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#### Abstract

Though summability of a series by the Cesàro method $C_{p}$ does not in general imply its summability by the Borel-type method ( $B, \alpha, \beta$ ), it is shown that the implication holds under an additional condition.


1. Introduction. Suppose throughout that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n}$ is a series with partial sums $s_{n}:=\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{k}$, and that $\alpha>0$ and $\alpha N+\beta>0$ where $N$ is a nonnegative integer. The series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n}$ is said to be summable ( $B, \alpha, \beta$ ) to $s$ if

$$
\alpha e^{-x} \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} s_{n} \frac{x^{\alpha n+\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha n+\beta)} \rightarrow s \quad \text { as } x \rightarrow \infty .
$$

The Borel-type summability method $(B, \alpha, \beta)$ is regular, and $(B, 1,1)$ with $N=0$ is the standard Borel summability method $B$.

We shall also be concerned with the Cesàro summability method $C_{p}(p>-1)$ and the Valiron method $V_{\alpha}$ defined as follows:

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n}=s\left(C_{p}\right) \quad \text { if } c_{n}^{p}:=\frac{s_{n}^{p}}{\binom{n+p}{n}} \rightarrow s \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
s_{n}^{p}:=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n-k+p-1}{n-k} s_{k} ; \\
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n}=s\left(V_{\alpha}\right) \quad \text { if }\left(\frac{\alpha}{2 \pi n}\right)^{1 / 2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \exp \left(-\frac{\alpha(n-k)^{2}}{2 n}\right) s_{k} \rightarrow s \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty .
\end{gathered}
$$

Consider the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n}:=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{a-1} \exp \left(\right.$ Ain $\left.^{a}\right)$ where $A>0$ and $0<$ $a<1 / 2$. It is known [5, p. 213] that this series is summable $C_{p}$ for every $p>0$ but is not convergent. However, since $a_{n}=o\left(n^{-1 / 2}\right)$, it follows by the Borwein Tauberian Theorem [1, Theorem 1] that the series is not summable $(B, \alpha, \beta)$ for any $\alpha$ and $\beta$. This example shows that, in general, summability $C_{p}$ does not imply summability $(B, \alpha, \beta)$. The following theorem indicates how to strengthen the $C_{p}$ summability hypothesis in order to ensure summability ( $B, \alpha, \beta$ ).

THEOREM 1. Suppose that $p$ is a nonnegative integer and that $c_{n}^{p}=s+o\left(n^{-p / 2}\right)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n}=s(B, \alpha, \beta)$.

The special case $\alpha=\beta=1, p=1$ of Theorem 1 has been proved by Hardy [5, Theorem 149]. Hardy and Littlewood [4, §3] proved that the condition
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$c_{n}^{p}=s+o\left(n^{-1 / 2}\right)$ is not sufficient for the summability of $\sum a_{n}$ by the Borel method. Hyslop [7, Theorem VIII] has obtained a more general result than Hardy, namely the case $\alpha=\beta=1$ of Theorem 1. More recently, Swaminathan [10] has proved Theorem 1 with $p=1$ and ( $B, \alpha, \beta$ ) summability replaced by the more general $F(a, q)$ summability introduced by Meir [9].

## 2. Preliminary results.

Lemma 1 [8, Lemma 7]. Let $m<x_{0}<n-1$ where $m$, $n$ are integers and let the nonnegative function $f(x)$ be increasing on $\left[m, x_{0}\right]$ and decreasing on $\left[x_{0}, n\right]$. Then

$$
\sum_{k=m}^{n} f(k) \leq \int_{m}^{n} f(x) d x+f\left(x_{0}\right)
$$

Lemma 2 [2, Theorem 3]. Suppose that $s_{n}=O\left(n^{r}\right)$ where $r \geq 0$. Then $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n}=s(B, \alpha, \beta)$ if and only if $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n}=s\left(V_{\alpha}\right)$.

Theorem 2 (CF. [6, Theorem 2]). Suppose that $p$ is a nonnegative integer and that $c_{n}^{p}=s+o\left(n^{-p / 2}\right)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n}=s\left(V_{\alpha}\right)$.

Proof. Suppose, as we may without loss of generality, that $s=0$.
Let $v_{n}(x):=\exp \left(-\alpha(n-x)^{2} / 2 n\right)$ and denote the $p$ th difference of $v_{n}(k)$ by $\Delta^{p} v_{n}(k)$, so that

$$
\Delta^{p} v_{n}(k)=\sum_{r=0}^{p}\binom{p}{r}(-1)^{r} v_{n}(k+r) .
$$

Applying Abel's partial summation formula $p(<m)$ times, we have that

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{m} s_{k} v_{n}(k)=\sum_{k=0}^{m-p} s_{k}^{p} \Delta^{p} v_{n}(k)+\sum_{r=0}^{p-1} s_{m-r}^{r+1} \Delta^{r} v_{n}(m-r) .
$$

Letting $m \rightarrow \infty$ and applying the limitation theorem for Cesàro summability [ $\mathbf{5}$, Theorem 46], we see that

$$
F(n):=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} s_{k} v_{n}(k)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} s_{k}^{p} \Delta^{p} v_{n}(k) .
$$

In order to prove the theorem we must show that $F(n)=o\left(n^{1 / 2}\right)$. Since, by the hypothesis, $s_{k}^{p}=o\left(k^{p / 2}\right)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ and $k^{p / 2} \Delta^{p} v_{n}(k)=o\left(n^{1 / 2}\right)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, it suffices to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(n):=n^{-1 / 2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k^{p / 2}\left|\Delta^{p} v_{n}(k)\right| \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is bounded.
It is familiar that $\Delta^{p} v_{n}(k)=(-1)^{p} v_{n}^{(p)}(k+c)$ for some $c \in[0, p]$. Hence there is a $\theta=\theta(n, k) \in[0, p]$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\Delta^{p} v_{n}(k)\right| \leq\left|v_{n}^{(p)}(k+\theta)\right| \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $v_{n}^{(p)}(x)=v_{n}(x) \sum_{0 \leq r \leq p / 2} b_{r}(n-x)^{p-2 r} n^{r-p}$, where the $b_{r}$ 's are constants, we get from (1) and (2) that

$$
G(n)=O\left(\sum_{0 \leq r \leq p / 2}\left|b_{r}\right| n^{r-p-1 / 2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k^{p / 2}|n-k-\theta|^{p-2 r} v_{n}(k+\theta)\right)
$$

Therefore to establish that $G(n)$ is bounded it is enough to show that, for $0 \leq r \leq$ $p / 2$ and $0 \leq \theta \leq p$,

$$
H(n):=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k^{p / 2}|n-k-\theta|^{p-2 r} v_{n}(k+\theta)=O\left(n^{p-r+1 / 2}\right)
$$

Write

$$
\begin{align*}
H(n) & =\left\{\sum_{k=0}^{n-p-1}+\sum_{k=n-p}^{n}+\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty}\right\} k^{p / 2}|n-k-\theta|^{p-2 r} v_{n}(k+\theta)  \tag{3}\\
& :=\sum_{1}+\sum_{2}+\sum_{3}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $|n-k-\theta| \leq 2 p$ for $0 \leq \theta \leq p$ and $n-p \leq k \leq n$, and $0<v_{n}(k+\theta) \leq 1$, it is immediate that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{2}=O\left(n^{p / 2}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, setting $f(x):=x^{p-2 r} \exp \left(-\alpha x^{2} / 2 n\right)$ and applying Lemma 1 , we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{1} & \leq \sum_{k=0}^{n-p-1} k^{p / 2}(n-k)^{p-2 r} v_{n}(k+p) \leq \sum_{k=p}^{n-1} k^{p / 2}(n-k+p)^{p-2 r} v_{n}(k) \\
& \leq M n^{p / 2} \sum_{k=p}^{n-1} f(n-k) \leq M n^{p / 2} \sum_{k=1}^{n} f(k) \\
& \leq M n^{p / 2} \int_{1}^{n} f(x) d x+M C n^{p / 2}\left(\frac{(p-2 r) n}{\alpha}\right)^{p / 2-r}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $M:=(1+p)^{p-2 r}$ and $C:=\exp (r-p / 2)$. Letting $u=\alpha x^{2} / 2 n$, we get that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{1}=O\left(n^{p-r+1 / 2} \int_{0}^{\infty} u^{(p-1) / 2-r} e^{-u} d u\right)+O\left(n^{p-r}\right)=O\left(n^{p-r+1 / 2}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further, with $M$ and $f(x)$ as above and $g(x):=x^{3 p / 2-2 r} \exp \left(-\alpha x^{2} / 2 n\right)$, we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{3} & \leq \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k^{p / 2}(k-n+p)^{p-2 r} v_{n}(k) \\
& \leq M\left(\sum_{k=n+1}^{2 n}+\sum_{k=2 n+1}^{\infty}\right) k^{p / 2}(k-n)^{p-2 r} v_{n}(k) \\
& \leq M(2 n)^{p / 2} \sum_{k=1}^{n} f(k)+M 2^{p / 2} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} g(k):=\sum_{3,1}+\sum_{3,2}
\end{aligned}
$$

As above $\sum_{3,1}=O\left(n^{p-r+1 / 2}\right)$. And finally, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{3,2} & =O\left(\int_{n}^{\infty} g(x) d x\right)+o(1) \\
& =O\left(n^{3 p / 4-r+1 / 2} \int_{\alpha n / 2}^{\infty} u^{3 p / 4-r-1 / 2} e^{-u} d u\right)+o(1) \\
& =o(1)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{3}=O\left(n^{p-r+1 / 2}\right)+o(1) \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

It now follows from (3)-(6) that $H(n)=O\left(n^{p-r+1 / 2}\right)$. This completes the proof.
3. Proof of Theorem 1. The limitation theorem for Cesàro summability [5, Theorem 46] implies that $s_{n}=o\left(n^{p}\right)$. Therefore, by Theorem 2 and Lemma 2, we have that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n}=s(B, \alpha, \beta)$.
4. Related results. The methods of Euler $E_{\delta}$, Meyer-Konïg $S_{\delta}$, and Taylor $T_{\delta}(0<\delta<1)$ are defined as follows:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n}=s\left(E_{\delta}\right) \quad \text { if } \sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k} \delta^{k}(1-\delta)^{n-k} s_{k} \rightarrow s \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty ; \\
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n}=s\left(S_{\delta}\right) \quad \text { if }(1-\delta)^{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\binom{n+k}{k} \delta^{k} s_{k} \rightarrow s \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty ; \\
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n}=s\left(T_{\delta}\right) \quad \text { if }(1-\delta)^{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\binom{n+k}{k} \delta^{k} s_{n+k} \rightarrow s \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty .
\end{gathered}
$$

These methods, as well as the Borel-type and Valiron methods, are contained in the $F(a, q)$ family of methods mentioned in the introduction. The following theorem generalizes Swaminathan's result [10], via Theorem 2 and [3, Satz III], for the Euler, Meyer-Konig, and Taylor methods.

THEOREM 3. Suppose that $p$ is a nonnegative integer and that $c_{n}^{p}=s+o\left(n^{-p / 2}\right)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then for $0<\delta<1$, the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n}$ is summable to $s$ by the $E_{\delta}$, $S_{\delta}$, and $T_{\delta}$ methods.
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