## AN INCULSION THEOREM FOR DIRICHLET SERIES

## DAVID BORWEIN

ABSTRACT. It is shown that under certain conditions the asymptotic relationship

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n s_n e^{-\lambda_n x} \sim l \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e^{-\lambda_n x} \text{ as } x \to 0+$$

between two Dirichlet series implies the same relationship with  $\lambda_n$  replaced by log  $\lambda_n$ .

1. **Introduction.** Suppose throughout that  $\lambda := \{\lambda_n\}$  is a strictly increasing unbounded sequence of real numbers with  $\lambda_1 \ge 0$ , and that  $a := \{a_n\}$  is a sequence of non-negative numbers such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n = \infty, \text{ and } \phi(x) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e^{-\lambda_n x} < \infty \text{ for all } x > 0.$$

Let  $\{s_n\}$  be a sequence of complex numbers with  $s_0 = 0$ . The Abelian summability method  $A_{\lambda}$  (see [3, p. 71]) and the Dirichlet series method  $D_{\lambda,a}$  (see [12]) are defined as follows:

$$s_n \to l(A_\lambda)$$
 if  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (s_n - s_{n-1})e^{-\lambda_n x}$ 

is convergent for all x > 0 and tends to l as  $x \to 0+$ ;

$$s_n \to l(D_{\lambda,a}) \text{ if } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n s_n e^{-\lambda_n x}$$

is convergent for all 
$$x > 0$$
 and  $\frac{1}{\phi(x)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n s_n e^{-\lambda_n x} \longrightarrow l$  as  $x \longrightarrow 0+$ .

When  $\lambda_n := n$ , the method  $A_{\lambda}$  reduces to the Abel method A, and the method  $D_{\lambda,a}$  reduces to the power series method  $J_a$  (as defined in [1], for example). Denote by

Received by the editors March 15, 1988.

<sup>1980</sup> AMS Subject Classification 40D25, 40G10.

This research was supported in part by the natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

<sup>©</sup> Canadian Mathematical Society 1988.

 $A_{\lambda}^*$  the method  $D_{\lambda,a}$  with  $a_1 := \lambda_1, a_n := \lambda_n - \lambda_{n-1}$  for  $n \ge 2$ . The method  $A_{\lambda}^*$  also reduces to A when  $\lambda_n := n$ . Further, it is known (see [2, Lemma 2]) that, under the additional hypothesis  $\lambda_{n+1} \sim \lambda_n$ ,

$$x \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (\lambda_n - \lambda_{n-1}) e^{-\lambda_n x} \to 1 \text{ as } x \to 0+.$$

Thus, when  $\lambda_{n+1} \sim \lambda_n$ ,

$$s_n \to l(A_{\lambda}^*)$$
 if and only if  $x \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (\lambda_n - \lambda_{n-1}) s_n e^{-\lambda_n x}$ 

is convergent for all x > 0 and tends to l as  $x \to 0+$ .

The exact relationship between  $A_{\lambda}$  and  $A_{\lambda}^*$  for general  $\lambda$  remains to be investigated.

From now on we assume that  $\lambda_1 \ge 1$  and that  $\mu := \{\mu_n\}$  where  $\mu_n := \log \lambda_n$ . The following inclusion theorem for Abelian methods is known [3, Theorem 28]:

THEOREM A. If  $s_n \to l(A_\lambda)$ , and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (s_n - s_{n-1}) \lambda_n^{-x}$  is convergent for all x > 0, then  $s_n \to l(A_\mu)$ .

The purpose of this note is to prove the following analogous theorem for Dirichlet series methods:

THEOREM D. Suppose that  $s_n \to l(D_{\lambda,a})$ , and that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \lambda_n^{-x}$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n s_n \lambda_n^{-x}$  are convergent for all x > 0. Then  $s_n \to l(D_{\mu,a})$ .

## 2. **Proof of Theorem D.** Suppose that x > 0, and let

$$\phi_s(x) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n s_n e^{-\lambda_n x}, \psi(x) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \lambda_n^{-x}, \text{ and } \psi_s(x) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n s_n \lambda_n^{-x}.$$

Then the hypotheses of Theorem D imply [3, Theorem 30] that

$$\psi(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(x)} \int_0^\infty t^{x-1} \phi(t) dt \text{ and } \psi_s(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(x)} \int_0^\infty t^{x-1} \phi_s(t) dt.$$

Hence

$$\frac{\psi_s(x)}{\psi(x)} = \frac{1}{F(x)} \int_0^\infty t^{x-1} \phi(t) \sigma(t) dt,$$

where

$$F(x) := \int_0^\infty t^{x-1} \phi(t) dt \text{ and } \sigma(t) := \frac{\phi_s(t)}{\phi(t)}.$$

Suppose without loss of generality that l=0, i.e., that  $\sigma(t)\to 0$  as  $t\to 0+$ . Since  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}a_n=\infty$ , we have that  $\phi(t)\to\infty$  as  $t\to 0+$  and hence that  $F(x)\to\infty$  as  $x\to 0+$ . Further,  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}a_ns_ne^{-(\lambda_n-\lambda_1)t}$  is uniformly convergent for  $t\ge \delta>0$  (see

[3, p. 76]); so that  $|\phi_s(t)| \le H_\delta e^{-\lambda_1 t}$  for  $t \ge \delta > 0$ , where  $H_\delta$  is a positive number independent of t. It follows that

$$\lim_{x \to 0+} \sup \left| \frac{\psi_s(x)}{\psi(x)} \right| = \lim_{x \to 0+} \sup_{F(x)} \frac{1}{F(x)} \left( \int_0^\delta t^{x-1} \phi(t) \sigma(t) dt + \int_\delta^\infty t^{x-1} \phi_s(t) dt \right)$$

$$\leq \sup_{0 < t < \delta} |\sigma(t)| + \lim_{x \to 0+} \sup_{\delta^{1-x} F(x)} \int_\delta^\infty e^{-\lambda_1 t} dt$$

$$= \sup_{0 < t < \delta} |\sigma(t)| \to 0 \text{ as } \delta \to 0+,$$

and hence that  $\psi_s(x)/\psi(x) \to 0$  as  $x \to 0+$ .

19891

Example. With  $\lambda_n := n, a_n := 1/n$ , Theorem D yields the following interesting result concerning the Riemann zeta function:

if 
$$\frac{1}{-\log(1-y)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{s_n}{n} y^n \to l$$
 as  $y \to 1-$   
and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{s_n}{n^w}$  is convergent for all  $w > 1$ , then  $\frac{1}{\zeta(w)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{s_n}{n^w} \to l$  as  $w \to 1+$ .

The first of the above hypotheses can be stated as  $s_n \to l(L)$ , where L is the logarithmic power series method of summability; and, because of the familiar result that  $(w-1)\zeta(w) \to 1$  as  $w \to 1+$ , the conclusion can be simplified to

$$(w-1)\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{s_n}{n^w} \to l \text{ as } w \to 1+.$$

## REFERENCES

- 1. D. Borwein, Tauberian conditions for the equivalence of weighted mean and power series methods of summability, Canad. Math. Bull., 24 (1981), 309-316.
- 2. ——, Tauberian and other theorems concerning Dirichlet's series with non-negative coefficients, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., 102 (1987), 517–532.
  - 3. G. H. Hardy, Divergent Series (Oxford University Press, 1949).

Department of Mathematics
The University of Western Ontario
London, Ontario, Canada N6A 587