Vol. 8, No. 1, March 1964 Printed in U.S.A. A REMARK ON A PAPER OF MINE ON POLYNOMIALS

## BY

Kurt Mahler

The measure M(f) of a polynomial

Reprinted from Illinois Journal of Mathematics

$$f(x) = a_0 x^m + a_1 x^{m-1} + \cdots + a_m$$
 with real or complex coefficients is defined by

$$M(f) = \exp\left\{ \int_0^1 \log |f(e^{2\pi it})| dt \right\} \quad \text{if} \quad f(x) \neq 0,$$
  
= 0 \quad \text{if} \quad f(x) \equiv 0.

Denote by 
$$S_{mn}$$
 the set of all polynomial vectors  $\mathbf{f}(x) = (f_1(x), \dots, f_n(x))$ 

 $\mathbf{f}(x) = (f_1(x), \cdots, f_n(x))$ 

with components 
$$f_h(x)$$
 that are polynomials at most of degree  $m$  and that

with components 
$$f_h(x)$$
 that are polynomials at most of degree  $m$  and that do not all vanish identically. Further put
$$M(\mathbf{f}) = \sum_{h=1}^{n} M(f_h), \qquad N(\mathbf{f}) = \sum_{h=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} M(f_h - f_k),$$

 $Q(\mathbf{f}) = N(\mathbf{f})/M(\mathbf{f}).$ 

$$C_{mn} = \sup_{\mathbf{f} \in S_{mn}} Q(\mathbf{f})$$

satisfies the nearly trivial inequality 
$$C_{mn} \le 2^{m+1}(n-1)$$

and is attained for a polynomial vector 
$$\mathbf{F}(x) = (F_1(x), \cdots, F_n(x))$$

$$= (F_1)$$

in 
$$S_{mn}$$
 with the following properties:

(2) Those components 
$$F_h(x)$$
 of  $\mathbf{F}(x)$  that do not vanish identically all have

the exact degree m, and all their zeros lie on the unit circle. It does not seem to be easy to determine the exact value of  $C_{mn}$ . In this note I shall replace (1) by an inequality (9) which is slightly better when m

is large relative to  $\log n$ .

**2.** Let 
$$\mathbf{F}(x)$$
 be defined as before. Without loss of generality,  $F_1(x) \neq 0, \cdots, F_p(x) \neq 0, F_{p+1}(x) \equiv \cdots \equiv F_n(x) \equiv 0,$ 

Received October 7, 1963.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Illinois Journal of Mathematics, vol. 7 (1963), pp. 681–701.

 $1 \leq p \leq n$ . By (2), each of the first p components of  $\mathbf{F}(x)$  allows a factorisation

KURT MAHLER

 $F_h(x) = c_h(x - \gamma_{h1}) \cdot \cdot \cdot (x - \gamma_{hm}),$  $c_h \neq 0$ ,  $|\gamma_{h1}| = \cdots = |\gamma_{hm}| = 1$ .

Therefore  $M(F_h) = |c_h| \prod_{l=1}^m \max(1, |\gamma_{hl}|) = |c_h| \qquad (h = 1, 2, \dots, p),$ 

where

(5)

and it follows, in particular, that

 $M(\mathbf{F}) = \sum_{h=1}^{p} |c_h|.$ 

The problem is to obtain an upper estimate for

(3)

 $N(\mathbf{\,F}) \; = \; \sum_{h=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n M(F_h \, - \, F_k) \; = \; 2 \sum_{1 < h < k < n} M(F_h \, - \, F_k).$ 

Here, from the hypothesis,

 $M(F_h - F_k) = |c_h|$  if  $1 \le h \le p$ ,  $p + 1 \le k \le n$ ,

 $= 0 if p+1 \le h \le n, p+1 \le k \le n.$ Thus it suffices to estimate the remaining terms

 $M(F_h - F_k)$   $(1 \le h \le p, 1 \le k \le p)$ of  $N(\mathbf{F})$ . 3. As usual, put for positive s

 $\log^+ s = \max(0, \log s),$ so that  $\log s \le \log^+ s$ ;  $\log^+ (st) \le \log^+ s + \log^+ t$ ;

(4) $\log^{+} | s \mp t | \le \log^{+} s + \log^{+} t + \log 2.$ If further f(x) is again any polynomial, put

 $M^{+}(f) = \exp\left\{ \int_{0}^{1} \log^{+} |f(e^{2\pi i t})| dt \right\} \text{ if } f(x) \neq 0,$ 

Then, by (4),  $M^+(f)$  has the properties:

 $M(f) \leq M^+(f)$ .

 $M^{+}(fa) \leq M^{+}(f)M^{+}(g)$ .

 $M^{+}(f \mp q) \leq 2M^{+}(f)M^{+}(q).$ 

where, e.g.  $M^{+}(F_{k}) \leq \max(1, |c_{h}|) \prod_{l=1}^{m} M^{+}(x - \gamma_{hl}).$ Moreover,

From these formulae (5) and (6),

(6)

 $M^{+}(x - \gamma_{hl}) = M^{+}(x - 1)$ 

 $M^{+}(a) = \max(1, |a|).$ 

 $M(F_h - F_k) \leq M^+(F_h - F_k) \leq 2M^+(F_h)M^+(F_k),$ 

because  $\gamma_{hl}$  has the absolute value 1. For shortness therefore put  $\theta = M^{+}(x - 1) = \exp\left\{ \int_{a}^{1} \log^{+} |e^{2\pi i t} - 1| dt \right\}.$ 

It follows then that  $M^+(F_h) \leq \max(1, |c_h|)\theta^m$ whence, for  $1 \leq h \leq p$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq p$ ,

 $(7) \quad M(F_h - F_k) \le M^+(F_h - F_k) \le 2 \max(1, |c_h|) \max(1, |c_k|) \theta^{2m}.$ Now, for any constant  $a \neq 0$ ,

 $Q(a\mathbf{f}) = Q(\mathbf{f}).$ Thus there is no loss of generality in assuming that

 $M(\mathbf{F}) = \sum_{h=1}^{p} |c_h| = 1,$ 

and hence that  $(h = 1, 2, \cdots, p).$  $\max (1, |c_h|) = 1$ 

The estimate (7) takes then the simpler form

and it follows that

 $M(F_k - F_k) \le 2\theta^{2m}$ 

 $(1 \le h \le p, 1 \le k \le p),$ 

 $\sum_{k=1}^{p} \sum_{k=1}^{p} M(F_k - F_k) \leq 2(p^2 - p)\theta^{2m}$ 

because the p terms with h = k vanish. Therefore, by  $N(\mathbf{F}) = \sum_{h=1}^{p} \sum_{k=1}^{p} M(F_h - F_k) + 2(n-p) \sum_{h=1}^{p} M(F_h),$ 

we obtain the inequality

 $Q(\mathbf{F}) = N(\mathbf{F}) \le 2(n^2 - n)\theta^{2m} + 2(n - n)$ (8)

An approximate value of  $\theta$  is now easily obtained. Write

 $j = \log \theta = \int_{0}^{1} \log^{+} |e^{2\pi i t} - 1| dt = \int_{1/2}^{1/2} \log^{+} |e^{2\pi i t} - 1| dt.$ 

KURT MAHLER

It follows that

is even and of period 1, and

 $|e^{2\pi it} - 1| \le 1$  if  $0 \le t \le \frac{1}{6}$ ,

 $j = 2 \int_{10}^{1/2} \log (2 \sin \pi t) dt = \frac{1}{3} \log 4 + 2 \int_{10}^{1/2} \log \sin \pi t dt.$ 

Here, by numerical integration (for which I am much indebted to my colleague Professor K. J. Le Couteur),

The function

and hence

Therefore

(9)

polynomial vector

and therefore that

Canberra, Australia

Since  $p \leq n$ , it follows then finally from (8) that

 $Q(\mathbf{F}) \le 2(n^2 - n)\theta^{2m} + 2(n - n).$ 

 $\int_{0}^{1/2} \log \sin \pi t \, dt = -0.069516 \, \cdots,$ 

 $i = 0.32307 \cdots$ 

 $\theta^2 = e^{2j} < 1.91$ 

> 1 if  $\frac{1}{6} < t \le \frac{1}{2}$ .

 $C_{mn} \leq 2(n^2 - n)\lambda^m$  where  $\lambda < 1.91$ . Apart from the value of the constant  $\lambda$ , this result is best possible for

fixed n and increasing m. This is easily seen for n = 2 on taking for  $\mathbf{f}(x)$  the  $\mathbf{f}(n) = ((x+1)^m, (x-1)^m).$ Australian National University