

The Legacy of Kurt Mahler

Jonathan M. Borwein (University of Newcastle, Australia), Yann Bugeaud (Université de Strasbourg, France) and Michael Coons (University of Newcastle, Australia)

I hope that you can continue with your preparing of my collected works. When my old papers first appeared, they produced little interest in the mathematical world, and it was only in recent times that they have been rediscovered and found useful. So a collection of all my papers may repair this position!

[Kurt Mahler, in his last letter to Alf van der Poorten]

The quote at the beginning of this article was taken from a letter written by Kurt Mahler to Alf van der Poorten the day before Mahler died on 25 February 1988; it was received after van der Poorten had heard about Mahler's death.

At that time van der Poorten had already begun to collect Mahler's works. Twenty-five years have now passed since Mahler's death, and three years since van der Poorten's. Recently, in memory of van der Poorten, we¹ have finished van der Poorten's project and established the *Kurt Mahler Archive*. The Archive is hosted by the Centre for Computer-Assisted Research Mathematics and its Applications (CARMA),² which is located at the University of Newcastle, Australia. It can be found using the following URL: <http://carma.newcastle.edu.au/mahler/index.html>

1 Mahler, the man

Mahler was born in Krefeld, Germany, on 20 July 1903. He did not come from an academic family but, nonetheless, from one which loved the printed word; his father ran a printing firm. While first entering academia in a non-traditional way, Mahler should most appropriately be considered a student of Siegel, and this is how the *Mathematics Genealogy Project* records it. With the change of power in 1933, Mahler realised that he would need to leave Germany. After six weeks in Amsterdam, Mahler spent the academic year 1933–34 in Manchester under a fellowship secured by Mordell. He spent most of the next few years in the Netherlands until returning to the University of Manchester in 1937, where he remained for 25 years. After that, with the exception of a few years hiatus in the United States of America, he was an Australian mathematician.³

2 Mahler and Number Theory in Australia

Under the invitation of B. H. Neumann, in 1962, Mahler visited Australia and, in particular, the then quite new Australian National University (ANU). He was impressed enough

to quickly join the ANU as a professor in its Institute of Advanced Studies. As Mahler recollects,

There was at this time no teaching of number theory in the undergraduate school (School of General Studies) at the ANU. I therefore gave a course on this subject to second and third year students at the SGS, probably the first one ever in Canberra. One of my undergraduates, Coates, asked me to introduce him to research. I provided him with problems to work on, and by the time he obtained his BSc, he had already several papers published or in print.

[Kurt Mahler, as quoted from [18]]

One gains much more appreciation for Mahler reading his student's view of the same time.

Mahler was also very concerned with sowing the seeds of his own mathematical knowledge in his new country. As in his own mathematical research, he instinctively felt that the best way to do this was to go back to first principles, and to begin by teaching beginners in the subject. The ANU had begun to award undergraduate degrees only a few years before Mahler arrived, and Hannah Neumann was appointed to head the new Department of Mathematics in the teaching side of the University (the School of General Studies) at about the same time that Mahler took up his chair. Between them, they arranged for Mahler to give two courses to the small number of undergraduates reading mathematics, one in 1963 on elementary number theory, and the second in 1964 on the elliptic modular function $j(z)$. One of us had the good fortune to attend these courses. Mahler started and finished each lecture with extraordinary punctuality; in between, the audience was given a rare insight into his understanding of and enthusiasm for the material of the lecture. As he spoke, he would produce a beautiful written exposition on the blackboard of the key points, which were neatly placed in order in his characteristic rectangular boxes. Although he seemed at first so different and forbidding, we soon discovered that he was very willing to talk about his knowledge of mathematics in general, and to lend us his own mathematical books when we could not find them in the library. Mahler gave lectures at various summer schools in Canberra and elsewhere around Australia, as well as a number of advanced courses on transcendental number theory in the Institute of Advanced Studies. In the end the fascination of what he was doing beguiled us both into research in number theory, and we made our first steps in mathematical research on problems suggested by him.

[JOHN COATES & ALF VAN DER POORTEN, AS QUOTED FROM [18]]

These two students are well-known to those of us in number theory, and many of us beyond. John Coates went on to become a Fellow of the Royal Society and was Sadleirian Professor of Pure Mathematics at Cambridge from 1986 to 2012. Soon after Mahler moved to Australia, at the encouragement of George Szekeres, Alf van der Poorten visited Mahler regularly in Canberra. A friendship based at first on a common interest in science fiction⁴ turned quickly into a mathematical relationship. Van der Poorten became Mahler's doctoral

¹ Joy van der Poorten helped immensely with collecting Mahler's harder-to-get papers as well as the correspondence between Alf van der Poorten and Mahler. We thank her greatly for her contribution to the Archive.

² We would have liked to have compiled a more standard printed archive of Mahler's work. Unfortunately, in the current digital age, such a work is no longer appealing to publishers.

³ There are numerous biographies of Kurt Mahler, which are extremely well written. Thus we will not dwell too much on this here. See [1, 2, 4, 6, 16, 18, 21].

⁴ This is entirely evident from the correspondence between Mahler and van

student, graduating⁵ in 1968. Alf van der Poorten went on to positions at the University of New South Wales and then Macquarie University, both in Sydney.

Van der Poorten continued Mahler’s legacy of number theory in Australia, both mathematically, in a very interesting and important collaboration with John Loxton, and as a mentor and supporter of young Australian mathematicians.⁶ One such instance remembered by a former young Australian mathematician goes as follows.

I first encountered Alf’s unique style when I was a member of the Australian International Olympiad (IMO) team and we came across his wonderfully entertaining paper on Apéry’s proof of the irrationality of $\zeta(3)$. I assumed from his name that he was Dutch, and was then pleasantly surprised when I met Alf at the IMO team send-off reception and to find that he lived and worked in Sydney! He then and there told me what the p -adic numbers were and immediately offered me a job at any time in the future! I took him up on his offer at the end of my first year at Melbourne University, and spent six weeks in his office annex learning about elliptic curves, the Riemann–Roch theorem, the Weil conjectures, and, of course a lot of great stuff about recurrence relations (Skolem–Mahler–Lech) and continued fractions. I have always appreciated the time he spent talking to me—it was clear he cared a great deal about young Australian mathematicians.

[Frank Calegari, as quoted from [5]]

Mahler’s contribution to number theory in Australia continues today, beyond his work and the work of his students and colleagues, in the *Mahler Lectureship* of the Australian Mathematical Society.

Every two years, the Australian Mathematical Society honours Mahler’s legacy by awarding a distinguished lectureship in his name.

The Mahler Lectureship is awarded every two years to a distinguished mathematician who preferably works in an area of mathematics associated with the work of Professor Mahler. It is usually expected that the Lecturer will speak at one of the main Society Conferences and visit as many universities as can be reasonably managed.

[Australian Mathematical Society website:
<http://www.austms.org.au/>]

The phrase *visit as many universities as can be reasonably managed* is not taken lightly. The 2013 Mahler Lecturer was Akshay Venkatesh of Stanford University. Professor Venkatesh gave 16 talks in 19 days at 10 different universities throughout Australia. Giving so many talks in that amount of time is a difficult task. Include the 10,000+ kilometres of travel within Australia in addition to the 24,000 kilometres just to get to and from Australia from Stanford and the Mahler Lectureship starts to sound like a daunting thing to accept

der Poorten. The amount of space devoted to science fiction is roughly asymptotic to that devoted to mathematics.

5 Alf van der Poorten was enrolled as a student at the University of New South Wales and not at the ANU.

6 In keeping with van der Poorten’s avid support of young Australian mathematicians, in his memory his family funds the *Alf van der Poorten Travelling Fellowships*, which aims to assist young pure mathematicians (who have earned a PhD in Australia) in travelling in Australia and overseas so that they can enrich their mathematical research through contact with other mathematicians.

(Akshay, if you are reading this, we all thank you heartily). Of course, Venkatesh grew up in Perth, so presumably he knew what he was getting into.

Former Mahler Lecturers comprise a marvellous group: John Coates (1991), Don Zagier (1993), Michel Mendès France (1995), Peter Hilton (1997), John H. Conway (1999), Robin Thomas (2001), Hendrik Lenstra (2003), Bruce Berndt (2005), Mark Kisin (2007), Terence Tao (2009⁷) and Peter Sarnak (2011).

3 The Mahler Archive

The way most of us interact with Mahler’s legacy is now through his work. With the advent of the digital age, looking up papers is a much easier task than in previous times. With this in mind, we have made the Kurt Mahler Archive freely available online (see the first part of this article for the details and URL). It contains (in PDF format) every mathematical article published by Mahler, as well as a host of links to biographies and other information. His books are listed but are not available through the Archive.

Mathematically, it is quite easy to point out various highlights from Mahler’s work. We do so here, hitting only a few of what we think are exceptional. Of course, this view is biased by our interests. For a more thorough list of topics see Mahler’s “Fifty years as a Mathematician” [15] or the obituaries by Cassels [2] or van der Poorten [21].

1. In [7], Mahler introduced a measure of the quality of approximation of a complex transcendental number ξ by algebraic numbers. For any integer $n \geq 1$, we denote by $w_n(\xi)$ the supremum of the real numbers w for which

$$0 < |P(\xi)| < H(P)^{-w}$$

has infinitely many solutions in integer polynomials $P(x)$ of degree at most n . Here, $H(P)$ stands for the naïve height of the polynomial $P(x)$, that is, the maximum of the absolute values of its coefficients. Further, we set

$$w(\xi) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{w_n(\xi)}{n}.$$

According to Mahler, we say that ξ is:

- An S -number, if $w(\xi) < \infty$.
- A T -number, if $w(\xi) = \infty$ and $w_n(\xi) < \infty$ for any integer $n \geq 1$.
- A U -number, if $w(\xi) = \infty$ and $w_n(\xi) = \infty$ for some integer $n \geq 1$.

The terminology S -number may have been chosen to honour Siegel. Almost all numbers, in the sense of Lebesgue measure, are S -numbers and Liouville numbers are examples of U -numbers. The existence of T -numbers remained an open problem for nearly 40 years until it was confirmed by Schmidt [19,20]. An important point in Mahler’s classification is that two algebraically dependent transcendental numbers always fall in the same class.

2. One of the first significant contributions of Mahler is an approach, now called the “Mahler’s method”, yielding transcendence and algebraic independence results for the val-

7 In 2009, the lectureship was organised in partnership with the Clay Mathematics Institute, so the lecture tour that year was known as the Clay–Mahler lectures.



Kurt Mahler (1903–1988). The Sitting by Heide Smith. “I was commissioned to photograph Prof Mahler by the ANU in 1987. The request was for a portrait in front of a bookshelf, to be framed and hung in the ANU ‘rogues gallery’. I photographed him as per my brief, and it worked out very well. When I asked Prof Mahler if I could take a few extra shots for my own collection, he agreed. I wanted to portray him within a geometric composition, a setting that I felt was more appropriate for a mathematician. I asked if he had some papers on mathematics that we could use, and he produced a ‘Royal Society Corporate Plan 1987’, which I carefully arranged. So this portrait is completely set up, yet in my view it shows not only the professor of mathematics, but Kurt Mahler the man. I used window light and a reflector; I never use flash if there is an alternative, because it changes the mood of the image altogether. Prof Mahler was a very keen photographer himself, and we spoke at length about his photography during the sitting. A few years later I happened to be at an auction in Canberra, where I saw a Rollei camera, complete with lenses and filters, in immaculate condition, all in a beautiful case. To my amazement I discovered that it had belonged to Kurt Mahler, and I could not resist buying it.”

ues at algebraic points of a large family of power series satisfying functional equations of a certain type. In the seminal paper [17] Mahler established that the Fredholm series $f(z) = \sum_{k \geq 0} z^{2^k}$, which satisfies $f(z^2) = f(z) - z$, takes transcendental values at any nonzero algebraic point in the open unit disc.

- Concerning specific *transcendence results*, in [9] Mahler proved that *Champernowne’s number*

$$0.12345678910111213141516 \dots$$

is transcendental and is not a Liouville number. Champernowne had proven it normal to base ten a few years earlier.

- Mahler [12] was also the first person to give an *explicit irrationality measure* for π . He showed that if p and $q \geq 2$

are positive integers, then

$$\left| \pi - \frac{p}{q} \right| > \frac{1}{q^{42}}.$$

This bound built on work from his previous paper [11], where he showed that $\|e^n\| > n^{-33n}$, where $\|x\|$ is the distance from x to the nearest integer. It is still a very interesting and open question as to whether or not there is a $c > 0$ such that $\|e^n\| > c^{-n}$.

- Concerning Diophantine equations, in [8] Mahler provided finiteness results for a number of solutions of the so-called *Thue–Mahler equations*. In particular, he showed that if $F(X, Y) \in \mathbb{Z}[X, Y]$ is an irreducible homogeneous binary form of degree at least three, b is a nonzero rational integer and p_1, \dots, p_s ($s \geq 0$) are distinct rational prime numbers then the equation

$$F(x, y) = bp_1^{z_1} \cdots p_s^{z_s},$$

in

$$x, y, z_1, \dots, z_s \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ with } \gcd(x, y) = 1 \text{ and } z_1, \dots, z_s \geq 0,$$

has only finitely many solutions. The case $s = 0$ was proved by Thue, corresponding to Thue equations. Mahler was the first to see the importance of extending results in Diophantine approximation to include p -adic valuations as well as the ordinary absolute value.

- Mahler also made outstanding contributions to the theory of polynomials with integer coefficients. In [13, 14] he introduced what is now called the *Mahler measure* of a polynomial. A celebrated open question is Lehmer’s problem (from an article of 1933) asking, in different words (!), whether there exists $c > 1$ such that the Mahler measure of a non-cyclotomic polynomial is always at least c .

Lehmer’s Problem. Let $P(X) = a_d X^d + \cdots + a_1 X + a_0 = a_d(X - \alpha_1) \cdots (X - \alpha_d)$ be a polynomial with integer coefficients. Its Mahler measure $M(P)$ is defined by


$$M(P) = |a_d| \prod_{i=1}^d \max\{1, |\alpha_i|\}.$$

Does there exist a positive real number ε such that if $M(P) < 1 + \varepsilon$ then all the nonzero roots of $P(X)$ are roots of unity?

- One of the most famous contributions of Mahler in geometry of numbers is his compactness theorem, established in 1946 in [10]. This is a criterion for the existence of a convergent subsequence of lattices in a sequence of lattices in n -dimensional space, *which may be said to have completely transformed the subject*. The last words express Cassels’ opinion on page 136 of his monograph [3].

4 Conclusion

Here we have only highlighted a few of Mahler’s many mathematical contributions. We apologise if we have left out your favourite but, if so, we encourage you to find its original paper in the Archive and enjoy Mahler’s own words about it; we hope that you find them in a language with which you are familiar, though this may not be the case. Indeed, like many mathematicians during Mahler’s active years (1927–1988), he



Alfred (Alf) Jacobus van der Poorten (1942–2010)

wrote in several languages. Using the Archive as our data, we found that he published papers in his native German, as well as in English, Italian, Dutch, Russian and even Chinese!

Mahler's interest in Chinese led him to do more than write just one paper in the language. Mahler felt so strongly that students should attempt to learn Chinese and be able to at least read mathematically in the language that, while on his hiatus from Australia at Ohio State University, he suggested that Chinese be taught to graduate students in mathematics. As the story goes, he was asked who could they ever find to teach such a course? He then answered that he would do it! And indeed, Mahler gave this course. For those interested, his lecture notes, "Lectures on the reading of mathematics in Chinese", can be found on the Archive in the Collection under the year 1972.

One can only speculate that Mahler saw the dawn of the Asian century coming. Indeed, there is a *Mahler-Needham collection*⁸ at the ANU housing Mahler's Chinese mathematics collection. Joseph Needham (1900–1995) was the leading Western Sinologist of the past century and there was considerable correspondence between the two concerning Volume 3 (Chinese mathematics) of Needham's 28 volume opus *Science and Civilisation in China*.

In addition to Mahler's formal mathematical work, we found some very interesting private writings of his. In particular, much of his correspondence with Alf van der Poorten survives. It is evident from what we have been given that Mahler and van der Poorten had a tremendous correspondence relationship, which, as described above, focused on mutual interests in science fiction and mathematics. These letters reveal more about the man. In a letter dated 1 March 1985, Mahler mentions his thoughts about the Riemann hypothesis and a purported proof then circulating.

It will be a pity if the proof of Riemann's conjecture turns out to be incomplete. As you know, I am not convinced that RC is true. The numerical results go only to a limit which is relatively small when we think of numbers like $10^{10^{10}}$.

[Kurt Mahler, to Alf van der Poorten on 1 March 1985]

⁸ See <http://anulib.anu.edu.au/about/collections/spcoll.html>.

More so than in Mahler's papers, in his correspondence with van der Poorten we find that Mahler was deeply interested in computational mathematics and, specifically, what is more commonly thought of today as *experimental mathematics*.

I am interested in the problem of whether there are squares of integers which, to the base $g = 5$, have only digits 0 or 1. I could not find a single example although I went quite far on my calculator. Strangely, to the base $g = 7$ I obtained the one example $20^2 = 1111(7)$, and I am now seeing whether there are others.

[Kurt Mahler, to Alf van der Poorten on 15 February 1988]

Even in his last letter, the day before his death, Mahler was doing mathematics, lamenting that he was too old to learn to program well!

If I were ten years younger, I should also try to learn [to] handle big computers. But I have used only programmable calculators which I found very convenient. In the calculations for Squares to the base 3 I used mostly a TI 59 with printer and so could get my results. I have now also a H-P 28c calculator which works to 12 places. Unfortunately the manuals that come with this machine are far too short and badly arranged. So far I have not yet been able to construct on it a program which allows [me] to express a given integer or real numbers to the base $g \geq 2$, something I could do on the TI 59.

The problem of the representation of squares to the base $g \geq 5$ seems quite hard, and I hope you have more success with it than I. It would be appropriate to consider the following more general problem.

Let $f(x)$ be a polynomial in x with integral coefficients which is positive for positive x . Study the integers x for which the representation of $f(x)$ to the base $g \geq 3$ has only digits 0 or 1.

Here it may be sufficient to assume that the highest coefficient of $f(x)$ is a power of g , and that f is of the second degree. For polynomials of the first degree we settled this problem in our joint paper.

[Kurt Mahler, to Alf van der Poorten on 24 February 1988]

Kurt Mahler remained a mathematician's mathematician until the very end.

May his theorems live forever!

[Paul Erdős, remembering Mahler in [4]]

Bibliography

- [1] J. W. S. Cassels. Obituary of Kurt Mahler. *Acta Arith.*, 58(3):215–228, 1991.
- [2] J. W. S. Cassels. Obituary: Kurt Mahler. *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, 24(4):381–397, 1992.
- [3] J. W. S. Cassels. *An introduction to the geometry of numbers*. Classics in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997. Corrected reprint of the 1971 edition.
- [4] Paul Erdős. Some personal and mathematical reminiscences of Kurt Mahler. *Austral. Math. Soc. Gaz.*, 16(1):1–2, 1989.
- [5] David Hunt. Life and Mathematics of Alfred Jacobus van der Poorten (1942–2010). In Jonathan M. Borwein, Igor Shparlinski, and Wadim Zudilin, editors, *Number Theory and Related Fields*, volume 43 of *Springer Proceedings in Mathematics & Statistics*, pages 1–53. Springer New York, 2013.
- [6] C. G. Lekkerkerker. In memoriam Professor Kurt Mahler. *Nieuw Arch. Wisk.* (4), 7(1-2):135–138, 1989.

- [7] K. Mahler. Zur Approximation der Exponentialfunktion und des Logarithmus. I. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 166:118–136, 1931.
- [8] K. Mahler. Zur Approximation algebraischer Zahlen. I. Über den grössten Primteiler binärer Formen. *Math. Ann.*, 107:691–730, 1933.
- [9] K. Mahler. Arithmetische Eigenschaften einer Klasse von Dezimalbrüchen. *Proc. Akad. Wet. Amsterdam*, 40:421–428, 1937.
- [10] K. Mahler. On lattice points in n -dimensional star bodies. I. Existence theorems. *Proc. Roy. Soc. London. Ser. A.*, 187:151–187, 1946.
- [11] K. Mahler. On the approximation of logarithms of algebraic numbers. *Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. London. Ser. A.*, 245:371–398, 1953.
- [12] K. Mahler. On the approximation of π . *Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. A. 56=Indagationes Math.*, 15:30–42, 1953.
- [13] K. Mahler. An application of Jensen’s formula to polynomials. *Mathematika*, 7:98–100, 1960.
- [14] K. Mahler. On some inequalities for polynomials in several variables. *J. London Math. Soc.*, 37:341–344, 1962.
- [15] K. Mahler. Fifty years as a mathematician. *J. Number Theory*, 14(2):121–155, 1982.
- [16] K. Mahler. List of publications of Kurt Mahler. *Acta Arith.*, 58(3):229–237, 1991.
- [17] Kurt Mahler. Arithmetische Eigenschaften der Lösungen einer Klasse von Funktionalgleichungen. *Math. Ann.*, 101(1):342–366, 1929.
- [18] B. H. Neumann and Alf van der Poorten. Kurt Mahler: 1903–1988. *Austral. Math. Soc. Gaz.*, 15(2):25–27, 1988.
- [19] Wolfgang M. Schmidt. T -numbers do exist. In *Symposia Mathematica, Vol. IV (INDAM, Rome, 1968/69)*, pages 3–26. Academic Press, London, 1970.
- [20] Wolfgang M. Schmidt. Mahler’s T -numbers. In *1969 Number Theory Institute (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. XX, State Univ. New York, Stony Brook, N.Y., 1969)*, pages 275–286. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1971.
- [21] A. J. van der Poorten. Obituary: Kurt Mahler, 1903–1988. *J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A*, 51(3):343–380, 1991.



Jonathan M. Borwein [jonathan.borwein@newcastle.edu.au] is currently Laureate Professor and Director of the Priority Research Centre in Computer Assisted Research Mathematics and its Applications (CARMA) at the University of Newcastle. An ISI highly cited scientist and former Chauvenet prize winner, he has published widely in various fields of mathematics.



Yann Bugeaud [bugeaud@math.unistra.fr] is a professor of mathematics at the IRMA (Institut de Recherche Mathématique Avancée) at the University of Strasbourg. His current interests include Diophantine approximation, transcendence and distribution modulo one. He has written two research monographs.




Michael Coons [michael.coons@newcastle.edu.au] is a lecturer of mathematics and an ARC Research Fellow at the University of Newcastle, Australia. He can usually be found working on arithmetic functions, transcendental number theory or Diophantine approximation, specifically in the context of Mahler’s method.

Paul Erdős in the 21st Century

Miklós Simonovits (Alfréd Rényi Institute of Mathematics, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Budapest, Hungary)

1 Preface



Paul Erdős, one of those mathematicians of the 20th century who has had a great influence on today’s mathematics, was born roughly a hundred years ago, on 26 March 1913, in Budapest. Conferences were organised all over the world to commemorate his 100th birthday. One of these conferences took place in Budapest, 1–5 July 2013. It was organised by the Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest (his Alma Mater), the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, the Alfréd Rényi Mathematical Institute and the János Bolyai Mathematical Society. I would dare to say that this was the most important among the centennial conferences. The conference tried to cover most of the favourite areas of Erdős. As the announcement of the conference stated:

The topic of the conference includes all basic fields that Paul Erdős contributed to: Number Theory, Combinatorics (includ-

ing Combinatorial Algebra, Combinatorial Geometry and Theoretical Computer Science), Analysis (including Approximation Theory and Ergodic Theory), Probability Theory, and Set Theory among others. The main goal of the conference is to explore Paul Erdős’ wide ranging contributions to mathematics, and to survey the trends of development originating in his work.

We also had a special session dedicated to one of the closest friends of Erdős, namely Tibor Gallai, and since – despite the fact that Erdős was not directly involved in computer science – his influence in modern theoretical computer science is very remarkable, we also had a session on theoretical computer science (as the announcement above implicitly mentioned).

Below I shall briefly describe the conference and then try to describe the mathematics of Paul Erdős through a few theorems, conjectures and problems. Finally, I shall write about his personality and the legends around him.

The paper will conclude with some sources on Erdős and his mathematics.