$$T^{BE}(\varepsilon, x) := \{v \in X^* : \langle x - y, v - u \rangle \geq -\varepsilon, \forall (y, u) \in G(T)\},$$

and smallest $T^{SE}(\varepsilon, x) = \bigcap_{E \in \mathbb{E}(T)} E(\varepsilon, x)$,

Related through $L^{\mathcal{F}_T} = T^{BE}$, and $L^{\sigma_T} = T^{SE}$

$$h_{T^{SE}} = \sigma_T, \text{ and } h_{T^{BE}} = \mathcal{F}_T$$

Question: Can we identify a property that singles out “nice” enlargements?

Extreme members in the families

$\mathcal{H}(T)$ has a smallest and a largest element

$\mathcal{F}_T \leq h \leq \sigma_T = (\mathcal{F}_T)^*$, $\mathbb{E}(T)$ has largest element:

$$T^{BE}(\varepsilon, x) := \{v \in X^* : \langle x - y, v - u \rangle \geq -\varepsilon, \forall (y, u) \in G(T)\},$$

and smallest $T^{SE}(\varepsilon, x) = \bigcap_{E \in \mathbb{E}(T)} E(\varepsilon, x)$,

Related through $L^{\mathcal{F}_T} = T^{BE}$, and $L^{\sigma_T} = T^{SE}$

$$h_{T^{SE}} = \sigma_T, \text{ and } h_{T^{BE}} = \mathcal{F}_T$$

Question: Can we identify a property that singles out “nice” enlargements?

Extreme members in the families

$\mathcal{H}(T)$ has a smallest and a largest element

$\mathcal{F}_T \leq h \leq \sigma_T = (\mathcal{F}_T)^*$, $\mathbb{E}(T)$ has largest element:

$$T^{BE}(\varepsilon, x) := \{v \in X^* : \langle x - y, v - u \rangle \geq -\varepsilon, \forall (y, u) \in G(T)\},$$

and smallest $T^{SE}(\varepsilon, x) = \bigcap_{E \in \mathbb{E}(T)} E(\varepsilon, x)$,

Related through $L^{\mathcal{F}_T} = T^{BE}$, and $L^{\sigma_T} = T^{SE}$

$$h_{T^{SE}} = \sigma_T, \text{ and } h_{T^{BE}} = \mathcal{F}_T$$

Question: Can we identify a property that singles out “nice” enlargements?

Extreme members in the families

$\mathcal{H}(T)$ has a smallest and a largest element

$\mathcal{F}_T \leq h \leq \sigma_T = (\mathcal{F}_T)^*$, $\mathbb{E}(T)$ has largest element:

$$T^{BE}(\varepsilon, x) := \{v \in X^* : \langle x - y, v - u \rangle \geq -\varepsilon, \forall (y, u) \in G(T)\},$$

and smallest $T^{SE}(\varepsilon, x) = \bigcap_{E \in \mathbb{E}(T)} E(\varepsilon, x)$,

Related through $L^{\mathcal{F}_T} = T^{BE}$, and $L^{\sigma_T} = T^{SE}$

$$h_{T^{SE}} = \sigma_T, \text{ and } h_{T^{BE}} = \mathcal{F}_T$$

Question: Can we identify a property that singles out “nice” enlargements?

Additivity

- $E \in \mathbb{E}(T)$ is *additive*, if

$$\underbrace{v_1 \in E(\varepsilon_1, x_1), v_2 \in E(\varepsilon_2, x_2)}_{\downarrow} \\ \langle v_1 - v_2, x_1 - x_2 \rangle \geq -(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2).$$

Set $\mathbb{E}_a(T) := \{E \in \mathbb{E}(T) : E \text{ additive}\}$

$\check{\partial}\varphi$ is *additive*, i.e., $\check{\partial}\varphi \in \mathbb{E}_a(\partial\varphi)$

T^{SE} is always *additive*, but T^{BE} may not!

Additivity detects those elements in $\mathbb{E}(T)$ which have something in common with $\check{\partial}\varphi$!

Additivity

- $E \in \mathbb{E}(T)$ is *additive*, if

$$\underbrace{v_1 \in E(\varepsilon_1, x_1), v_2 \in E(\varepsilon_1, x_2)}_{\downarrow}$$

$$\langle v_1 - v_2, x_1 - x_2 \rangle \geq -(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2).$$

Set $\mathbb{E}_a(T) := \{E \in \mathbb{E}(T) : E \text{ additive}\}$

$\check{\partial}\varphi$ is *additive*, i.e., $\check{\partial}\varphi \in \mathbb{E}_a(\partial\varphi)$

T^{SE} is always *additive*, but T^{BE} may not!

Additivity detects those elements in $\mathbb{E}(T)$ which have something in common with $\check{\partial}\varphi$!

Additivity

- $E \in \mathbb{E}(T)$ is *additive*, if

$$\underbrace{v_1 \in E(\varepsilon_1, x_1), v_2 \in E(\varepsilon_1, x_2)}_{\downarrow}$$

$$\langle v_1 - v_2, x_1 - x_2 \rangle \geq -(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2).$$

Set $\mathbb{E}_a(T) := \{E \in \mathbb{E}(T) : E \text{ additive}\}$

$\check{\partial}\varphi$ is *additive*, i.e., $\check{\partial}\varphi \in \mathbb{E}_a(\partial\varphi)$

T^{SE} is always *additive*, but T^{BE} may not!

Additivity detects those elements in $\mathbb{E}(T)$ which have something in common with $\check{\partial}\varphi$!

Additivity

- $E \in \mathbb{E}(T)$ is *additive*, if

$$\underbrace{v_1 \in E(\varepsilon_1, x_1), v_2 \in E(\varepsilon_2, x_2)}_{\downarrow}$$

$$\langle v_1 - v_2, x_1 - x_2 \rangle \geq -(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2).$$

Set $\mathbb{E}_a(T) := \{E \in \mathbb{E}(T) : E \text{ additive}\}$

$\check{\partial}\varphi$ is **additive**, i.e., $\check{\partial}\varphi \in \mathbb{E}_a(\partial\varphi)$

T^{SE} is always **additive**, but T^{BE} may not!

Additivity detects those elements in $\mathbb{E}(T)$ which have something in common with $\check{\partial}\varphi$!

Additivity

- $E \in \mathbb{E}(T)$ is *additive*, if

$$\underbrace{v_1 \in E(\varepsilon_1, x_1), v_2 \in E(\varepsilon_2, x_2)}_{\downarrow}$$

$$\langle v_1 - v_2, x_1 - x_2 \rangle \geq -(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2).$$

Set $\mathbb{E}_a(T) := \{E \in \mathbb{E}(T) : E \text{ additive}\}$

$\check{\partial}\varphi$ is *additive*, i.e., $\check{\partial}\varphi \in \mathbb{E}_a(\partial\varphi)$

T^{SE} is always *additive*, but T^{BE} may not!

Additivity detects those elements in $\mathbb{E}(T)$ which have something in common with $\check{\partial}\varphi$!

Additivity

- $E \in \mathbb{E}(T)$ is *additive*, if

$$\underbrace{v_1 \in E(\varepsilon_1, x_1), v_2 \in E(\varepsilon_2, x_2)}_{\downarrow}$$

$$\langle v_1 - v_2, x_1 - x_2 \rangle \geq -(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2).$$

Set $\mathbb{E}_a(T) := \{E \in \mathbb{E}(T) : E \text{ additive}\}$

$\check{\partial}\varphi$ is *additive*, i.e., $\check{\partial}\varphi \in \mathbb{E}_a(\partial\varphi)$

T^{SE} is always *additive*, but T^{BE} may not!

Additivity detects those elements in $\mathbb{E}(T)$ which have something in common with $\check{\partial}\varphi$!

Additivity

- $E \in \mathbb{E}(T)$ is *additive*, if

$$\underbrace{v_1 \in E(\varepsilon_1, x_1), v_2 \in E(\varepsilon_1, x_2)}_{\downarrow}$$

$$\langle v_1 - v_2, x_1 - x_2 \rangle \geq -(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2).$$

Set $\mathbb{E}_a(T) := \{E \in \mathbb{E}(T) : E \text{ additive}\}$

$\check{\partial}\varphi$ is *additive*, i.e., $\check{\partial}\varphi \in \mathbb{E}_a(\partial\varphi)$

T^{SE} is always *additive*, but T^{BE} may not!

Additivity detects those elements in $\mathbb{E}(T)$ which have something in common with $\check{\partial}\varphi$!

Additivity as a mutual relation/maximal property

- $E \in \mathbb{E}_a(T)$ is *maximally additive* (*max-add*, for short), if

$$\underbrace{\exists \hat{E} \in \mathbb{E}_a(T) : E(\varepsilon, x) \subset \hat{E}(\varepsilon, x), \forall \varepsilon \geq 0, \forall x \in X}_{\downarrow}$$

$$E = \hat{E}$$

- $E_1, E_2 \in \mathbb{E}(T)$ are *mutually additive*, if

$$\underbrace{v_1 \in E_1(\varepsilon_1, x_1), v_2 \in E_2(\varepsilon_2, x_2)}_{\downarrow}$$

$$\langle v_1 - v_2, x_1 - x_2 \rangle \geq -(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2).$$

Denoted as $E_1 \sim_a E_2 \implies E \sim_a E$ iff $E \in \mathbb{E}_a(T)$

Additivity as a mutual relation/maximal property

- $E \in \mathbb{E}_a(T)$ is *maximally additive* (*max-add*, for short), if

$$\underbrace{\exists \hat{E} \in \mathbb{E}_a(T) : E(\varepsilon, x) \subset \hat{E}(\varepsilon, x), \forall \varepsilon \geq 0, \forall x \in X}_{\downarrow}$$

$$E = \hat{E}$$

- $E_1, E_2 \in \mathbb{E}(T)$ are *mutually additive*, if

$$\underbrace{v_1 \in E_1(\varepsilon_1, x_1), v_2 \in E_2(\varepsilon_2, x_2)}_{\downarrow}$$

$$\langle v_1 - v_2, x_1 - x_2 \rangle \geq -(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2).$$

Denoted as $E_1 \sim_a E_2 \implies E \sim_a E$ iff $E \in \mathbb{E}_a(T)$

Additivity as a mutual relation/maximal property

- $E \in \mathbb{E}_a(T)$ is *maximally additive* (*max-add*, for short), if

$$\underbrace{\exists \hat{E} \in \mathbb{E}_a(T) : E(\varepsilon, x) \subset \hat{E}(\varepsilon, x), \forall \varepsilon \geq 0, \forall x \in X}_{\downarrow}$$

$$E = \hat{E}$$

- $E_1, E_2 \in \mathbb{E}(T)$ are *mutually additive*, if

$$\underbrace{v_1 \in E_1(\varepsilon_1, x_1), v_2 \in E_2(\varepsilon_2, x_2)}_{\downarrow}$$

$$\langle v_1 - v_2, x_1 - x_2 \rangle \geq -(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2).$$

Denoted as $E_1 \sim_a E_2 \implies E \sim_a E$ iff $E \in \mathbb{E}_a(T)$

Additivity as a mutual relation/maximal property

- $E \in \mathbb{E}_a(T)$ is *maximally additive* (*max-add*, for short), if

$$\underbrace{\exists \hat{E} \in \mathbb{E}_a(T) : E(\varepsilon, x) \subset \hat{E}(\varepsilon, x), \forall \varepsilon \geq 0, \forall x \in X}_{\downarrow}$$

$$E = \hat{E}$$

- $E_1, E_2 \in \mathbb{E}(T)$ are *mutually additive*, if

$$\underbrace{v_1 \in E_1(\varepsilon_1, x_1), v_2 \in E_2(\varepsilon_1, x_2)}_{\downarrow}$$

$$\langle v_1 - v_2, x_1 - x_2 \rangle \geq -(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2).$$

Denoted as $E_1 \sim_a E_2 \implies E \sim_a E$ iff $E \in \mathbb{E}_a(T)$

Additivity as a mutual relation/maximal property

- $E \in \mathbb{E}_a(T)$ is *maximally additive* (*max-add*, for short), if

$$\underbrace{\exists \hat{E} \in \mathbb{E}_a(T) : E(\varepsilon, x) \subset \hat{E}(\varepsilon, x), \forall \varepsilon \geq 0, \forall x \in X}_{\downarrow}$$

$$E = \hat{E}$$

- $E_1, E_2 \in \mathbb{E}(T)$ are *mutually additive*, if

$$\underbrace{v_1 \in E_1(\varepsilon_1, x_1), v_2 \in E_2(\varepsilon_1, x_2)}_{\downarrow}$$

$$\langle v_1 - v_2, x_1 - x_2 \rangle \geq -(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2).$$

Denoted as $E_1 \sim_a E_2 \implies E \sim_a E$ iff $E \in \mathbb{E}_a(T)$

Additivity as a mutual relation/maximal property

- $E \in \mathbb{E}_a(T)$ is *maximally additive* (*max-add*, for short), if

$$\underbrace{\exists \hat{E} \in \mathbb{E}_a(T) : E(\varepsilon, x) \subset \hat{E}(\varepsilon, x), \forall \varepsilon \geq 0, \forall x \in X}_{\downarrow}$$

$$E = \hat{E}$$

- $E_1, E_2 \in \mathbb{E}(T)$ are *mutually additive*, if

$$\underbrace{v_1 \in E_1(\varepsilon_1, x_1), v_2 \in E_2(\varepsilon_1, x_2)}_{\downarrow}$$

$$\langle v_1 - v_2, x_1 - x_2 \rangle \geq -(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2).$$

Denoted as $E_1 \sim_a E_2 \implies E \sim_a E$ iff $E \in \mathbb{E}_a(T)$

Example of Max-Additivity

If $T = \partial\varphi$ then $\check{\partial}\varphi$ is **max-add** (Svaiter, 2000)

If T arbitrary, then T^{SE} is always **additive**, but not necessarily **max-add**!

Max-additivity detects those elements in $\mathbb{E}_a(T)$ which have even more in common with $\check{\partial}\varphi$!

Example of Max-Additivity

If $T = \partial\varphi$ then $\check{\partial}\varphi$ is **max-add** (Svaiter, 2000)

If T arbitrary, then T^{SE} is always **additive**, but not necessarily **max-add**!

Max-additivity detects those elements in $\mathbb{E}_a(T)$ which have even more in common with $\check{\partial}\varphi$!

Example of Max-Additivity

If $T = \partial\varphi$ then $\check{\partial}\varphi$ is **max-add** (Svaiter, 2000)

If T arbitrary, then T^{SE} is always **additive**, but not necessarily **max-add**!

Max-additivity detects those elements in $\mathbb{E}_a(T)$ which have even more in common with $\check{\partial}\varphi$!

Example of mutual additivity

If T arbitrary, then T^{SE} and T^{BE} are always **mutually additive**
(Svaiter, 2000)

Questions: How to identify additive elements $\mathbb{E}(T)$? How to identify max-add elements within $\mathbb{E}_a(T)$? How to characterize mutual additivity?

We will address these using convex functions!

From convex functions to T and viceversa

Let $f : X \times X^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_\infty$ be convex, Fitzpatrick (1988) defined $T_f : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ as

$$T_f(x) := \{v \in X^* : (v, x) \in \partial f(x, v)\} \quad \star$$

Fitzpatrick proved that T_f mon, and for T monotone and $f := \mathcal{F}_T$:

- $\forall x \in X, T(x) \subseteq T_{\mathcal{F}_T}(x)$.
- T maximal $\implies T = T_{\mathcal{F}_T}$

Can recover T as a diagonal slice of the $\partial\mathcal{F}_T$!

Question: What happens if we use $\check{\partial}f$ in \star ?

Can we still recover T ?

From convex functions to T and viceversa

Let $f : X \times X^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_\infty$ be convex, Fitzpatrick (1988) defined $T_f : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ as

$$T_f(x) := \{v \in X^* : (v, x) \in \partial f(x, v)\} \quad \star$$

Fitzpatrick proved that T_f mon, and for T monotone and $f := \mathcal{F}_T$:

- $\forall x \in X, T(x) \subseteq T_{\mathcal{F}_T}(x)$.
- T maximal $\implies T = T_{\mathcal{F}_T}$

Can recover T as a diagonal slice of the $\partial\mathcal{F}_T$!

Question: What happens if we use $\check{\partial}f$ in \star ?
Can we still recover T ?

From convex functions to T and viceversa

Let $f : X \times X^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_\infty$ be convex, Fitzpatrick (1988) defined $T_f : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ as

$$T_f(x) := \{v \in X^* : (v, x) \in \partial f(x, v)\} \quad \star$$

Fitzpatrick proved that T_f mon, and for T monotone and $f := \mathcal{F}_T$:

- $\forall x \in X, T(x) \subseteq T_{\mathcal{F}_T}(x)$.
- T maximal $\implies T = T_{\mathcal{F}_T}$

Can recover T as a diagonal slice of the $\partial\mathcal{F}_T$!

Question: What happens if we use $\check{\partial}f$ in \star ?

Can we still recover T ?

From convex functions to T and viceversa

Let $f : X \times X^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_\infty$ be convex, Fitzpatrick (1988) defined $T_f : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ as

$$T_f(x) := \{v \in X^* : (v, x) \in \partial f(x, v)\} \quad \star$$

Fitzpatrick proved that T_f mon, and for T monotone and $f := \mathcal{F}_T$:

- $\forall x \in X, T(x) \subseteq T_{\mathcal{F}_T}(x)$.
- T maximal $\implies T = T_{\mathcal{F}_T}$

Can recover T as a diagonal slice of the $\partial\mathcal{F}_T$!

Question: What happens if we use $\check{\partial}f$ in \star ?

Can we still recover T ?

From convex functions to T and viceversa

Let $f : X \times X^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_\infty$ be convex, Fitzpatrick (1988) defined $T_f : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ as

$$T_f(x) := \{v \in X^* : (v, x) \in \partial f(x, v)\} \quad \star$$

Fitzpatrick proved that T_f mon, and for T monotone and $f := \mathcal{F}_T$:

- $\forall x \in X, T(x) \subseteq T_{\mathcal{F}_T}(x)$.
- T maximal $\implies T = T_{\mathcal{F}_T}$

Can recover T as a diagonal slice of the $\partial\mathcal{F}_T$!

Question: What happens if we use $\check{\partial}f$ in \star ?
Can we still recover T ?

From convex functions to T and viceversa

Let $f : X \times X^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_\infty$ be convex, Fitzpatrick (1988) defined $T_f : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ as

$$T_f(x) := \{v \in X^* : (v, x) \in \partial f(x, v)\} \quad \star$$

Fitzpatrick proved that T_f mon, and for T monotone and $f := \mathcal{F}_T$:

- $\forall x \in X, T(x) \subseteq T_{\mathcal{F}_T}(x)$.
- T maximal $\implies T = T_{\mathcal{F}_T}$

Can recover T as a diagonal slice of the $\partial\mathcal{F}_T$!

Question: What happens if we use $\check{\partial}f$ in \star ?

Can we still recover T ?

From convex functions to T and viceversa

Let $f : X \times X^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_\infty$ be convex, Fitzpatrick (1988) defined $T_f : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ as

$$T_f(x) := \{v \in X^* : (v, x) \in \partial f(x, v)\} \quad \star$$

Fitzpatrick proved that T_f mon, and for T monotone and $f := \mathcal{F}_T$:

- $\forall x \in X, T(x) \subseteq T_{\mathcal{F}_T}(x)$.
- T maximal $\implies T = T_{\mathcal{F}_T}$

Can recover T as a diagonal slice of the $\partial\mathcal{F}_T$!

Question: What happens if we use $\check{\partial}f$ in \star ?
 Can we still recover T ?

Operator \mathcal{A}

Let $h \in \mathcal{H}(T)$, define $\mathcal{J} : \mathcal{H}(T) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(T)$ as

$$\mathcal{J}h(x, v) := h^*(v, x)$$

I.e., $\mathcal{J}h$ swaps the variables of h^* Define $\mathcal{A} : \mathcal{H}(T) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(T)$ as

$$\mathcal{A}h := \frac{h + \mathcal{J}h}{2}$$

Fact: $\mathcal{A}h \in \mathcal{H}(T)$ if $h \in \mathcal{H}(T)$.

Operator \mathcal{A}

Let $h \in \mathcal{H}(T)$, define $\mathcal{J} : \mathcal{H}(T) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(T)$ as

$$\mathcal{J}h(x, v) := h^*(v, x)$$

I.e., $\mathcal{J}h$ swaps the variables of h^* . Define $\mathcal{A} : \mathcal{H}(T) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(T)$ as

$$\mathcal{A}h := \frac{h + \mathcal{J}h}{2}$$

Fact: $\mathcal{A}h \in \mathcal{H}(T)$ if $h \in \mathcal{H}(T)$.

An induced subfamily of enlargements

Let T be max-mon and fix $h \in \mathcal{H}(T)$. We define

$\check{T}_h : \mathbb{R}_+ \times X \rightrightarrows X^*$ as

$$\check{T}_h(\varepsilon, x) := \{v \in X^* : (v, x) \in \partial h(2\varepsilon, x, v)\} \quad \star$$

- $T_h(x) = \check{T}_h(0, x) = T$
- $\check{T}_h = L^{Ah}$, so $\check{T}_h \in \mathbb{E}(T)$.

Define $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{H}}(T) := \{E \in \mathbb{E}(T) : E = \check{T}_h \text{ for some } h \in \mathcal{H}(T)\}$

Question: $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{H}}(T)$ has special properties, not shared by other elements of $\mathbb{E}(T)$?

An induced subfamily of enlargements

Let T be max-mon and fix $h \in \mathcal{H}(T)$. We define

$\check{T}_h : \mathbb{R}_+ \times X \rightrightarrows X^*$ as

$$\check{T}_h(\varepsilon, x) := \{v \in X^* : (v, x) \in \partial h(2\varepsilon, x, v)\} \quad \star$$

- $T_h(x) = \check{T}_h(0, x) = T$
- $\check{T}_h = L^{Ah}$, so $\check{T}_h \in \mathbb{E}(T)$.

Define $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{H}}(T) := \{E \in \mathbb{E}(T) : E = \check{T}_h \text{ for some } h \in \mathcal{H}(T)\}$

Question: $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{H}}(T)$ has special properties, not shared by other elements of $\mathbb{E}(T)$?

An induced subfamily of enlargements

Let T be max-mon and fix $h \in \mathcal{H}(T)$. We define

$\check{T}_h : \mathbb{R}_+ \times X \rightrightarrows X^*$ as

$$\check{T}_h(\varepsilon, x) := \{v \in X^* : (v, x) \in \partial h(2\varepsilon, x, v)\} \quad \star$$

- $T_h(x) = \check{T}_h(0, x) = T$
- $\check{T}_h = L^{\mathcal{A}h}$, so $\check{T}_h \in \mathbb{E}(T)$.

Define $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{H}}(T) := \{E \in \mathbb{E}(T) : E = \check{T}_h \text{ for some } h \in \mathcal{H}(T)\}$

Question: $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{H}}(T)$ has special properties, not shared by other elements of $\mathbb{E}(T)$?

An induced subfamily of enlargements

Let T be max-mon and fix $h \in \mathcal{H}(T)$. We define

$\check{T}_h : \mathbb{R}_+ \times X \rightrightarrows X^*$ as

$$\check{T}_h(\varepsilon, x) := \{v \in X^* : (v, x) \in \partial h(2\varepsilon, x, v)\} \quad \star$$

- $T_h(x) = \check{T}_h(0, x) = T$
- $\check{T}_h = L^{\mathcal{A}h}$, so $\check{T}_h \in \mathbb{E}(T)$.

Define $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{H}}(T) := \{E \in \mathbb{E}(T) : E = \check{T}_h \text{ for some } h \in \mathcal{H}(T)\}$

Question: $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{H}}(T)$ has special properties, not shared by other elements of $\mathbb{E}(T)$?

An induced subfamily of enlargements

Let T be max-mon and fix $h \in \mathcal{H}(T)$. We define

$\check{T}_h : \mathbb{R}_+ \times X \rightrightarrows X^*$ as

$$\check{T}_h(\varepsilon, x) := \{v \in X^* : (v, x) \in \partial h(2\varepsilon, x, v)\} \quad \star$$

- $T_h(x) = \check{T}_h(0, x) = T$
- $\check{T}_h = L^{\mathcal{A}h}$, so $\check{T}_h \in \mathbb{E}(T)$.

Define $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{H}}(T) := \{E \in \mathbb{E}(T) : E = \check{T}_h \text{ for some } h \in \mathcal{H}(T)\}$

Question: $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{H}}(T)$ has special properties, not shared by other elements of $\mathbb{E}(T)$?

An induced subfamily of enlargements

Let T be max-mon and fix $h \in \mathcal{H}(T)$. We define

$\check{T}_h : \mathbb{R}_+ \times X \rightrightarrows X^*$ as

$$\check{T}_h(\varepsilon, x) := \{v \in X^* : (v, x) \in \partial h(2\varepsilon, x, v)\} \quad \star$$

- $T_h(x) = \check{T}_h(0, x) = T$
- $\check{T}_h = L^{\mathcal{A}h}$, so $\check{T}_h \in \mathbb{E}(T)$.

Define $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{H}}(T) := \{E \in \mathbb{E}(T) : E = \check{T}_h \text{ for some } h \in \mathcal{H}(T)\}$

Question: $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{H}}(T)$ has special properties, not shared by other elements of $\mathbb{E}(T)$?

Characterizing Mutual and Maximal Additivity

Let $E, E' \in \mathbb{E}(T)$, consider $h_E, h_{E'} \in \mathcal{H}(T)$ the corresponding functions (i.e., $E = L^{h_E}$ and $E' = L^{h_{E'}}$)

- $E \sim_a E'$ iff $\mathcal{J}h_E \leq h_{E'}$. Hence, $E \in \mathbb{E}_a(T)$ iff $\mathcal{J}h_E \leq h_E$.
- $h_E = \mathcal{J}h_E$ iff E is max-add
- In particular, $E \sim_a L^{\mathcal{J}h_E}$
- Since $L^{\mathcal{J}h_E}$ is the largest enlargement mutually additive with E , it is the "additive complement" of E .
- E is max-add iff it coincides with its additive complement.

Characterizing Mutual and Maximal Additivity

Let $E, E' \in \mathbb{E}(T)$, consider $h_E, h_{E'} \in \mathcal{H}(T)$ the corresponding functions (i.e., $E = L^{h_E}$ and $E' = L^{h_{E'}}$)

- $E \sim_a E'$ iff $\mathcal{J}h_E \leq h_{E'}$. Hence, $E \in \mathbb{E}_a(T)$ iff $\mathcal{J}h_E \leq h_E$.
- $h_E = \mathcal{J}h_E$ iff E is max-add

- In particular, $E \sim_a L^{\mathcal{J}h_E}$
- Since $L^{\mathcal{J}h_E}$ is the largest enlargement mutually additive with E , it is the "additive complement" of E .
- E is max-add iff it coincides with its additive complement.

Characterizing Mutual and Maximal Additivity

Let $E, E' \in \mathbb{E}(T)$, consider $h_E, h_{E'} \in \mathcal{H}(T)$ the corresponding functions (i.e., $E = L^{h_E}$ and $E' = L^{h_{E'}}$)

- $E \sim_a E'$ iff $\mathcal{J}h_E \leq h_{E'}$. Hence, $E \in \mathbb{E}_a(T)$ iff $\mathcal{J}h_E \leq h_E$.
- $h_E = \mathcal{J}h_E$ iff E is max-add

- In particular, $E \sim_a L^{\mathcal{J}h_E}$
- Since $L^{\mathcal{J}h_E}$ is the largest enlargement mutually additive with E , it is the "additive complement" of E .
- E is max-add iff it coincides with its additive complement.

Characterizing Mutual and Maximal Additivity

Let $E, E' \in \mathbb{E}(T)$, consider $h_E, h_{E'} \in \mathcal{H}(T)$ the corresponding functions (i.e., $E = L^{h_E}$ and $E' = L^{h_{E'}}$)

- $E \sim_a E'$ iff $\mathcal{J}h_E \leq h_{E'}$. Hence, $E \in \mathbb{E}_a(T)$ iff $\mathcal{J}h_E \leq h_E$.
- $h_E = \mathcal{J}h_E$ iff E is max-add
- In particular, $E \sim_a L^{\mathcal{J}h_E}$
- Since $L^{\mathcal{J}h_E}$ is the largest enlargement mutually additive with E , it is the “additive complement” of E .
- E is max-add iff it coincides with its additive complement.

Characterizing Mutual and Maximal Additivity

Let $E, E' \in \mathbb{E}(T)$, consider $h_E, h_{E'} \in \mathcal{H}(T)$ the corresponding functions (i.e., $E = L^{h_E}$ and $E' = L^{h_{E'}}$)

- $E \sim_a E'$ iff $\mathcal{J}h_E \leq h_{E'}$. Hence, $E \in \mathbb{E}_a(T)$ iff $\mathcal{J}h_E \leq h_E$.
- $h_E = \mathcal{J}h_E$ iff E is max-add
- In particular, $E \sim_a L^{\mathcal{J}h_E}$
- Since $L^{\mathcal{J}h_E}$ is the largest enlargement mutually additive with E , it is the “additive complement” of E .
- E is max-add iff it coincides with its additive complement.

Characterizing Mutual and Maximal Additivity

Let $E, E' \in \mathbb{E}(T)$, consider $h_E, h_{E'} \in \mathcal{H}(T)$ the corresponding functions (i.e., $E = L^{h_E}$ and $E' = L^{h_{E'}}$)

- $E \sim_a E'$ iff $\mathcal{J}h_E \leq h_{E'}$. Hence, $E \in \mathbb{E}_a(T)$ iff $\mathcal{J}h_E \leq h_E$.
- $h_E = \mathcal{J}h_E$ iff E is max-add
- In particular, $E \sim_a L^{\mathcal{J}h_E}$
- Since $L^{\mathcal{J}h_E}$ is the largest enlargement mutually additive with E , it is the “additive complement” of E .
- E is max-add iff it coincides with its additive complement.

Commutative diagram

Taking conjugates in $\mathcal{H}(T)$ is order reversing, and its effect in $\mathbb{E}(T)$ is to map E into its additive complement.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & \mathcal{J} & \\
 \mathcal{H}(T) & \xrightarrow{\quad\quad\quad} & \mathcal{H}(T) \\
 \downarrow h & & \downarrow \mathcal{J}h \\
 & \downarrow & \downarrow \\
 & L^h & L^{\mathcal{J}h} \\
 \mathbb{E}(T) & \xrightarrow{E \rightarrow L^{\mathcal{J}h}E} & \mathbb{E}(T)
 \end{array}$$

Fixed points of \mathcal{J} correspond to max-add elements!

Relation w/previous facts

Recall $\varphi^{FY}(x, v) = \varphi(x) + \varphi^*(v)$, since $\mathcal{J}\varphi^{FY} = \varphi^{FY}$ we confirm the fact that

$\check{\partial}\varphi$ is max-add

Previous result extends the known fact (Svaiter 2000):

$$T^{SE} \sim_a T^{BE}$$

Relation w/previous facts

Recall $\varphi^{FY}(x, v) = \varphi(x) + \varphi^*(v)$, since $\mathcal{J}\varphi^{FY} = \varphi^{FY}$ we confirm the fact that

$\check{\partial}\varphi$ is max-add

Previous result extends the known fact (Svaiter 2000):

$$T^{SE} \sim_a T^{BE}$$

Relation w/previous facts

Recall $\varphi^{FY}(x, v) = \varphi(x) + \varphi^*(v)$, since $\mathcal{J}\varphi^{FY} = \varphi^{FY}$ we confirm the fact that

$\check{\partial}\varphi$ is max-add

Previous result extends the known fact (Svaiter 2000):

$$T^{SE} \sim_a T^{BE}$$

Relation w/previous facts

Recall $\varphi^{FY}(x, v) = \varphi(x) + \varphi^*(v)$, since $\mathcal{J}\varphi^{FY} = \varphi^{FY}$ we confirm the fact that

$\check{\partial}\varphi$ is max-add

Previous result extends the known fact (Svaiter 2000):

$$T^{SE} \sim_a T^{BE}$$

New enlargements are additive

Let $h \in \mathcal{H}(T)$. The following hold:

- $\check{T}_h \in \mathbb{E}_a(T)$
- \check{T}_h is max-add iff $\mathcal{J}Ah = Ah$
- Hence, if $\mathcal{J}h = h$ then \check{T}_h is max-add

New enlargements are additive

Let $h \in \mathcal{H}(T)$. The following hold:

- $\check{T}_h \in \mathbb{E}_a(T)$
- \check{T}_h is max-add iff $\mathcal{J}\mathcal{A}h = \mathcal{A}h$
- Hence, if $\mathcal{J}h = h$ then \check{T}_h is max-add

New enlargements are additive

Let $h \in \mathcal{H}(T)$. The following hold:

- $\check{T}_h \in \mathbb{E}_a(T)$
- \check{T}_h is max-add iff $\mathcal{J}\mathcal{A}h = \mathcal{A}h$
- Hence, if $\mathcal{J}h = h$ then \check{T}_h is max-add

New enlargements are additive

Let $h \in \mathcal{H}(T)$. The following hold:

- $\check{T}_h \in \mathbb{E}_a(T)$
- \check{T}_h is max-add iff $\mathcal{J}\mathcal{A}h = \mathcal{A}h$
- Hence, if $\mathcal{J}h = h$ then \check{T}_h is max-add

New enlargements are additive

Let $h \in \mathcal{H}(T)$. The following hold:

- $\check{T}_h \in \mathbb{E}_a(T)$
- \check{T}_h is max-add iff $\mathcal{J}Ah = Ah$
- Hence, if $\mathcal{J}h = h$ then \check{T}_h is max-add

Fix $h \in \mathcal{H}(\partial\varphi)$ and $h \leq \varphi + \varphi^* = \varphi^{FY}$

- $\forall \varepsilon > 0, x \in \text{Dom}\varphi$ we have

$$\check{T}_h(\varepsilon/2, x) \subseteq \check{\partial}\varphi(\varepsilon, x)$$

- If $h = \varphi^{FY}$ we must have $\check{\partial}\varphi = \check{T}_{\varphi^{FY}}$.
- If $h = \mathcal{F}_{\partial\varphi}$

$$\check{T}_{\mathcal{F}_{\partial\varphi}}(\varepsilon/2, x) \subseteq \check{\partial}\varphi(\varepsilon, x)$$

Hence, we can use the Fitzpatrick function $\mathcal{F}_{\partial\varphi}$ to obtain an enlargement smaller than $\check{\partial}\varphi$

Fix $h \in \mathcal{H}(\partial\varphi)$ and $h \leq \varphi + \varphi^* = \varphi^{FY}$

- $\forall \varepsilon > 0, x \in \text{Dom}\varphi$ we have

$$\check{T}_h(\varepsilon/2, x) \subseteq \check{\partial}\varphi(\varepsilon, x)$$

- If $h = \varphi^{FY}$ we must have $\check{\partial}\varphi = \check{T}_{\varphi^{FY}}$.
- If $h = \mathcal{F}_{\partial\varphi}$

$$\check{T}_{\mathcal{F}_{\partial\varphi}}(\varepsilon/2, x) \subseteq \check{\partial}\varphi(\varepsilon, x)$$

Hence, we can use the Fitzpatrick function $\mathcal{F}_{\partial\varphi}$ to obtain an enlargement smaller than $\check{\partial}\varphi$

Fix $h \in \mathcal{H}(\partial\varphi)$ and $h \leq \varphi + \varphi^* = \varphi^{FY}$

- $\forall \varepsilon > 0, x \in \text{Dom}\varphi$ we have

$$\check{T}_h(\varepsilon/2, x) \subseteq \check{\partial}\varphi(\varepsilon, x)$$

- If $h = \varphi^{FY}$ we must have $\check{\partial}\varphi = \check{T}_{\varphi^{FY}}$.
- If $h = \mathcal{F}_{\partial\varphi}$

$$\check{T}_{\mathcal{F}_{\partial\varphi}}(\varepsilon/2, x) \subseteq \check{\partial}\varphi(\varepsilon, x)$$

Hence, we can use the Fitzpatrick function $\mathcal{F}_{\partial\varphi}$ to obtain an enlargement smaller than $\check{\partial}\varphi$

Fix $h \in \mathcal{H}(\partial\varphi)$ and $h \leq \varphi + \varphi^* = \varphi^{FY}$

- $\forall \varepsilon > 0, x \in \text{Dom}\varphi$ we have

$$\check{T}_h(\varepsilon/2, x) \subseteq \check{\partial}\varphi(\varepsilon, x)$$

- If $h = \varphi^{FY}$ we must have $\check{\partial}\varphi = \check{T}_{\varphi^{FY}}$.
- If $h = \mathcal{F}_{\partial\varphi}$

$$\check{T}_{\mathcal{F}_{\partial\varphi}}(\varepsilon/2, x) \subseteq \check{\partial}\varphi(\varepsilon, x)$$

Hence, we can use the Fitzpatrick function $\mathcal{F}_{\partial\varphi}$ to obtain an enlargement smaller than $\check{\partial}\varphi$

Fix $h \in \mathcal{H}(\partial\varphi)$ and $h \leq \varphi + \varphi^* = \varphi^{FY}$

- $\forall \varepsilon > 0, x \in \text{Dom}\varphi$ we have

$$\check{T}_h(\varepsilon/2, x) \subseteq \check{\partial}\varphi(\varepsilon, x)$$

- If $h = \varphi^{FY}$ we must have $\check{\partial}\varphi = \check{T}_{\varphi^{FY}}$.
- If $h = \mathcal{F}_{\partial\varphi}$

$$\check{T}_{\mathcal{F}_{\partial\varphi}}(\varepsilon/2, x) \subseteq \check{\partial}\varphi(\varepsilon, x)$$

Hence, we can use the Fitzpatrick function $\mathcal{F}_{\partial\varphi}$ to obtain an enlargement smaller than $\check{\partial}\varphi$

Fix $h \in \mathcal{H}(\partial\varphi)$ and $h \leq \varphi + \varphi^* = \varphi^{FY}$

- $\forall \varepsilon > 0, x \in \text{Dom}\varphi$ we have

$$\check{T}_h(\varepsilon/2, x) \subseteq \check{\partial}\varphi(\varepsilon, x)$$

- If $h = \varphi^{FY}$ we must have $\check{\partial}\varphi = \check{T}_{\varphi^{FY}}$.
- If $h = \mathcal{F}_{\partial\varphi}$

$$\check{T}_{\mathcal{F}_{\partial\varphi}}(\varepsilon/2, x) \subseteq \check{\partial}\varphi(\varepsilon, x)$$

Hence, we can use the Fitzpatrick function $\mathcal{F}_{\partial\varphi}$ to obtain an enlargement smaller than $\check{\partial}\varphi$

Open problems

- Does the subfamily $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{H}}(T)$ contain all additive enlargements of T ?
 - We have also seen that elements of $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{H}}(T)$ are max-add when $h = \mathcal{J}h$. Are these all the max-add enlargements of T ?
 - Can we characterize h such that $\mathcal{J}\mathcal{A}h = \mathcal{A}h$?
 - In which cases has $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{H}}(T)$ a single element?
 - When are the max-add elements unique?

Open problems

- Does the subfamily $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{H}}(T)$ contain all additive enlargements of T ?
 - We have also seen that elements of $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{H}}(T)$ are max-add when $h = \mathcal{J}h$. Are these all the max-add enlargements of T ?
 - Can we characterize h such that $\mathcal{J}\mathcal{A}h = \mathcal{A}h$?
 - In which cases has $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{H}}(T)$ a single element?
 - When are the max-add elements unique?

Open problems

- Does the subfamily $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{H}}(T)$ contain all additive enlargements of T ?
- We have also seen that elements of $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{H}}(T)$ are max-add when $h = \mathcal{J}h$. Are these all the max-add enlargements of T ?
- Can we characterize h such that $\mathcal{J}\mathcal{A}h = \mathcal{A}h$?
- In which cases has $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{H}}(T)$ a single element?
- When are the max-add elements unique?

Open problems

- Does the subfamily $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{H}}(T)$ contain all additive enlargements of T ?
- We have also seen that elements of $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{H}}(T)$ are max-add when $h = \mathcal{J}h$. Are these all the max-add enlargements of T ?
- Can we characterize h such that $\mathcal{J}\mathcal{A}h = \mathcal{A}h$?
- In which cases has $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{H}}(T)$ a single element?
- When are the max-add elements unique?

Open problems

- Does the subfamily $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{H}}(T)$ contain all additive enlargements of T ?
- We have also seen that elements of $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{H}}(T)$ are max-add when $h = \mathcal{J}h$. Are these all the max-add enlargements of T ?
- Can we characterize h such that $\mathcal{J}Ah = Ah$?
- In which cases has $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{H}}(T)$ a single element?
- When are the max-add elements unique?

Open problems

- Does the subfamily $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{H}}(T)$ contain all additive enlargements of T ?
- We have also seen that elements of $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{H}}(T)$ are max-add when $h = \mathcal{J}h$. Are these all the max-add enlargements of T ?
- Can we characterize h such that $\mathcal{J}Ah = Ah$?
- In which cases has $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{H}}(T)$ a single element?
- When are the max-add elements unique?

Open problems

- Does the subfamily $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{H}}(T)$ contain all additive enlargements of T ?
- We have also seen that elements of $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{H}}(T)$ are max-add when $h = \mathcal{J}h$. Are these all the max-add enlargements of T ?
- Can we characterize h such that $\mathcal{J}Ah = Ah$?
- In which cases has $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{H}}(T)$ a single element?
- When are the max-add elements unique?

Open problems

- Does the subfamily $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{H}}(T)$ contain all additive enlargements of T ?
- We have also seen that elements of $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{H}}(T)$ are max-add when $h = \mathcal{J}h$. Are these all the max-add enlargements of T ?
- Can we characterize h such that $\mathcal{J}Ah = Ah$?
- In which cases has $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{H}}(T)$ a single element?
- When are the max-add elements unique?

Open problems

- Does the subfamily $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{H}}(T)$ contain all additive enlargements of T ?
- We have also seen that elements of $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{H}}(T)$ are max-add when $h = \mathcal{J}h$. Are these all the max-add enlargements of T ?
- Can we characterize h such that $\mathcal{J}Ah = Ah$?
- In which cases has $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{H}}(T)$ a single element?
- When are the max-add elements unique?

Open problems

- Does the subfamily $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{H}}(T)$ contain all additive enlargements of T ?
- We have also seen that elements of $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{H}}(T)$ are max-add when $h = \mathcal{J}h$. Are these all the max-add enlargements of T ?
- Can we characterize h such that $\mathcal{J}Ah = Ah$?
- In which cases has $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{H}}(T)$ a single element?
- When are the max-add elements unique?