

A Theorem on inhomogeneous Diophantine Inequalities

BY

KURT MAHLER

Mathematics. — *A Theorem on inhomogeneous Diophantine Inequalities.*
 By KURT MAHLER. ¹⁾ (Communicated by Prof. J. G. VAN DER CORPUT).

(Communicated at the meeting of May 28, 1938.)

Two years ago (Math. Ann. 113, 1936, 398—415), KHINTCHINE proved that if the system of homogeneous linear inequalities

$$0 < x < \gamma t^n, \quad |x \theta_i - y_i| < \frac{1}{t} \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, n),$$

where $\gamma = \gamma(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n) > 0$ does not depend on t , has no integer solution for any $t > 1$, then the system of inhomogeneous linear inequalities

$$0 < x < \Gamma t^n, \quad |x \theta_i - y_i - a_i| < \frac{1}{t} \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, n),$$

where $\Gamma = \Gamma(\gamma, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_n) > 0$ does not depend on t and a_1, \dots, a_n , has an integer solution for all $t > 1$. MORDELL generalized this result and at the same time gave a simpler proof (Journal London Math. Soc. 12, 1937, 34—36 and 166—167). In this note, I shall prove a still more general theorem (Theorem 2), which contains the results of KHINTCHINE and MORDELL as special cases, while its proof remains nearly as simple as that of MORDELL.

1. Let $F(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be a real function of the n real variables x_1, \dots, x_n with the following properties:

- (1): $F(0, \dots, 0) = 0$, but $F(x_1, \dots, x_n) > 0$ for $\sum_{k=1}^n x_k^2 > 0$.
- (2): $F(tx_1, \dots, tx_n) = |t| F(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ for all real values of t .
- (3): $F(x_1 + y_1, \dots, x_n + y_n) \leq F(x_1, \dots, x_n) + F(y_1, \dots, y_n)$.
- (4): The convex body defined by the inequality $F(x_1, \dots, x_n) \leq 1$ has the volume I .

Then, by a theorem of MINKOWSKI (Geometrie der Zahlen, p. 218), there exist n^2 integers $X_k^{(l)}$ with determinant

$$d = |X_k^{(l)}|_{k,l=1,2,\dots,n} \neq 0,$$

such that

$$(5): \quad \prod_{l=1}^n F(X_1^{(l)}, \dots, X_n^{(l)}) \leq \frac{2^n}{I}.$$

¹⁾ I wish to express my thanks to Prof. MORDELL for his help with the manuscript.

MINKOWSKI also proved (G. d. Z., p. 189 and 192) that $|d| \leq n!$ and in particular $|d| = 1$ for $n = 2$; in general, however, $|d| = 1$ need not be true. But the following weaker theorem holds:

Theorem 1: *Under the conditions (1)–(4), there are n^2 integers $x_{hk}^{(l)}$ with determinant*

$$|x_k^{(l)}|_{k,l=1,2,\dots,n} = 1,$$

such that

$$(6): \quad \prod_{l=1}^n F(x_1^{(l)}, \dots, x_n^{(l)}) \leq \frac{2^n n!}{I}.$$

Proof: Suppose that $X_k^{(l)}$ are MINKOWSKI's integers, and that, if

$$F(X_1^{(l)}, \dots, X_n^{(l)}) = S_l \quad (l = 1, 2, \dots, n),$$

then without loss of generality

$$(7): \quad S_1 \leq S_2 \leq \dots \leq S_n.$$

By MINKOWSKI's method of "adaptation" of the lattice of all points (x_1, \dots, x_n) with respect to the n lattice points $(X_1^{(l)}, \dots, X_n^{(l)})$ ($l = 1, \dots, n$) (G. d. Z., p. 173–176), n lattice points $(x_1^{(l)}, \dots, x_n^{(l)})$ ($l = 1, \dots, n$) with determinant

$$|x_k^{(l)}|_{k,l=1,\dots,n} = 1,$$

exist, such that in vector notation for $l = 1, \dots, n$

$$(x_1^{(l)}, \dots, x_n^{(l)}) = \sum_{k=1}^l \beta_k^{(l)} (X_1^{(k)}, \dots, X_n^{(k)}),$$

where the $\beta_k^{(l)}$ are real numbers satisfying

$$|\beta_k^{(l)}| \leq 1 \quad (k, l = 1, \dots, n; k \leq l).$$

Hence by (2), (3) and (7)

$$F(x_1^{(l)}, \dots, x_n^{(l)}) \leq S_1 + S_2 + \dots + S_l \leq l S_l \leq l F(X_1^{(l)}, \dots, X_n^{(l)}),$$

so that (6) follows at once from (5).

2. From the last result we shall obtain:

Theorem 2: *Suppose that the conditions (1)–(4) are satisfied, that ξ_1, \dots, ξ_n are real numbers, that τ is a positive number, and that there is no other integer solution of the inequality*

$$(8): \quad F(X_1, \dots, X_n) \leq \frac{2\tau}{n \sqrt{I}},$$

than the trivial one $X_1 = \dots = X_n = 0$. Then the inequality

$$(9): \quad F(x_1 + \xi_1, \dots, x_n + \xi_n) \leq \frac{(n+1)! + 1}{r^{n-1} \sqrt[n]{I}}$$

has a solution in integers x_1, \dots, x_n .

Proof: Let X_1, \dots, X_n, Y be $n+1$ variables and consider the domain in $n+1$ dimensions defined by

$$(10): \quad F(X_1 + \xi_1 Y, \dots, X_n + \xi_n Y) \leq \frac{2\tau}{\sqrt[n]{I}}, \quad |Y| \leq \frac{1}{r^n}.$$

It is easy to see that this domain is a convex body of volume

$$I \left(\frac{2\tau}{\sqrt[n]{I}} \right)^n \cdot \frac{2}{r^n} = 2^{n+1}.$$

Hence there are $n+1$ integers x_1^*, \dots, x_n^*, y , which are not all zero (G. d. Z., p. 76), such that

$$(11): \quad F(x_1^* + \xi_1 y, \dots, x_n^* + \xi_n y) \leq \frac{2\tau}{\sqrt[n]{I}}, \quad |y| \leq \frac{1}{r^n}.$$

Here $y \neq 0$, since otherwise there would be a non-trivial integer solution x_1, \dots, x_n of (8), against hypothesis.

By theorem 1, there is a system of n^2 integers $x_k^{(l)}$ of determinant 1 satisfying (6). Obviously the numbers $x_1^{(l)}, \dots, x_n^{(l)}$ do not vanish simultaneously for any l ; hence by assumption

$$F(x_1^{(l)}, \dots, x_n^{(l)}) > \frac{2\tau}{\sqrt[n]{I}} \quad (l = 1, \dots, n)$$

and therefore by (6)

$$(12): \quad F(x_1^{(l)}, \dots, x_n^{(l)}) \leq \frac{2n!}{r^{n-1} \sqrt[n]{I}} \quad (l = 1, \dots, n).$$

Now consider the system of n linear congruences in u_1, \dots, u_n :

$$x_k^* + \sum_{l=1}^n x_k^{(l)} u_l \equiv 0 \pmod{y} \quad (k = 1, \dots, n).$$

Since its determinant is 1, there is at least one integer solution u_1^*, \dots, u_n^* , and then all integer solutions can be written as

$$u_l = u_l^* + y v_l \quad (l = 1, \dots, n).$$

where v_1, \dots, v_n are arbitrary integers. Hence we may assume that

$$|u_l| \leq \frac{|y|}{2} \quad (l = 1, \dots, n).$$

With these values of the u 's, put

$$x_k = \frac{1}{y} \left\{ x_k^* + \sum_{l=1}^n x_k^{(l)} u_l \right\} \quad (k = 1, \dots, n),$$

so that x_1, \dots, x_n are integers and

$$x_k + \xi_k = \frac{1}{y} (x_k^* + y \xi_k) + \sum_{l=1}^n \frac{u_l}{y} x_k^{(l)},$$

and since $|y| \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} F(x_1 + \xi_1, \dots, x_n + \xi_n) &\leq \frac{1}{|y|} F(x_1^* + \xi_1 y, \dots, x_n^* + \xi_n y) + \sum_{l=1}^n \left| \frac{u_l}{y} \right| F(x_1^{(l)}, \dots, x_n^{(l)}) \\ &\leq \frac{2\tau}{\sqrt[n]{I}} + \frac{n}{2} \cdot \frac{2n!}{\tau^{n-1} \sqrt[n]{I}} \leq \frac{2+n \cdot n!}{\tau^{n-1} \sqrt[n]{I}} \leq \frac{(n+1)! + 1}{\tau^{n-1} \sqrt[n]{I}}, \end{aligned}$$

since by MINKOWSKI's theorem necessarily

$$\tau < 1,$$

q. e. d.

The example $F(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \max(\tau |x_1|, \tau |x_2|, \dots, \tau |x_{n-1}|, \tau^{-(n-1)} |x_n|)$, $\xi_1 = \dots = \xi_n = \frac{1}{2}$ shows, that the exponent $n-1$ of τ in theorem 2 cannot be improved.

Manchester, Mathematical Department of the University.

Eastern 1938.